Public Disclosure Authorized


Public Disclosure Authorized

UNIUNEA EUROPEANĂ
GUVERNUL ROMÂNIEI
Fondul Social European
POSDRU 2007-2013
Instrumente Structurale
2007-2013

Project co-financed from the Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Development 2007-2013
Invest in people!

The Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas and of Local Human Development in Romania

Coordinators: Emil Teșliuc, Vlad Grigoraș, Manuela Sofia Stănculescu



Ministerul Municii, Familiei, Protecției Sociale și Persoanelor Vârstnice

THE WORLD BANK
IBRD • IDA | WORLD BANK GROUP

Bucharest, 2016

Disclaimer:

This volume is a product of the staff of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/ the World Bank. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the views of the Executive Directors of the World Bank or the governments that they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work.

This report does not necessarily represent the position of the European Union or the Romanian Government.

Copyright Statement:

The material in this publication is copyrighted. Copying and/or transmitting portions or all of this work without permission may be a violation of applicable laws.

For permission to photocopy or reprint any part of this work, please send a request with the complete information to either: the Ministry of Labor, Family, Social Protection and Elderly (Dem. I. Dobrescu Street, No 2-4, Sector 1, Bucharest, Romania); or (ii) the World Bank Group (Vasile Lascăr Street, No 31, Et 6, Sector 2, Bucharest, Romania).

ISBN: 978-973-0-21723-0

Acknowledgements

This document was produced by the World Bank within the Advisory Services Agreement on Provision of Inputs for the Preparation of a Draft National Strategy and Action Plan on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction (2015-2020). It is part of a package of documents that has included eight Flagship Initiatives that accompany the draft Strategy (Volume I and II) and a draft Action Plan. This Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas and of Local Human Development in Romania represents Flagship Initiative #6.

The inputs into the Government of Romania's Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction (2015-2020) were conducted under the supervision of Mr. Andrew Mason, with overall guidance from Ms. Elisabetta Capannelli and Mr. Christian Bodewig

This report was coordinated by Emil Teșliuc, Vlad Grigoraș (Task Team Leaders), and Manuela Sofia Stănculescu. The core team was composed by Dumitru Sandu, Bogdan Corad, Cătălina Iamandi-Cioinaru, Titus Man, Monica Marin, Ciprian Moldovan and Georgiana Neculau. The data collection efforts were covered by Bogdan Corad, Cătălina Iamandi-Cioinaru, Georgiana Neculau, and Andreea Trocea. The report was peer reviewed by Marcel Ionescu-Heroiu.

The Atlas' team members would like to express their gratitude to their counterparts in the Ministry of Labor, Family, Social Protection, and the Elderly, for their support in the development of all nine Flagship Initiatives. We also want also to thank to Ms. Steluta Jalia from the Ministry of Regional Development and Public Administration, Ms. Lidia Onofrei from the Ministry of Health, and Ms. Dana Gafițianu from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, who provided feedback on the early versions of the Atlas. The report benefitted also from the support of 68 local authorities and over 150 communities from communes in Călărași and Vaslui counties that participated in the validation study.

The volume was coordinated by:

Emil Teșliuc

Vlad Grigoraș

Manuela Sofia Stănculescu

Other Authors:

Dumitru Sandu

Bogdan Corad

Cătălina Iamandi-Cioinaru

Titus Man

Monica Marin

Ciprian Moldovan

Georgiana Neculau

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements **3**

Abbreviations **6**

1. INTRODUCTION **7**

1.1 The need for an Atlas of Rural Marginalization and Local Human Development in Romania 9

1.2 Objective, Research Methodology and Scope 11

1.3 Audiences 14

1.4 Implementation Plan 15

1.5 Structure of the Atlas 17

2. PART I: RURAL MARGINALIZED AREAS **20**

2.1 Defining and Assessing Rural Marginalization in Romania 20

2.1.1 Methodology for Identifying Rural Marginalized Areas ---------- 21

2.1.2 The Validation Study ---------- 23

2.1.3 The Main Characteristics of the Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania ---------- 28

2.1.4 Living Conditions in Rural Marginalized Areas ---------- 29

2.1.5 Subtypes of Rural Marginalized Communities Based on Qualitative Research ---------- 30

2.1.6 The Ethnical Dimension of Marginalization ---------- 33

2.1.7 Geospatial Analysis of Rural Marginalization ---------- 34

2.1.8 Rural Marginalization and Rural Poverty ---------- 42

2.2 Tackling Segregation and Marginalized Communities in the European Union 44

2.2.1 Fighting segregation in The European Union---------- 44

2.2.2 Adopting an Integrated, Cross-sectoral, Area-based Approach to Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania ---------- 46

2.3 Spatial Maps of Rural Marginalization in Romania 50

3. PART II: LOCAL HUMAN DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AND SMALL URBAN ROMANIA **154**

3.1 Defining and Assessing Local Human Development in Romania 154

3.1.1 Methodology of Local Human Development in Rural and Small Urban Settlements ---------- 154

3.1.2 Large Cities and Urban Connectivity in Local Human Development ----------157

3.1.3 Local Human Development Between Density Centers and Distance-Division Peripheries ---------- 161

3.1.4 Marginalization and Local Human Development in Rural Romania ---------- 162

3.2 Spatial Maps of Local Human Development in Rural and Small Urban Romania 164

References **265**

Annexes **269**

Annex 1: Rural Marginalized Areas 269

Annex 2: Rates of Marginalization by Commune (Rural Territorial-Administrative Unit) 279

Annex 3: Local Human Development 359

Annex 4: Field Instruments for the Validation Study 361

Abbreviations

CLLD	Community-led local development
EMFF	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund
ERDF	European Regional Development Fund
ESF	European Social Fund
FLAG	Fisheries Local Action Groups
GoR	Government of Romania
IDA	Intercommunity Development Associations
LAG	Local Action Groups
LEADER	Liaison Entre Actions de Développement de l'Économie Rurale *(Links between the rural economy and development actions)*
MARD	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
MEF	Ministry of European Funds
MRDPA	Ministry of Regional Development and Public Administration
MLFPSE	Ministry of Labor, Family, Social Protection and the Elderly
NIS	National Institute of Statistics
NGO	Non-governmental organization
OPHC	Operational Program Human Capital
ROP	Regional Operational Program

1. INTRODUCTION

Romania aims to be a country in which all citizens are provided with an equal opportunity to participate in society, where their basic needs are met and their differences respected, and where all people feel valued and can live in dignity.

Our society is still far from this ideal. One in every five Romanian people is income poor.[1] Most of the people living in relative poverty in Romania are in persistent poverty, meening that they have been in poverty for at least the last three years. Despite its relatively low unemployment rate, the country has a very high rate of poverty for in-work people, which is double the EU-27 rate (9 percent). Thirty percent of the population is severely materially deprived,[2] in that they are unable to afford items considered desirable or necessary to lead an adequate live. About seven percent of the people live in households with very low work intensity.[3] In total, about 42 percent of the population is at risk of poverty and social exclusion.[4]

As a response to this situation, the Government of Romania (GoR) has committed itself to lifting 580,000 people out of poverty by 2020[5] as part of the Europe 2020 Strategy. The decline in poverty between 2008 and 2013 was only 0.9 percentage points (representing 211,000 people rising out of poverty compared with the national target of 580,000). Consequently, in 2014, the GoR asked World Bank for assistance to develop a *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020* and a corresponding Action Plan.[6] According to this Strategy, the GoR is committed to making substantial progress in combating poverty and social exclusion over the next few years. By 2020, Romania is committed to putting in place a set of policies and programs to (i) lift at least 580,000 people out of relative income poverty by 2020, compared to 2008; (ii) break the inter-generational cycle of poverty; (iii) prevent the recurrence of poverty and social exclusion; and (iv) ensure equal access to social assistance, cash transfers and services to strengthen social cohesion.

From the set of policies and interventions covered by the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020*, the GoR asked for the World Bank's support to develop detailed

1 People at risk of relative poverty after receiving social transfers (the AROP indicator) are people whose annual income (including social protection transfers) is lower than 60 percent of the median income as expressed per adult equivalent. Disposable income is the sum of all revenues (including those from social protection) minus the amount of taxes (income or property-based) and social insurance paid.

2 The indicator adopted by the Social Protection Committee (the EU advisory policy committee for the Employment and Social Affairs Ministers in the Employment and Social Affairs Council) measures the percentage of the population that meets at least four of the following nine criteria: (1) they cannot afford to pay their rent, mortgage, or utility bills; (2) they cannot afford to keep their home adequately warm; (3) they face unexpected expenses; (4) they cannot afford to eat meat or other protein regularly; (5) they cannot afford to go on holiday; (6) they cannot afford to purchase a television set; (7) they cannot afford to purchase a washing machine; (8) they cannot afford to run a car; and (9) they do not have a telephone. The indicator distinguishes between individuals who cannot afford a certain item or service and those who do not have this item or service for another reason, for example, because they do not want or need it.

3 According to this indicator, very low work intensity households are those in which adult members aged between 18 and 59 have worked less than 20 percent of their maximum work potential during the year preceding the survey. Households comprised only of children, of students under 25 years old, and/or people aged 60 or over were completely excluded from the indicator calculations

4 The broadest indicator (AROPE), which is also used to measure whether the European Union's social inclusion target is being met, tracks people at risk of poverty or social exclusion who are in at least one of the following three situations: (i) they are at risk of poverty (AROP indicator), (ii) live in households with very low work intensity; and/or are (iii) they are suffering from severe material deprivation.

5 Relative poverty (AROP) is the indicator that is used by the Government of Romania to monitor whether the poverty reduction target is being met.

6 Government Decision no. 383/May 27, 2015.

implementation plans for the 2015-2018 period in the form of nine Flagship Innitiatives[7] that are expected to have the greatest impact in terms of reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion.

This *Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas and Local Human Development in Romania* represents the Flagship Initiative #6. Specifically the Bank's technical assistance provided through this project has helped the GoR to develop: (i) a methodology for defining different types of rural marginalized areas based on a set of key criteria and indicators; (ii) detailed maps that present the spatial distribution of the rural marginalized communities by county; (iii) a methodology for defining degrees of local human development from low to comprehensive development, for rural and small urban settlements; and (iv) detailed county-level maps of local human development.

This geographic targeting tool for rural marginalized areas is based on a methodology comparable to that applied in the *Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas* in 2014.[8] The Local Human Development Index (LHDI) was initially developed at the administrative unit level within a previous World Bank project in 2013.[9] In this Atlas, the LHDI has been extended and further developed to a finer degree of granularity.

While this document presents the Atlas, the other eight Flagship Initiatives are complementary and meant to form a single package. In short, the Atlas helps to define *which* rural areas are marginalized, *who* lives in these areas (the profile of various disadvantaged groups), and *where* they are located in Romania. The other Flagship Initiatives, particularly #3 on integrated social services at the community level and #4 on disadvantaged schools, describe *how* to intervene in the poorest rural areas in order to achieve the greatest impact in terms of reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion.

7 The nine Flagship Initiatives are as follows: #1. Increase the employment of the poor and vulnerable by expanding active labor market programs; #2. Increase income support for the poor and introduce pro-work incentives for program beneficiaries; #3. Develop integrated social services at the community level; #4. Improve the instruments for identifying disadvantaged schools to ensure that all children have access to equal opportunities; #5. Strengthen social services for child protection; #6. Develop an instrument to identify poor villages and marginalized rural communities; #7. Invest in improving the current IT system to implement a strong e-social assistance system; #8. Develop a modern payment system; and #9. Strengthen coordination mechanisms and develop a monitoring and evaluation system.

8 Swinkels et al (2014a).

9 Ionescu-Heroiu et al (2013).


1.1 The need for an Atlas of Rural Marginalization and Local Human Development in Romania



„What gets measured gets done." - Anonymous

A Tool for Targeting, Monitoring and Evaluating Interventions

Increasingly, top managers in ministries and donor agencies are recognizing that effective targeting tools are needed to guide and evaluate programs. The current Atlas is a tool for the geographic targeting, monitoring, and evaluation of interventions for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion. It provides the scientific and factual database essential to inform decision-making and the choice of appropriate and effective public actions aimed at reduceing poverty and social exclusion in the most deprived rural communities in Romania.



A targeting tool becomes effective only if it is followed by action (a policy response). Therefore, it is important to ensure that the Atlas is disseminated to all central and local stakeholders, responsible for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion (as shown in the adjacent figure).

The main purpose of this Atlas is to enable these stakeholders to target anti-poverty and pro-social inclusion programs in an efficient way, mainly to the poorest. It is especially useful for projects that operate at the national, (micro-) regional and county levels.

Because the Atlas measures marginalization and local human development at the community level, it can give policy makers a good indication of the places where the need for intervention is greatest. However, it is also important to evaluate and measure the effects of interventions targeted to the poorest communities, as is highlighted in Flagship Initiative #9 which contains a plan for developing a monitoring and evaluation system for the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020*. Along with the Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas, this current Atlas

will also be a vital tool for monitoring and evaluating these interventions.

Because marginalization is not defined only in terms of income poverty but also in terms of human capital (education and health) and housing conditions, the Atlas can also be used to inform and assess programs related to education, health, infrastructure and social housing, including those dealing with out-of-school children, early school leaving, primary healthcare services, family planning, parental education, domestic violence and other risks/vulnerabilities that are widespread in marginalized communities.

Both this Atlas and the Atlas on urban areas will be useful for measuring the effectiveness of the other anti-poverty Flagship Initiatives. Marginalized areas (whether rural or urban, Roma or non-Roma) are communities that contain a concentration of low-income households and, populations with low levels of education and skills relevant to the labor market in areas that are exposed to environmental hazards and have poor quality or no public services. As such, these marginalized areas are „natural" target areas for:

- The active labor market programs discussed in Flagship Initiative #1
- The integrated social services at the community level (SPOR - Social Program Opportunity and Responsibility) described in Flagship Initiative #3
- The programs targeted to disadvantaged schools presented in Flagship Initiative #4
- Programs aimed at preventing children from being separated from their natural families under Flagship Initiative #5 given that marginalized areas send more children into the special protection system than any other communities.
- Other programs aimed at the development of social services, especially day care centers and multifunctional amenities.

In addition, as mentioned above, the marginalized communities identified in the Atlas will be the best places for applying the monitoring and evaluation system to be developed under Flagship Initiative #9, particularly to measure the impact of the social benefits reform (and the future Minimum Social Insertion Income benefit) that will be adopted under Flagship Initiative #2.

In relation to the European funded programs, this *Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas and Local Human Development in Romania* will complement the information contained in the *Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas.*[10] It will be a usefull tool for all ministries, managing authorities and intermediary bodies of the operational programs, all regional and county institutions and partnerships such as intercommunity development associations (IDAs), Local Action Groups (LAGs)[11] and Fisheries Local Action Groups (FLAG) operating in rural areas and small towns [12] under LEADER program or community-led local development (CLLD) programs. In addition, municipalities and NGOs that initiate interventions in relevant sectors will be able to use the Atlas to target different types of communities (either marginalized communities or those with different degrees of local human development). For this purpose, Annex 2 presents the rates of marginalization for all communes in Romania.

10 Swinkels et al (2014a).

11 Local Action Groups (LAGs) are made up of public and private partners from rural areas (including towns under 20,000 inhabitants) and must include representatives from different socio-economic sectors. LAG membership is open to everyone living within the LAG boundaries. Both private people and local public/private organizations can become members (http://enrd.ec.europa.eu/enrd-static/leader/leader/leader-tool-kit/the-local-action-group/en/what-is-the-lag-s-structure_en.html).

12 Both rural and small urban areas (those with fewer than 20,000 inhabitants) are eligible but only as members of a local partnership (LAG) that might include one or more towns (only in exceptional cases), which however cannot have more than 20,000 inhabitants in total or have more than 25 percent of the total number of inhabitants living in the LAG (MARD, 2013: 396).

1.2 Objective, Research Methodology and Scope

The main objective of this Atlas is to provide a tool for the geographic targeting, monitoring, and evaluation of interventions aimed at reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion in the most deprived rural communities in Romania.

This Atlas presents two complementary methodologies. The first methodology focuses on the „pockets" of rural marginalization where poverty is multidimensional and most severe. The second methodology not only focuses on communities where the need for intervention is the highest but also screens all rural and small urban settlements for potential rural „development poles".

The first key step in producing the *Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas and Local Human Development in Romania* was to conduct a desk review of the existing criteria and indices used to define "poor communities", "segregated communities", and "marginalized rural communities" in Romania. Based on this review, in the second key step, the team developed a methodology to identify rural marginalized areas using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. This drew heavily on the methodology used in the *Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas*[13] but was adapted to take account of the particular characteristics of rural communities. In the third step, the results of the methodology for identifying rural marginalized areas were validated through extensive field research based on qualitative methods. The aim of the validation study was to check the quantitative results for both inclusion and exclusion errors. The field research took place in May and June 2015 and covered villages in two counties, Călărași and Vaslui. It also further refined the typology of rural marginalized communities by distinguishing two subtypes – communities that are geographically isolated and those that are peripherally located within well-connected villages. The qualitative research largely confirmed the results of the methodology based on quantitative techniques, but it also highlighted several limitations of the approach. Finally, in the fourth step, the main characteristics of each subtype of rural marginalized areas were determined and the mapping was done.

Professor Dumitru Sandu resumed his work on the Local Human Development Index (LHDI) that was carried out in previous World Bank study.[14] For the current Atlas, Professor Sandu further extended the LHDI to cover small towns with up to 30,000 inhabitants and increased its granularity by extending it from the administrative unit (or SIRSUP) level (commune or city) to the locality (SIRUTA) level (village or neighborhood). In the next key step, the team analyzed how local human development in these SIRUTAs is affected by the large cities, urban connectivity, and endogenous forces. Lastly, the team produced local human development maps.

The maps presented in this Atlas are complementary to other maps of Romania that have recently been produced. First, the maps of rural marginalization complement the maps of urban marginalization produced by the World Bank in 2014.[15] Second, the maps of both rural marginalization and local human development supplement the „poverty maps" that were created

13 Swinkels et al (2014a).
14 Ionescu-Heroiu et al (2013).
15 Swinkels et al (2014a).

by the World Bank and the European Commission in 2014. [16] All of these maps were drawn up using 2011 census data, but the maps included in this Atlas are different from the „poverty maps" in terms of the geographical level at which the analysis was conducted and the methodology that was applied.[17] While the poverty maps show the variations in poverty across regions and counties, the rural marginalization maps go down to the census sector level and indicates „pockets" of extreme destitution that need to be targeted with integrated interventions. The local human development maps show the different degrees of local human development at the village level (SIRUTA unit), including the most developed SIRUTAs that are candidates for becoming development poles within the regions and counties.

The Atlas covers all rural or small urban (under 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units in the country. The Atlas identifies marginalized areas only in rural units[18] but it determines the degree of local human development in both rural and small urban settlements.

The rural marginalization analysis was conducted at the lowest spatial level available. The 2011 Population Census provided the opportunity to conduct such an analysis at the level of census sectors.[19] For the analysis presented in this Atlas, the micro data from the 2011 Population Census were aggregated at this level. A typical census sector consists of around 200 inhabitants in both rural and urban areas. However, the variation is large ranging from a minimum of one person to a maximum of over 4,200 inhabitants in rural areas, and 10,385 people in urban areas in each census sector.

TABLE 1. Distribution of Census Sectors by Residency and Population size (SIRUTA unit)

			Census sectors			
	Village size (inhabitants)	**Number of villages (SIRUTA units)**	Total number	Average number per village	Minimum per village	Maximum per village
Rural	**Total**	**12.373**	**46.547**	**4**	**1**	**41**
	1-49	837	895	1	1	6
	50-99	780	878	1	1	9
	100-499	5.017	9.731	2	1	13
	500-999	2.913	10.972	4	1	12
	1,000<2,000	1.857	11.695	6	1	19
	2,000<3,000	557	5.623	10	2	20
	3,000<7,500	388	6.056	16	4	29
	7,500<20,000	23	676	29	16	41
	20,000<30,000	1	21	21	21	21

16 Simler et al (2014).

17 The poverty maps combine information from the 2011 population census and the EU⊠SILC household survey to estimate household disposable income levels for each household in the census. This information is then used to estimate the number and proportion of people in each region or county whose consumption is below the risk of poverty line, using the standard EU threshold of 60 percent of median national income.

18 For the urban environment, see the Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas (Swinkels et al, 2014a).

19 Also called the enumeration area, or 'mapa'.

Small cities(*)	Total	1.055	13.268	13	1	146
	1-49	47	57	1	1	3
	50-99	53	67	1	1	4
	100-499	339	627	2	1	6
	500-999	209	739	4	1	9
	1,000<2,000	130	809	6	1	14
	2,000<3,000	42	470	11	2	19
	3,000<7,500	121	2.868	24	8	62
	7,500<20,000	90	4.964	55	11	111
	20,000<30,000	24	2.667	111	78	146

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: The distribution of census sectors at the administrative unit level is presented in Annex 1. Table 1. *Small towns are those with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants. Overall in Romania there are 253 small towns.

Rural areas in Romania are organized into 2,861 communes (administrative units) and these include 12,373 villages.[20] The 2011 Population Census contains 46,547 census sectors in villages, of which 5.3 percent are very small (fewer than 50 inhabitants), while about 1 percent are large (with 500 inhabitants or more). The number of census sectors per village varies considerably according to population size, from a minimum of one sector per village to a maximum of 41 sectors in two villages (see Table 1).[21] For reliability reasons, the analysis presented in the next sections used only the census sectors with more than 50 inhabitants.

The human local development analysis was carried out at the village and component locality of small towns (SIRUTA units) level. The analysis took into account only those units with more than 50 inhabitants. Consequently, the study included 11,531 villages[22] and 1,008 component localities within the 253 small towns with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants (Table 1).

20 As of January 2015, the Nomenclature of Territorial-Administrative Units (NIS) reported that there were 13,755 villages (SIRUTA units) nationwide grouped in 3,181 administrative units (communes and cities). However, 129 villages Census (114 rural and 15 urban) appear to be non-existent (in other words, have zero inhabitants) and no corresponding data on these villages exists in the 2011 Population and Housing. As the analysis presented in the following sections is based on the 2011 census data, we will refer only to the 13,626 'valid' SIRUTA units, of which 12,373 are in rural areas, 1,055 are in small urban settlements (those with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants), and 198 are in urban areas of 30,000 inhabitants or more.

21 Over 26 percent of all villages have only one census sector. The two villages with the maximum number of census sectors (41) are Valu lui Traian (commune Valu lui Traian, Constanta) and Poienile de Sub Munte (commune Poienile de Sub Munte, Maramures).

22 Other five villages were not included in the analysis due to missing data for indicators that are not included in the 2011 census.

1.3 Audience

The primary audience for this Atlas is the leadership and staff of the Ministry of Labor, Family, Social Protection and the Elderly (MLFSPE), which is in charge of implementing the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020* and the corresponding Action Plan and Flagship Initiatives. The Atlas presents a tool for the geographic targeting, monitoring, and evaluation of interventions for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion, with a focus on the most deprived rural communities in Romania. It analyzes rural marginalization in Romania, particularly its main characteristics and its spatial distribution at the county level. In addition, it explores the extent of human development in localities in all rural and small urban administrative units in order to identify potential „development poles" at the county level.

It is hoped that the methodology, analysis and maps presented in this Atlas will help the MLFSPE leadership and staff, together with other decision-makers throughout the GoR, to establish an effective framework for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion that, ultimately, will improve the lives of the poor and socially excluded from Romania, including the residents of all marginalized communities.

Other Stakeholders Who May Benefit from this Atlas:

COUNTY AND LOCAL LEVEL:	● County and local institutions in the social sectors ● Municipalities and NGOs that develop interventions in social sectors ● Local communities and, more specifically, public authorities that prepare projects and submit applications to various EU and state-funded programs ● Partnerships of administrative units such as intercommunity development associations (IDAs), Local Action Groups (LAGs), and Fisheries Local Action Groups (FLAG) operating in rural areas and small towns under the LEADER or CLLD programs
REGIONAL LEVEL:	● Regional Development Agencies
NATIONAL LEVEL:	● Ministries and agencies in charge of planning and implementing state-budget-funded programs ● Managing authorities and intermediate bodies implementing EU-funded operational programs for 2014-2020, particularly MARD, the MRDPA, and the Ministry of European Funds
EUROPEAN LEVEL:	● European Commission officials and staff responsible for overseeing the operational programs who may be able to replicate best practices in EU member states

1.4 Implementation Plan

This Atlas needs to be followed by a policy response at the national and sub-national levels in order to become operational. For this reason, the implementation plan included in this Atlas is focused on consultations, communication, and dissemination activities.


First, it will be critical to share the findings of the Atlas with local authorities throughout the country and to collect their feedback. This will also be one way to collect information to reflect the likely changes that may have occurred since the 2011 census data were collected. These consultations will also serve as a way to inform local authorities of the need to target, monitor, and evaluate all interventions for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion that are developed and/or implemented at the local level.

Second, it is crucial to ensure that the Atlas is seen as a tool that can be used by institutions in many sectors. To this end, extensive consultations should be organized with all relevant ministries, as well as the regional bodies that have responsibilities related to European-funded projects. The EU operational programs now lead development policy in Romania, particularly in the social inclusion field, while the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD) through the National Program for Rural Development dictates rural development policy. Consequently, the dialogue on the Atlas should extend from the MLFSPE to the Ministry of European Funds (MEF), the Ministry of Regional Development and Public Administration (MRDPA), and MARD, with a focus on how the Atlas (and its indexes) can help sectoral policymakers to prioritize and target investments.

Third, the Atlas can be a vital input into the work of NGOs that develop and implement projects in social sectors and also students, professors, and researchers in Romania who are helping to generate new knowledge and ideas on the topic.

Finally, the European Commission has already shared the CLLD methodology developed for the Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas with other EU countries and would probably be interested in sharing the tool in this Atlas. Therefore, the Government should consider advertising the existence of the Atlas to relevant institutions and officials in Brussels.

TABLE 2: Gantt Chart of the Implementation Plan



The financial resources needed to implement the implementation plan are shown in table below.

TABLE 3: Estimated Budget Needed to Implement the Implementation Plan

Activities:	Total amount (RON)
1st set of activities: Consultations with local stakeholders	511.750
2nd set of activities: The Atlas for Rural and Small Urban Localities is adopted through the Government Decision as a tool for geographic targeting, monitoring and evaluating interventions for reducing poverty and promoting social inclusion	694.200
3rd set of activities: Dissemination activities	445.000
Total	1.650.950

The estimated budget includes all costs of transportation, workshops, the incorporation of local feedback and refinement of the Atlas, platform development and maintenance, printing, and dissemination activities.

1.5 Structure of the Atlas

The rest of this document is organized in two parts. The first part consists of the Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania. It starts by defining the marginalized areas and describing the methodology for identifying these areas in rural Romania. It then describes the steps taken to validate the marginalized areas that were identified based on the 2011 census, as well as highlighting the limitations of the approach. The document goes on to present the final typology of the rural marginalized areas and their key characteristics, and subtypes as determined using qualitative research techniques and as analyzed using 2011 census data. There follows a discussion of the relationship between rural marginalization and rural poverty as defined by the EU (the AROP indicator). Thereafter, Part I discusses the types of interventions that are being used in the European Union to tackle segregation and to help marginalized communities. The last section presents the maps of rural marginalization by county as well as tables listing villages with marginalized areas and the number of residents of these areas to indicate the severity of marginalization in each village.

The second part of the document focuses on the degrees of local human development in rural and small urban settlements in Romania. After the methodology has been discussed, the effects of various factors on local human development are analyzed. Following a discussion of the relationship between rural marginalization and rural local human development, the last section presents maps illustrating the geographical distribution of villages with different degrees of local human development across the country, as well as tables listing those villages that have the potential to be „development poles".

The annexes include the technical information needed to understand the work presented in this Atlas in a comprehensive way and to replicate it as well as a list of rural municipalities and their rates of marginalization.

PART I

The Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania

2. PART I: RURAL MARGINALIZED AREAS

In Romania, the majority of people at risk of poverty or social exclusion live in rural areas. However, rural poverty manifest itself in many different ways, from the poverty of small villages and those with aging populations to marginalized communities characterized by low human capital, low formal employment, and inadequate housing. The first part of this Atlas focuses on the rural marginalized areas: how they are defined, how they can be identified, what are their key characteristics, where they are located and what kinds of interventions have been developed in the European Union for improving the lives of people living in these areas.

2.1 Defining and Assessing Rural Marginalization in Romania

In Romania, there is no legal definition of "marginalized areas". Therefore when we were reviewing the relevant literature for this Atlas, we searched for existing studies and documents that dealt with "poor communities", "segregated communities", and "marginalized communities" in Romania. Our review showed that extreme poverty[23] in Romania has been very well documented by research studies[24] since the 1990s. These studies have confirmed time and again that extreme poverty is not only an individual or family phenomenon but is also geographical in that it tends to be concentrated in marginalized areas (both urban and rural) within which extreme poverty is transmitted from a generation to another.

Our review found that a large majority of studies on marginalization in Romania have focused on rural areas and have estimated "community poverty" or "community deprivation" at the administrative unit level (usually, the commune level).[25] Only few studies have estimated poverty at the locality (SIRUTA unit) level, and most of those were case studies based on qualitative research techniques. Regardless of what research method was used, nearly all of the studies confirmed that in Romania the main criteria for identifying and analyzing poverty, especially multidimensional poverty and marginalization, are:

23 Extremely poor families face multiple constraints in addition to monetary poverty, including long-term adult unemployment or joblessness, poor child nutrition, a high risk of child neglect and/or abuse (associated with parent alcohol abuse), poor parenting practices, young or single parenthood, unstable marriages, poor health or disability, low school attendance or dropout, poor or no housing, domestic violence, petty crime, and discrimination. There are also problems of low aspirations, low self-esteem, and learned helplessness. Therefore, families in extreme poverty represent a particular challenge, not just in terms of skills and physical capital but also in terms of psychological issues.

24 For example, on "extreme poverty" and "poor zones" or "marginalized areas": Chelcea (2000), Stănculescu and Berevoescu (coord., 2004), Constantinescu et al (2005), Berescu et al (2007), Preda (coord., 2009), Stănculescu et al (2010), Berescu (2010), Stănculescu and Marin (2012), Stănculescu et al (2012), Stănculescu et al (2013), and World Bank (Swinkels et al, 2014a). On Roma and Roma communities: Zamfir and Zamfir (coord., 1993), Rughiniş (2000), Zamfir and Preda (coord., 2002), Duminică and Preda (2003), Sandu (2005), Berescu et al (2006), Bădescu et al (2007), Fleck and Rughiniş (ed., 2008), Preoteasa et al (2009), ICCV (2010), Botonogu (2011), Daragiu and Daragiu (2012), Giurcă (coord., 2012), Tarnovschi (ed., 2012), FRA et al (2012), and Anan et al (2014).

25 The studies that developed new methodologies to estimate "poor zone" or "community poverty" include: Sandu (1998), Chircă and Teşliuc (coord., 1999), Stănculescu, (ed., 1999), Pop, (coord., 2004), Stănculescu and Berevoescu (coord., 2004), Voicu and Voicu (2004), Sandu (2005), Stănculescu (2005), Berescu et al (2007), Groza (coord., 2008), Sandu et al (2009), Sandu (2011), Stănculescu and Marin (2011), Ionescu-Heroiu et al (2013), Simler (coord., 2014) and Swinkels et al (2014a).

- Human capital (usually education, health, and family size or number of children);
- Employment (usually related to out-of-work people, work in the informal sector, or work in subsistence agriculture);
- Housing conditions (especially regarding housing security, the quality of dwellings, and connection to public utilities).

This Atlas of Rural Marginalized Areas draws most heavily on the World Bank's recent work on urban marginalized areas. Accordingly, this Atlas uses the term "rural marginalized areas" which by definition are intra-locality compact zones (census sectors) inhabited by people with disproportionately low human capital, limited formal employment, and inadequate housing conditions compared with the residents of other rural areas. Using this definition, we devised an identification methodology based on key indicators capable of reflecting the specific circumstances of rural areas.

2.1.1 Methodology for Identifying Rural Marginalized Areas

The methodology that we selected to identify rural marginalized areas is based on data from the 2011 census. Our analyses were done at the census sector level (see section 1.2 above). For each of the three criteria used to define rural marginalized areas human capital, formal employment, and housing conditions we selected six key indicators based on our review of literature and scrutiny of 2011 census data for rural population and households. One indicator is related to the human capital criterion, another one to the employment criterion, and three to the housing quality criterion. The key indicators for identifying rural marginalized areas are shown in the table below and mirror those used in the Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas.[26]

By definition, marginalized areas refer to the rural census sectors that are disadvantaged in all three criteria. In the first step of our analysis, we calculated the value of each of the six key indicators for each rural census sector. We then set a national rural threshold at the 80th percentile for the human capital and employment criteria, and at the 90th percentile for the housing criterion.[27] Then, we determined whether the value for each rural census sector was above the threshold for each indicator. The human capital and employment criteria were measured based on one key indicator each. So a value higher than the threshold on the corresponding indicator qualified that census sector as being "disadvantaged" in those criteria. The housing criterion was passed if any of the three key indicators was higher than its corresponding threshold. Finally, any census sector that qualified as "disadvantaged" on all three criteria was considered to be a "rural marginalized area".

26 Swinkels et al (2014a).
27 We experimented with thresholds at 70th, 80th, and 90th percentiles for each of the six key indicators. Our final decision was based on finding the percentile that resulted in population living in marginalized areas as a proportion of the total rural population in order to identify communities that are most in need but simultaneously allow for a strong and sustainable public intervention in these areas.

PART I. TABLE 1: The Three Criteria of Marginalization with Their Key Indicators and Corresponding National Thresholds, in Urban and Rural Areas (%)

	URBAN		RURAL	
Criteria/ Dimension	Key indicators	80th percentile = national urban threshold *)	Key indicators	80th percentile = national rural threshold **)
Human capital	Proportion of population aged 15-64 years old that completed 8 grades or less	22.1	Proportion of population aged 15-64 years old that completed 8 grades or less	59.3
	Proportion of people with disabilities, chronic diseases or other health conditions that make their daily activities difficult	8	-	
	Proportion of children (0-17 years old) in total population	20.5	-	
Employment	Proportion of people aged 15-64 years old neither in formal employment (employees or employers) nor in education	22.2	Proportion of people aged 15-64 years old neither in education nor have ever been in formal employment (employees, employers or pensioners)	72.1
Housing	Proportion of dwellings not connected to electricity	0.0***)	Proportion of dwellings not connected to electricity	2.7****)
	Proportion of overcrowded dwellings (<15.33 square meters per person)	54.7	Proportion of overcrowded dwellings (Eurostat indicator*****)	26.1****)
	Insecure tenure: proportion of households that do not own the dwelling	12.3	Proportion of dwellings not connected to piped water	87.9****)

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. For urban areas, Swinkels et al (2014a: 9).

Notes: *) In urban areas, the thresholds were calculated using only census sectors with between 50 and 500 inhabitants. **) In rural areas, the thresholds were calculated using only census sectors with 50 or more inhabitants. ***) Fewer than 1 percent of the urban dwellings are not connected to electricity and the 80th percentile is therefore 0 percent. Any census sector in which at least one dwelling is not connected to electricity (and thus the value is greater than 0 percent) passes this threshold. ****) For the housing criterion, the rural national threshold was set at the 90th percentile, and the criterion was considered to have been reached if any of the three indicators was higher than its corresponding threshold. *****) Eurostat indicator for overcrowding without the condition referring to a room for the household.

Overall, in Romania's communes, 2,244 census sectors[28] meet the criteria for being rural marginalized areas, and more than 564,000 people live in those sectors. These rural areas are severely deprived census sectors in which most of the population have completed only lower secondary education at most, make a living in the informal sector (especially agriculture), and live in housing conditions that are precarious even by the usual low standard in rural areas where most people live in overcrowded houses and/or have no access to running water or electricity).

28 Out of all 46,547 census sectors in rural areas at the time of the 2011 census. An additional 2,523 census sectors were not included in the analysis either because they have fewer than 50 inhabitants (2,473) or because they were consisting of buildings other than residential households.

2.1.2 The Validation Study

The World Bank team carried out an extensive validation study to confirm the marginalized status of the theoretically identified communites (through quantitative methods applied to the 2011 census data) and checked for both inclusion and exclusion errors. The fieldwork research was conducted in May to June 2015 in two counties: Călărași and Vaslui. The sample consisted of 68 communes that incorporate 232 villages, respectively 1,123 census sectors, of which 82 census sectors are theoretical rural marginalized areas. Part I. Table 2 presents the sample distribution by county.

PART I. TABLE 2: The Sample Used for the Validation Study by County

	CĂLĂRAȘI County		VASLUI County		TOTAL
	Participant in the validation study	Not included	Participant in the validation study	Not included	Participant in the validation study
Communes (number)	50	5	18	68	68
Villages (number)	152	13	80	385	232
Rural census sectors, of which	880*	0	243	925	1.123
- Rural marginalized areas	29	0	53	202	82

Source: World Bank, Validation Study, May-June 2015.

Notes: Seven new areas were mentioned by the local informants but they were not able to specify the census sector. Thus, the total number of areas increased from 1,123 to 1,130.

The field research was based on a mix of qualitative methods. In each selected commune, the team first paid a visit to the mayoralty to conduct one or more interviews with the mayor, vice-mayor, secretary, or a social worker from the local Social Assistance Public Service. In the interviews the team described the study, the methodology that was used to identify rural marginalized areas, and the theoretical results, and with reference to a map of the commune, asked the respondents their opinion on the identified marginalized areas (see Part I. Table 3). In the next step, the team visited the previously identified marginalized areas and organized group discussions on site with local people, following the guide presented in Annex 4. At the same time the research team made their own direct observations based on a list of indicators that are highly suggestive of marginalization in Romania, which is shown in Part I. Table 3. In this way any inclusion errors were identified.

In order to identify any exclusion errors, the team asked the local authorities and local people about those census sectors that have not been identified marginalized according to the 2011 census data. If the respondents indicated that a certain additional area ought to be considered marginalized, the team organized a field visit to that area where they conducted group discussions and made direct observation. If no new marginalized area was mentioned by the respondents, the research team randomly selected one or two villages per commune (particularly those located futherst from the commune center) and visited them to check for any marginalized areas that had not previously been identified using the 2011 census data.


All of the data collected during the validation study were coded using the fiche shown in Annex

4 and were recorded in a dataset for a quasi-statistical analysis. In addition, the team took photographs in all marginalized areas to help in the decision-making process. Based on all this information, the research team using inter-rater comparisons decided which areas to validate as marginalized and which newly identified marginalized areas to add to the list.

PART I. TABLE 3: Research Techniques and Topics for Discussion in the Validations Study

Structured open-response interviews with representatives of local authorities	Group discussions with residents of the rural marginalized areas	Direct observation
▪ History of the area	▪ History of the area	a. Broken fences
▪ Access to public services	▪ Access to public services	b. Poor quality or broken roofs
▪ Infrastructure	▪ Infrastructure	c. Deteriorated and shabby houses
▪ Employment and main sources of incomes	▪ Employment and main sources of incomes	d. Many children on the street
▪ Problems related to crime and public order	▪ Problems related to crime and public order	e. Children barefoot, undressed, poorly and/or unkempt dressed
▪ Community relationships	▪ Community relationships	f. Garbage/ dirtiness on the street
▪ Housing conditions	▪ Housing conditions	g. Blankets/ carpets on the fences
▪ Utilities (water, sewage, electricity)	▪ Utilities (water, sewage, electricity)	h. Earth roads, poorly accessible streets
▪ Geographical barriers		
▪ Environmental hazards		
▪ Key groups in the area and mobility of the population		
▪ Key community problems		
▪ Interventions already implemented in the area		

PART I. FIGURE 1: Results of the Validation Study by County, Type of Evaluator, and Theoretical Type of Rural Area (number of census sector)



Source: World Bank, Validation Study, May-June 2015.

Notes: The seven new areas mentioned by the local respondents that could not be associated with a specific census sector as they extended over several sectors are included. Thus, the total number of assessed areas is 1,130.

The rate of validation was high for both marginalized and non-marginalized areas and both in Călărași and Vaslui, regardless whether the area was validated by the team or by local informants (Part I. Figure 1). At the sample level, 98 percent of the non-marginalized areas were validated by local informants (authorities or local people), while the research team confirmed all theoretical non-marginalized areas that they visited. The rate of validated areas was also high for the theoretical rural marginalized areas, with 89 percent being validated when the local informants did the assessment and 74 percent when the research team did it.

Nonetheless, both inclusion and exclusion errors were identified. The inclusion errors accounted for 11 percent of all the rural marginalized areas assessed by local informants and 26 percent of the areas assessed when the assessment is done by the research team. These errors were as follows:

(a) Some inclusion errors were the result of the existence of three or four large households with five members or more in small census sectors (50 to 80 persons) can lead to the sector being inappropriately classified as marginalized.

(b) Some inclusion errors occurred in areas mainly inhabited by people aged 50 or older, especially women who are not in education and have never been in formal employment and who live in old and poorly endowed houses. In most cases these areas are small depopulated villages, with many empty ruined houses with little chance of any community revival.

(c) Other inclusion errors occurred as a result of prejudice against Roma communities. Some local informants, especially mayors and vice-mayors, did not validate previously identified marginalized areas that had prominent Roma communities given as the reason that: "we do not have poor people here [within the commune], we have only lazy people (certati cu munca)".

(d) Many inclusion errors were the result of the time lag between the 2011 census data and the time of the validation study (2015): The research team encountered two different situations in this regard.

In the first situation, a community "was the poorest in the village in 2011 but are now the commune's richest"[29] as a result of a large number of community members migrating to the Nordic countries to work and sending remittances home. As of June 2015, these villages are flourishing, with numerous large and beautiful houses being newly built.

The second situation relates to the public investments done in the last years. Thus, marginalized communities that in 2011 had been isolated within the commune because of distance and the lack of decent road by 2014 had an asphalted road providing children with access to education and adults with access to employment or markets.

(e) Other inclusion errors were the effect of Law 15/2003 which regulates the awarding of landplots[30] by local authorities to young people aged between 18 and 35 years old to construct houses. These allocations of land plots has led to the growth of new neighborhoods on the outskirts of villages,[31] of which some appear in the census data as being marginalized areas, mainly due to their lack of utilities and small number of population. However, the land plots are provided with no housing but with the right to build a house on the plot whithin two years. If in the two-year period the house is not built and is officially registered with the mayoralty, the land concession is canceled and the building plot is reassigned to the next family on the list. Most of these areas have no basic infrastructure, with only dirt roads with few or no electricity, water, and sewage systems. The infrastructure development process is extremely slow. Local budgets are low and required to cover all sorts of emergencies, and the funding necessary for the investments in these areas are not available.

(f) Some exclusion errors were the result of limited knowledge of the respondents, many of whom had no systematic knowledge of all areas in the commune, especially regarding the population's level of education or employment. At best, they knew the 2011 census results and were able to provide information to the research team only at the commune or village level, rather than at a more disaggregated level. Consequently, most of them tended to limit their assessment to the existence of infrastructure and/or public services, meaning that if an area was close to a road and had access to some infrastructure, they simply assumed that it was not marginalized.

Exclusion errors represent 2 percent of all previously identified non-marginalized areas. Out of all 1,041 rural census sectors originally classified as non-marginalized in the analysis of the 2011 census data, 13 were invalidated by the local respondents. In addition, they mentioned seven additional marginalized areas that could not be associated with a specific census sector as they extended over the boundaries of two or three sectors. Thus, a total of 20 marginalized areas were

29 Interview with the Vice-mayor of Botesti commune, Vaslui county.

30 The size of the land plot varies by location: 150 to 300 square meters in the cities and districts of Bucharest, 250 to 400 square meters in cities, and 250 to 1,000 square meters in communes and villages. Data on these allocations are available only for urban areas.

31 One example is the new neighborhood in the village Valea Argovei (in the Valea Argovei commune in Călărași county).

newly identified in the field by the local respondents. However, the research team validated only seven as being rural marginalized areas. The exclusion errors were due to the following reasons:

(a) Some exclusion errors were due to the time lag between the 2011 census data and the time of the validation study (2015). Most of the marginalized areas newly identified by the local respondents were small or very small communities with only few households of young people who cannot afford a house and have settled on the outskirts of the village where they started a slum of poor shelters. These emerging communities may be the nucleus of a future rural marginalized area if these young families do not receive appropriate support at the local level. At the moment most of them are too small to be considered a marginalized community.

(b) Exclusion errors were the result of prejudice against Roma communities. Some local informants tend to equal Roma ethnicity with poverty and therefore automatically reacted to the term "marginalized areas" by identifying Roma communities. However, in the field the research team found a wide range of Roma communities from very poor ones to some that were quite well-off, such as those comprised of Roma musicians (lăutari).

(c) There were some exclusion errors that resulted from the way in which the 2011 census was carried out. In some communes, the local authorities mentioned that some new areas had not been properly recorded at the census due to various local circumstances.

(d) Some exclusion errors were due to the limited knowledge possessed by interviewees: The validation study was based on census sectors that in many cases are "artificial" rather than "natural" communities. In many communes, the census sectors have no meaning in the day-to-day lives of the local respondents, meaning that they found it difficult to visualize them. This was much easier for those communes where the census sectors coincided with village boundaries. Some local authorities no longer have the local maps created for the 2011 census, and so they made "blind" estimates, particularly of area related statistical data on, for example, the total population and the numbers of Roma households and dweelings.

At the same time, because of their limited knowledge of details such as the number of single-parent families or of out-of-school children, local authority respondents tended to assess whole villages as being poor instead of defining a certain area as being marginalized.

The total population of the newly identified marginalized areas (those added by the local respondents and validated by the World Bank team) represents about 0.6 percent of the total population living in the previously identified non-marginalized areas. Therefore, the validation study indicates that 6.2 percent rate of rural marginalization that we calculated using the 2011 census may actually be higher at somewhere around 7 percent of the total rural population.

In conclusion, the validation study based on qualitative techniques highlighted a series of limitations in the original approach. Nevertheless, it has also largely confirmed the results of the quantitative methodology that we applied to the 2011 census data, thus confirming it to be robust and capable of identifying the "pockets" of rural marginalization at a satisfactory level of accuracy.

2.1.3 The Main Characteristics of the Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania

This section presents the main characteristics of the rural marginalized areas in Romania as evidenced by our analysis of the 2011 census.

At the national level, 6.2 percent of the rural population, 5.3 percent of all households, and 5.2 percent of all dwellings are located in rural marginalized areas. By definition, these rural areas are severely deprived census sectors in which most of the population have completed only lower secondary education at most, make a living in the informal sector (especially agriculture), and live in precarious dwellings even by the usual low standard for rural areas (in other words, they live in overcrowded houses and/or have no access to running water or electricity). Part I. Figure 2 compares the profile of rural marginalized areas with that of non-marginalized areas.


These marginalized areas are considered to be "problematic" specifically because they are characterized by low-income households, populations with low levels of education and labor market skills, a preponderance of single mothers, large numbers of children, and a high rate of petty crime. Children (those between 0 and 17 years old) represent more than one-third (34 percent) of the total population in rural marginalized areas, while the elderly account for only 13 percent (for comparison, the average shares for rural non-marginalized areas are 22 percent for children and 19 percent for people aged 65 or older). One in every four households in rural marginalized areas has five members or more compared with only 15 percent in non-marginalized areas, while 16 percent of households have three children or more compared with only 5 percent in non-marginalized areas. The proportion of teenage mothers is more than three times higher in rural marginalized communities than in non-marginalized ones (4.6 percent of girls aged between 13 and 17 years old compared with 1.3 percent). Extremely worrying is the fact that children and households with three or more children from rural areas have a very high risk of living in a marginalized area - 10 percent and as high as 21 percent respectively - compared to the national rural average of 6.2 percent (see also Annex 1. Table 2).

As many as 80 percent of the adult residents of the rural marginalized communities have completed less than eight years of school, of whom 35 percent have only a primary education, while in the non-marginalized communities the proportions drop to 45 percent and 8 percent respectively. The percentage of people neither working as employees nor in education or training is twice as high among youths aged between 15 and 19 years old living in rural marginalized areas as among youths in non-marginalized ones (51 percent as opposed to 23 percent in non-marginalized areas). The proportion of people who are neither working as employees nor in education among the population aged between 20 and 64 years old is almost 64 percent in rural non-marginalized areas, but this increases to 88 percent in rural marginalized areas, with this indicator being highest for Roma women living in rural marginalized areas (95 percent) (see also Annex 1. Table 3).

Even more than in the case in other rural communities, marginalized areas have unpaved streets and bad housing, are exposed to environmental hazards (such as floods and landslides), and have poor quality or no public services. For example, Annex 1. Table 4 shows that in Romania's rural areas the share of people living in dwellings with no piped water supply from the public network increases from 65 percent of non-Roma living in non-marginalized areas to 75 percent of Roma people from non-marginalized areas, and reaches a high of 88 percent of non-Roma living in marginalized

areas. Similarly, the share of population living in dwellings not connected to electricity jumps from 0.6 percent of non-Roma in non-marginalized areas to over 10 percent of Roma people living in marginalized areas.

PART I. FIGURE 2: Rural Marginalized Areas by Key Indicators, 2011



Criteria/ Dimension	Key indicators	RuralNon-marginalized Areas	Rural Marginalized Areas	Total Rural
Human capital	Proportion of people aged 15-64 years old who completed 8 grades or less	45	80	47
Employment	Proportion of people aged 15-64 years old who are neither in education nor have ever been in formal employment (employees, employers, or pensioners)	54	83	56
Housing	Proportion of dwellings not connected to electricity	1	5	1
	Proportion of overcrowded dwellings	13	29	14
	Proportion of dwellings not connected to piped water	36	72	38

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

In 42 percent of the rural marginalized census sectors, high proportions of residents belong to the Roma ethnic minority. According to the National Survey on Roma conducted by the Research Institute for Quality of Life in 1998 in the rural areas of Romania out of every 100 individuals identified by the authorities as being Roma, 64 people self-identify as Roma.[32] As self-identified Roma people represent, on average, 27 percent of all residents of rural marginalized areas, according to our analysis of the 2011 census data, then this appears to indicate that the true proportion of the Roma population in these communities is about 42 percent (see also Annex 1. Table 2).

2.1.4 Living Conditions in Rural Marginalized Areas

The data collected for the validation study provides some additional information on the living conditions in rural marginalized areas in Romania. For this purpose, we used only the interviews

32 Zamfir and Preda (coord., 2002).

conducted in the 68 validated areas (61 originaly identified areas and the 7 newly identified in the field). These data showed that:

- Almost a half of the rural marginalized areas are communities of Roma people, popularly called *țigănie, rudărie* or *mahala* (slum). The communities of *venetici* (in-migrants within the commune) are very few and can be found only in communes where a former communist agricultural enterprise used to operate before 1990.[33] The third group of areas comprises communities of local people who used to work in a factory in a nearby city. As the factories were closed down, they lost their jobs, fell into poverty and have started to work in agriculture. These communities are most often named after the village or after a geographical element like a valley, a meadow, or a forest. These findings regarding the sub-types of marginalized communities confirm the findings of previous qualitative research.[34]
- Most marginalized areas are inhabited by stable communities who lived in the same commune before 1990. Less than one in every 10 rural marginalized areas is either a community established after 1990 or an emerging one.
- Most of the marginalized communities consist of young families with children who make a living from agriculture, informal day labour and social benefits, particularly the child allowance, the guaranteed minimum income, and or farmers' pensions.
- In almost half of the rural marginalized areas respondents reported the frequent occurrence of small thefts, excess noise, quarrels, fights, scandals, domestic violence, and disorder related to large numbers of children and a lack of fences between dwellings.
- Nearly all houses in marginalized areas are made of adobe or are improvised shelters, and most of them are in a deteriorated condition and need capital repairs. Respondents in about half of the marginalized areas reported having experienced problems related to ownership documents for their land or house, and one in every 10 reported that many inhabitants had no identity papers.
- In some areas there is only limited access to potable water, and waste management services are very rare. The risk of floods and land-slides seems to be widespread.
- However, in most rural marginalized areas, the local respondents reported that the main community problem by far is the lack of job opportunities. This is the result of the low education level of the adult population, children's low participation in school, income poverty and a lack of local infrastructure, particularly roads and water.

2.1.5 Subtypes of Rural Marginalized Communities Based on Qualitative Research

The validation study has deepened the knowledge of rural marginalized communities by distinguishing two subtypes, one related to geographic isolation and the second related to the location of these communities on the outskirts of well-connected villages.

33 The *venetici* communities were formed by in-migrants who came before 1989 and settled in a village because cities were near. The state provided them with an apartment in the block areas built in the 1980s or in former state-owned farms or workers' colonies, and they worked either in the village agricultural enterprise or in a state-owned industrial enterprise in a nearby town. After 1990, many of them were made redundant. Being in-migrants, they were not granted any reinstated land although they remained in the village. Accordingly, large numbers of these households fell into poverty.

34 See, for example, Stănculescu and Berevoescu, (coord., 2004); Sandu (2005); Berescu et al (2007); and Stănculescu et al (2010). More recent research on the topic is not available.





Rural Marginalization Due to Geographic Isolation

The isolated type of rural marginalized areas was identified among villages in Vaslui county. It consist of remote villages inhabited by Romanian ethnic groups and that are located "after four hills", "down in the valley, on the riverside", "beyond a forest" from the central village of the commune. Usually, the only link between these communities and the rest of the area is an earth or graveled road that during the cold season is impassable. The situation of these communities is exacerbated by minimal access to potable water.

Low school participation, early school leaving, and school dropout are key challenges in these isolated communities. The lack of a passable road is often the main reason behind low school attendance, poor school performances and high dropout rates after the 4th grade for the children in these communities. Even in those communes that have a school bus, this service cannot access remote marginalized communities unfavorable weather.

Besides the road that connect the area to other villages, the streets within the marginalized area itself are often very narrow (*uliţe*) so that the fire truck and the ambulance cannot reach many houses. However, the general aspect of these communities tends to be rather bucolic, consisting of poor but organized households living in low-quality houses painted with lime with clean yards and simple well-maintained wells. The entire area has an agricultural nature, including sheep, cows and chickens walking around freely, and vegetables and fruit trees growing in all yards. Horses and carts are the main means of transportation. Even small children drive the cart, work in the fields, and take care of animals. In many of these areas, there is no phone signal.





Rural Marginalization on the Outskirts of Well-connected Villages

The study identified this peripheral type of rural marginalized areas mainly in Călărași county. This type of marginalized area is predominantly located on the outskirts of a village that is well-connected either to the central village of the commune or to a national or European road.

Local respondents tend to refer to these areas as Roma communities. Most often, the residents referred to themselves as "Roma", "țigan" or "rudar". These areas are more clearly demarcated from the rest of the village than the isolated marginalized areas which are much better integrated into the village landscape. Usually, at the entrance to a peripheral marginalized area, an asphalt road stops abruptly and is replaced by a graveled road. As a rule, most utilities within the village are available in the main streets of this type of marginalized areas but rarely in people's homes, either because the residents are not interested in using that service or utility, or because they cannot afford it or have been disconnected because they are in debt to the supplier. For example, even in villages with a local water system, most residents of the marginalized areas fetch water from a public fountain.

Although public services are accessible to people in this kind of marginalized community, their use of these services is low. Early school leaving and school dropout rates are high even if a school is only 10 minutes walk away from the community. The main reason given by parents for their children not attending school is a lack of proper clothes, shoes, or school supplies, with discrimination being the second reason.

The general aspect of these communities tends to be rather untidy, with many houses having deteriorated roofs, lacking windows or a door and no fences. Carpets and blankets are hung all around. Children and adults are in the streets. The vegetable gardens and livestock are rare. Dogs and pigs are the predominant animals seen walking freely in the community. A lot of garbage is thrown at the areas' margins by all villagers, not only by the residents of the marginalized area.

However, because these areas are located in well-connected villages, the population has access to an informal employment market and can find day labor. Most of them collect and sell scrap iron, collect pets or are self-employed peddlers. Also, because the road to town is accessible, some of them work for construction or sanitation companies.

2.1.6 The Ethnical Dimension of Marginalization

As seen in the previous section, the validation study conducted for this Atlas revealed that almost one half of all marginalized areas in Vaslui and Călărași counties are communities of Roma people, according to both local authorities and residents of these areas. The remaining areas are communities of impoverished local people (non-Roma), and very few are communities of *venetici* (in-migrants to the commune).

The 2011 census data show that in about one half of all rural territorial units (census sectors, villages, or communes) in Romania that contain marginalized communities, there is at least one resident of the respective area who self-identify as Roma. However, Roma communities (defined as those with 20 percent or more inhabitants who self-identify as Roma) exist in 27 percent of villages, 29 percent of census sectors, and 35 percent of communes with marginalized areas.

PART I. TABLE 4: Territorial Units With and Without Marginalized Areas and Share of Residents in These Areas Who Self-Identify as Roma

	Number			%		
	Census sectors	Villages	Communes	Census sectors	Villages	Communes
No marginalized areas	44,303	10,768	1,869			
With marginalized areas, of which:	2,244	1,605	992	100	100	100
- with no self-identified Roma	1,310	947	467	58	59	47
- with <20% self-identified Roma	276	217	177	12	14	18
- with 20+% self-identified Roma	658	441	348	29	27	35
Total	46,547	12,373	2,861			


Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Most rural marginalized areas are small communities with no residents who self-declare as Roma (Part I. Figure 3). Out of all rural marginalized areas in the country, 85 percent are small communities with between 50 and 375 residents. Out of the 564,000 people living in rural marginalized areas, 71 percent live in such small communities.

People who self-identify as Roma represent about 27 percent of all residents of rural marginalized areas. Thus, Roma are highly over-represented among the residents of rural marginalized areas as this proportion is almost 10 times the national proportion of self-identified Roma in the total population. However, even in the marginalized areas, Roma tend to be segregated, since nearly all[35] of them live in Roma communities (defined as those with 20 percent or more inhabitants who have self-identified Roma) that represent only 29 percent of all rural marginalized census sectors. Furthermore, whereas most rural marginalized areas are small, Roma communities tend to be larger. On average, Roma rural marginalized communities have 350 residents, whereas non-Roma communities (with no self-identified as Roma) have about 200 residents.[36] Out of the total 151 thousand Roma people living in marginalized areas, 86 percent live Roma communities with more than 250 inhabitants.[37]

35 Specifically, 146,000 of the total of 151,000 people self-identified Roma live in Roma communities.
36 Ethnically mixed rural marginalized communities (those with fewer than 20 percent residents who self-identify as Roma) consist of an average of 250 residents.
37 Specifically, 56 percent live in Roma communities with more than 375 people, while 31 percent live in Roma communities with more than 500 residents.

PART I. FIGURE 3: Census Sectors with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by the Number of Inhabitants Living in These Areas and the Share of Residents Who Self-identify as Roma (number of census sectors)



	50 <150 inhabitants living in RMA	150 <250	250 <375	375 <500	500 <750	750 <1,500 inhabitants living in RMA
Roma communities	44	135	254	127	78	20
Ethnically mixed communities	52	111	76	25	10	2
Non-Roma communities	406	553	287	53	10	1

Source: World Bank calculations using 2011 Population and Housing Census. N=2,244 census sectors with marginalized communities.

Notes: Roma communities are defined as marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as marginalized areas where no residents self-identify as Roma.

In conclusion, the two dominant sub-types of rural marginalized communities seem to be confirmed in terms of ethnicity and population numbers - small communities of non-Roma population as opposed to large communities of Roma people.

2.1.7 Geospatial Analysis of Rural Marginalization

This section analysis the geographical dimensionof the typology (isolated versus well-connected villages).

Regional Level

Rural marginalized communities are spread across 992 communes (35 percent of all communes in the country), though with significant regional differences (see Annex 1. Table 5). The marginalized census sectors are located in 1,605 villages (12 percent of all villages) in all counties and regions of the country (Part I. Map 1). However, the proportion of the population living in rural marginalized areas (census sectors) is almost twice as high in the North-East as the national rural average (11.3 versus 6.2 percent). The Center region (8 percent) has also a higher than average marginalization rate. At the other extreme, the West (1.2 percent) and Bucharest-Ilfov (0.6 percent) regions have very low marginalization rates.

Regarding the subtypes of marginalized areas, Annex 1. Table 6 shows that three regional patterns exist. In the North-East region, rural marginalization consists mainly of small non-Roma


communities. In the Center region and also in the South-Muntenia, North-West and Bucharest-Ilfov regions, rural marginalization is strongly associated with larger Roma communities (those were 20 percent or more inhabitants self-identify as Roma). Finally, in the other three regions - South-East, South-West, and West - rural marginalization is a mix of both non-Roma and Roma communities.

County Level

At the county level, there are also considerable discrepancies. Vaslui has the highest rate of rural marginalization in the country, almost 23 percent (near four times higher than the national average). Thus, almost one in every four people in rural Vaslui county lives in a marginalized area. High rates of rural marginalization (between 9 and 15 percent of the total rural population in each county) have been recorded in eight other counties, namely Iasi, Covasna, Brasov, Botosani, Galati, Bacau, Sibiu, and Mehedinti. At the other extreme, Ilfov and Timis have rates of rural marginalization of only about 0.5 percent (see also Annex 1. Table 7).

The rate of rural marginalization for Roma communities is substantial (between 5 and 8 percent of the total rural population in each county) in Brasov, Covasna, Mures, Ialomita, Sibiu, Salaj, Galati, and Bihor. At the same time, in some other counties that have low rates of marginalization, (such as Dambovita, Satu Mare, Prahova, Alba, Arad, Cluj, and Calarasi), most rural marginalized areas are Roma communities. Conversely, in Vaslui, Botosani, Iasi, and Harghita counties, most rural marginalized areas are non-Roma communities.

PART I. MAP 1: Distribution of Rural Marginalized Areas across Romania, 2011



Source: Word Bank estimations based on data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.


Notes: The analysis was carried out at the census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with fewer than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

Village (SIRUTA Unit) Level

At the village level, the World Bank background study[38] for the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020* has already shown that most Romanian villages contain between 100 and 2,000 inhabitants. At one extreme, small villages (those with fewer than 500 inhabitants) and especially very small villages (those with fewer than 200 inhabitants) are the most disadvantaged, particularly those with an elderly population and/or those that are located in remote areas. At the other extreme, large villages (those with more than 2,000 inhabitants) tend to be the most developed. Thus, the size of village population is a strong determinant of its general level of development or potential.

In terms of rural marginalization, we mentioned above that only 1,605 villages in the country have one or more marginalized areas (census sectors), as shown in Annex 1. Table 8.[39] Most of them (62 percent) are medium or large villages. The majority of them (72 percent) has one rural marginalized area inhabited by a non-Roma community (in 59 percent of villages, no resident of the marginalized area self-identifies as Roma). As Part I. Figure 4 shows, in very small and small villages there is usually a small non-Roma community living in one marginalized area, while in medium and large cities the number of marginalized areas varies between 1 and 9 census sectors where all types of communities live. Most Roma communities located in marginalized areas live in medium or large villages. Furthermore, while the population of two-thirds of all villages with marginalized non-Roma communities is declining, the population of most villages with marginalized Roma communities (72 percent) has grew between 2002 and 2011 (the last two censuses)

PART I. FIGURE 4: Villages with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Number and Type of RMAs within Villages (number of villages)



Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. N=1,605 villages (SIRUTA units) with marginalized communities.

Notes: Roma communities are definded as marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as marginalized areas where no resident self-identify as Roma.

38 Teșliuc et al (2015).

39 In these villages, between 2 and 100 percent of the total village population lives in the marginalized areas. In 737 villages, this proportion is below 40 percent. In 198 villages, 40 to 49 percent of the total population lives in marginalized areas. In 315 villages, the proportion increases to 50 to 99 percent, and in 355 villages, the entire population lives in the marginalized areas.

Village accessibility, measured by geographic distance[40] to the nearest city, is a highly relevant indicator from the social and economic point of view. Transportation services tend not to exist in areas where they are unlikely to be profitable because of long distances and poor-quality roads. Consequently, the remoteness of these communities is exacerbated, the large distance becomes remoteness especially during rainy seasons when the access roads are often impassible. Few doctors and teachers who live outside the commune agree to work in remote villages primarily because of the extreme difficulty involved in getting there. Therefore, geographical isolation comes together with institutional marginalization. In addition, villagers have little opportunity to reach urban markets to sell their food products, which deepens the economic weakness of individuals and community.

Proximity to the nearest city is highly correlated with existence of a railway or a bus station. It represents a proxy for being located close to the nearest high school and university. It is also correlated with a high level of development of village infrastructure: the shorter the distance to a city, the more kilometers of modernized road a village has, the more houses are endowed with running water, and the more households have a telephone. In addition, the shorter the distance to the nearest city, the more newspapers subscriptions the village has, the higher the education stock of its population,[41] and the more people it has who can speak a foreign language.[42]

The distance from villages to the nearest city varies between 0.5 and 82 kilometers (with the exception of villages with access to water from the Danube Delta), with an average of 21 kilometers and a standard variation of 11 kilometers. Thus, in Romania, a village can be considered as being close to city if it is located to less than 10 kilometers from it, while 10 to 32 kilometers represents a moderate distance, while a village can be considered remote if it is more than 32 kilometers away from the nearest urban area.

In rural areas, being located far from the nearest city is a strong correlate of marginalization. Only 3.5 percent of the rural population in villages close to a city is marginalized, but this share is more than three times higher for people living in remote villages (11.3 percent compared to the national average of 6.2 percent). The share of villages with marginalized areas increases from 9 percent of villages close to a city to 14 percent of villages at a moderate distance from a city, and almost 20 percent of the villages that are located far from a city. Annex 1. Table 9 shows that, among all villages with marginalized areas, Roma communities predominate in villages close to a city (0.5 to 10 kilometers) while non-Roma communities are prevalent in isolated villages (those more than 32 kilometers away from the nearest city). In fact, out of all Roma people living in marginalized Roma communities, fewer than 10 percent live in remote villages.[43]

Within each commune, some villages are located centrally and some are located on the periphery.[44] The share of peripheral villages is about 75 percent in all rural SIRUTA units. Nonetheless, the proportion of population living in peripheral villages represents only 48 percent of the total rural population. Central villages are usually where the administrative and institutional resources of the commune are located, including the mayoralty, post office, health clinic, church, coordinating school, police, House of Culture and so on. In contrast, in most peripheral villages there are only

40 We used the distances determined by a team of geographers from the Institute for Geography, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.
41 Sandu (coord., 2000).
42 Voicu and Voicu (2004).
43 For comparison, 21 percent of people living in marginalized areas who do not self-identify as Roma (non-Roma) live in remote villages.
44 Specifically, 88 percent of communes consist of at least two villages, one central and one or more peripheral villages. The other 12 percent of communes comprise only one central village.

two institutions – a church and a school. Furthermore, the public infrastructure is significantly less developed in peripheral villages, which are usually characterized by dirt roads, a lack of running water, and a lack of communications.

In rural areas, the location of the village within the commune is another significant correlate of marginalization. The rate of marginalization is almost two times higher in peripheral villages than in central ones (8 percent of population compared to 4.5 percent, the national average being 6.2 percent). Similar to the general situation that prevails in rural areas, peripheral villages constitute the majority of villages with marginalized areas (Annex 1. Table 9). However, unlike in those other villages, the population of marginalized communities within peripheral villages represent 62 percent of the total population in marginalized rural areas. At the same time, as shown in Annex 1. Table 9 Roma communities predominate in the marginalized areas within central villages, whereas in peripheral villages, non-Roma communities prevail. In fact, out of all Roma people living in marginalized areas, Roma communities are evenly distributed among peripheral and central villages.[45] However, the validation study showed that Roma communities are usually located on the outskirts of the village (whether the village is peripherally or centrally located in the commune).

The geographical terrain of the land also affects rural marginalization. More than 15 percent of the population of rural villages on the plain is marginalized compared to 3 to 5 percent in other villages and the national average of 6.2 percent. At the same time, villages located on a plain are more than three times as likely to contain a marginalized area as mountain and hilly-mountain villages. The share of villages with one or more marginalized areas is 7 to 8 percent among mountain and hilly-mountain villages, 11 percent among hilly-plain villages, and over 29 percent among villages on the plain. It is noteworthy that Roma communities are much more numerous in rural marginalized areas in mountain and hilly-mountain villages, while non-Roma communities predominate in marginalized areas in hilly-plain and plain villages (see Annex 1. Table 9).

In conclusion, Roma people living in marginalized areas tend to be concentrated in larger Roma communities[46] (with an average of 350 residents) located in medium and large villages, either centrally or peripherally located, on non-plain land, either in the vicinity of or at moderate distance from a city (between 0.5 and 20 kilometers). By contrast, rural marginalized non-Roma communities[47] are more likely to be small (on average 200 residents) and situated in small or medium villages peripherally located on a plain and far from any city. These two distinct types of rural marginalized areas overlap to a large extent with the subtypes of communities that we identified using qualitative techniques during the validation study that were described in section 2.1.5.

Commune (Territorial-Administrative Unit) Level


In terms of rural marginalization, we have already mentioned that 992 communes in the country have one or more marginalized areas (census sectors). In these communes, between 1 and 100 percent of the total commune population lives in the marginalized areas. In 160 communes (representing 5.6 percent of the total number of communes in the country),[48] more than one-third of

45 For comparison, out of all people living in marginalized areas who do not self-identify as Roma (non-Roma) 64 percent live in peripheral villages and 36 percent live in central villages.
46 Areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma.
47 Areas with no residents who self-identify as Roma.
48 The four communes with the highest shares of marginalized populations (more than 80 percent of total commune population) are: Bărbulești (Ialomița county), Lipovu (Dolj county), Ibănești (Vaslui county), and Voinești (Vaslui county).

the populations live in marginalized communities.[49]

In the rural Romania, marginalized communities have emerged mainly in the medium-large and more developed communes.[50] Small communes[51] with fewer than 2,000 inhabitants account for 26 percent of all rural territorial-administrative units in the country and for 19 percent of all communes with marginalized areas (see Annex 1. Table 10). These communes are almost equally distributed between those with one and those with two or more marginalized areas, which are most often ethnically mixed, especially in large communes with over 5,000 inhabitants.[52] Only in small communes is there usually only one marginalized area that is inhabited by a non-Roma community (see also Part I. Figure 5 and Annex 1. Table 11).

PART I. FIGURE 5: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Number and Type of RMAs within Communes (number of communes)



Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. N=992 communes with marginalized communities.

Notes: ONLY non-Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have no residents who self-identify as Roma. ONLY Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas are inhabited by communities in which more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as communes with one marginalized area inhabited by an ethnically mixed community (where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma) or with two or more marginalized areas inhabited by both non-Roma and Roma communities.

At the village level, being located far from the nearest city is strongly correlated with marginalization. For communes, which are clusters of villages, this correlation is much attenuated

49 In 320 communes, 10 to 19 percent of the total population lives in marginalized areas, and in 155 communes, 20 to 29 percent live in such areas.

50 This result reconfirms previous research, for example, Stănculescu and Berevoescu, (coord., 2004), Sandu (2005), Berescu et al (2007), and Stănculescu et al (2010).

51 The World Bank background study for the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020* showed that among rural municipalities, small communes with fewer than 2,000 inhabitants are the most disadvantaged in terms of economic, human and social development Teșliuc et al (2015).

52 Either one marginalized area inhabited by an ethnically mixed community (with fewer than 20 percent of residents who self-identify as Roma) or two or more marginalized areas resided by both non-Roma and Roma communities.

(Annex 1. Table 11). Actually there is no association between the urban connectivity[53] of a commune and the likelihood that it contains marginalized areas.[54] The only significant finding is the fact that communes in which all marginalized areas are inhabited by Roma communities are more likely to have high urban connectivity than other marginalized communes. A better indicator is the existence within the commune of one or more remote villages (those located at more than 32 kilometers from the nearest city). These communes are heavily overrepresented among those with marginalized areas.[55] At the same time, those communes in which all marginalized areas are inhabited by Roma communities are likely to contain no remote villages.

Being located close to the county boundary is also slightly associated with marginalization for communes. Not only do slightly more communes located close to county boundaries have marginalized areas than other communes, but also more of them are located more than 50 minutes away trip from the county capital city.[56] Marginalized areas in communes located near the county boundary are most likely to be ethnically mixed (Annex 1. Table 11).

Partnerships between localities

European Union financing is key for financing projects in Romania's marginalized areas (in small infrastructure, employment, education, health, and other social areas), especially as rural municipalities generally have insufficient local budgets.

PART I. TABLE 5: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Membership of Commune in a LAG or FLAG and the Number of RMAs within Each Commune (number of communes)

		Communes with marginalized areas		Number of marginalized areas within the commune		
	Total	No	Yes	1	2	3-15
Not member of a LAG or FLAG	995	719	276	146	60	70
Member of a LAG or FLAG	1,866	1,150	716	345	140	231
Total	2,861	1,869	992	491	200	301

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

For the period 2014 to 2020, both rural and small urban municipalities have greater access to the European funds through the LEADER approach, if they are members of a Local Action Group (LAG) or a Fisheries Local Action Group (FLAG). For this reason, it is relevant to distinguish between

53 See more details on urban connectivity in section 3.1.3.

54 Both communes with low urban connectivity (remote communes) and those with high urban connectivity are slightly underrepresented among communes with marginalized areas. Furthermore, the share of communes with marginalized areas is about 30 to 31 percent both for communes with low urban connectivity and those with high connectivity compared with 39 percent for communes with medium connectivity and 35 percent for all communes in the country.

55 Sixty percent of all communes with marginalized areas have one or more remote villages (those that are more than 32 kilometers from the nearest city) compared with 51 percent of all communes in the country. In addition, the share of communes with marginalized areas is 40 percent of all communes including remote villages compared with only 29 percent of communes without such villages.

56 On the one hand, almost one half (48 percent) of all communes with marginalized areas are located near the county boundary compared with 45 percent of all communes in the country. On the other hand, among communes located near county boundaries, 35 percent are communes with marginalized areas that are less than 50 minutes away from the county capital city (equal to the national average), but about 40 percent are communes with marginalized areas that are more than 50 minutes away from the county capital city. Overall, communes with marginalized areas constitute 37 percent of all communes located near county boundaries.

municipalities that are part of a LAG/FLAG and those that are not.[57]

The World Bank background study[58] for the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020* has already shown that communes with the smallest self-generated revenues in their local budget and more extended poverty have a higher propensity to be members in LAGs/FLAGs.[59] Also, a high proportion of the communes with marginalized areas (72 percent) are members of a LAG/FLAG (Part I. Table 5). Thus, three of every four communes with marginalized areas have the opportunity to apply to the European funds for financing to develop and implement projects targeted to their marginalized communities. Annex 1. Table 12 shows that these communes include all types of marginalized communities Roma, non-Roma, and ethnically mixed.

2.1.8 Rural Marginalization and Rural Poverty

As mentioned above, the maps of rural marginalization presented in this Atlas are complementary to the "poverty maps" that were produced by the World Bank and the European Commission in 2014.[60] Marginalization defined as cumulated disadvantages in terms of human capital, formal employment, and housing conditions is highly correlated with poverty. Actually, marginalized areas are intra-locality "pockets" of destitution which means that marginalization is linked to "extreme" "unacceptable" poverty. Thus, there can be expected to be significant overlaps between the maps of rural marginalization and those of rural poverty. However, the relation is not direct because of differences in the geographical level at which the analysis is conducted and the methodology that is applied.[61] We explored marginalization at census sector (small area) level, while the poverty maps were estimated at the commune level. Consequently, it is possible to have a "rich" commune that contains a marginalized community. At the same time, it is possible to have a "poor" commune with no marginalized community because the inhabitants, although income poor, have not accumulated disadvantages in human capital, formal employment, and housing conditions. Therefore, in order to design effective interventions in marginalized areas, it is very relevant to explore the type of communes in which marginalized communities have developed.

57 For this assignment we use the most updated list of LAGs approved for financing under LEADER, which is available at: http://leader-romania.ro/leader/2011/Lista_Grupurile_de_Actiune_Locala_autorizate_pentru_functionare_de_MADR_si_date_de_contact_GAL_la_data_de_03.11.2011_.pdf. These LAGs are currently under a revision process.

58 Teșliuc et al (2015).

59 By contrast, small communes (those with fewer than 2,000 inhabitants) and remote communes (those with low urban connectivity) face difficulties in taking part in local partnerships. The rate of participation in a LAG/FLAG is 54 percent among the small and remote communes compared to 63 percent among of the small non-remote communes, 69 percent among remote communes with more than 2,000 inhabitants, and 62 percent among the other communes.

60 Simler (coord., 2014).

61 The poverty maps combine information from the 2011 population census and the EU⊠SILC household survey to estimate household disposable income levels for each household in the census. This information was then used to estimate the number and proportion of people in each region or county whose consumption was below the risk of poverty line, using the standard EU threshold of 60 percent of median national income (AROP indicator).

PART I. TABLE 6: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Income Relative Poverty and Number of RMAs within the Commune (number of communes)


		Communes with marginalized areas		Number of marginalized areas within the commune		
	Total	No	Yes	1	2	3-15
Developed communes (AROP lowest quintile)	572	527	45	36	7	2
Medium-developed communes	1,717	1,180	537	352	113	72
Poor communes (AROP highest quintile)	572	162	410	103	80	227
Total	2,861	1,869	992	491	200	301

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Income poverty (AROP) according to the most recent World Bank poverty maps (Simler, coord., 2014). The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns.

Using rates of relative poverty estimated at the commune level (AROP indicator), we divided all rural territorial-administrative units into three groups: "developed" communes (those in the lowest quintile of AROP), "medium-developed" communes (those in quintiles 2, 3 and 4), and "poor" communes (those in the highest quintile of AROP).

PART I. FIGURE 6: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Relative Income Poverty and Type of Marginalized Communities within Communes, 2011 (number of communes)



Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. N=992 communes with marginalized communities. Income poverty (AROP) data are from the most recent World Bank poverty maps (Simler, coord., 2014).

Notes: ONLY non-Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have no residents who self-identify as Roma. ONLY Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas are inhabited by communities in which more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as communes with only one marginalized area inhabited by an ethnically mixed community (where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma) or with two or more marginalized areas inhabited by both non-Roma and Roma communities.

Part I. Table 6 shows that most communes with marginalized areas are medium-developed or poor in terms of the share of their population that is at risk of relative poverty. Only 5 percent of all communes with marginalized areas are developed. On the other hand, the share of communes with marginalized areas increases incrementally from 8 percent of developed communes to 31 percent of medium-developed communes (close to the national average of 35 percent), and to a high of 72 percent of poor communes. Thus, the likelihood of containing a marginalized area is almost 10 times higher in poor communes as in developed ones.

Only Roma marginalized communities are considerably more likely to be located in developed communes (with low poverty rates), as shown in Part I. Figure 6 and Annex 1. Table 13. In the poor communes there is usually more than one marginalized community that can be either Roma or non-Roma. By contrast, in the medium-developed communes, there is usually only one marginalized community, which can be either non-Roma or Roma.

2.2 Tackling Segregation and Marginalized Communities in the European Union

This section focuses on the most appropriate types of interventions that have been developed to tackle segregation and marginalization across the European Union and in Romania. The first section discusses the main policy options that have been promoted in the European member states. The second section presents the multi-sectoral integrated area-based approach that is the most appropriate for ensuring the integration of marginalized communities and discusses lessons learned from previous interventions that have been piloted by various local authorities or NGOs in Romania.

2.2.1 Fighting segregation in The European Union

Segregation is again at the top of the European agenda as it affects almost all European areas, whether prosperous and growing or shrinking. Residential segregation relates to how people are separated in terms of where they live, but segregation can also occur in terms of schools, jobs, or public services and can affect people in all social and demographic dimensions, including age, ethnicity, religion, income, or social class (the rich in one place, the poor in another) or in combination. Segregation is closely related to concentration, which implies that there is a statistical over-representation of one group and an under-representation of one or more other groups in a certain space. It is also related to the fragmentation of space into areas with visible differences. "If these fragments become inaccessible, then we encounter segregation into ghettoes, gated communities, and other manifestations of hyper-segregation. The most extreme examples of segregation might take the form of polarization, in which different parts of the city fight against each other."[62]

Segregation can be a deliberate choice, such as when better-off groups choose to self-segregate into gentrified areas or gated communities, or can be a separation forced on people by outside factors, such as when marginalized populations are concentrated in poor areas. A ghetto is the most

62 Colini et al (2013: 10).

extreme form of forced segregation.

The most common type of segregation in Romania is related to economic and financial inequalities. This aspect has received little attention in the segregation literature compared with the racial-ethnic dimension. The factors that have led to Romania's segregation issues include the structural changes involved in the country's transition to a market economy, unemployment, growing economic precariousness, and weaker welfare systems as well as the housing and urban planning policies promoted during the communist period and the first post-communist decade.

Typically, the policies that are in place at the EU level and at the national and local levels in Romania encourage area-based interventions in areas that policymakers consider to be "problematic." Area-based interventions are usually divided into "hard" and "soft" measures[63] as follows:

- Hard interventions often consist of physical restructuring programs in which buildings are demolished and new infrastructure and housing developments are built or the housing stock is refurbished, new public facilities are created (such as social or cultural facilities and parks), and public transport is improved.
- Soft interventions strengthen networks and interactions between people in the area (for example, through work integration and training programs in specific areas, field work to find solutions for the immediate problems of the homeless or other types of people in extreme situations, and local festivals where the community can gather) and help individuals to access the labor market through training, work experience, and job placement.

However, these initiatives often do not address the driving forces behind segregation such as the deregulation of housing markets or the shrinking welfare state. Instead, urban neighborhood regeneration projects tend to focus on buildings and infrastructure rather than people and do not challenge the rising land values and house prices that force the relocation of less affluent inhabitants. Regeneration projects, at least in theory, have yet to find a balance between social inclusion and economic competitiveness and need to be supported by a broad range of public and private actors (public agencies, landlords, residents, and businesses) to be effective. While politicians often favor quick and visible interventions that have immediate visible results, neighborhood change takes time and often softer, incremental measures would be more effective in the long term. Also, at least in Romania, many marginalized communities and deprived neighborhoods have a bad reputation with the general population, so local administrators and politicians who attempt to regenerate them can often lose political support.

Both area-based (spatial) and people-based (sectoral) interventions are crucial for fighting segregation in marginalized areas. The relevant policies and programs should be coordinated among all levels of governance (vertical policy integration) and across sectors (horizontal policy integration):

- Vertical policy integration can be initiated in many ways. One way is for national policymakers to make strong national policies, selecting the areas of intervention and requiring the cooperation of the regional and local authorities. This model prevails in England, France, the Netherlands, and Sweden. In other countries, such as Hungary and Spain, the rules and conditions for deprived areas are selected at the national or regional level, but local authorities decide which areas are in need of these interventions.

63 Colini et al (2013: 29).

- Horizontal policy integration means coordinating all policies that are relevant for the development of an area. Other than physical interventions, key sectoral policy areas are housing, public transport, education, employment, culture, and the provision of social services. Horizontal policy integration requires adapting existing services and organizations to the specific needs of the area and increasing coordination between the different service providers.

At the European level, the segregation and integration of marginalized or deprived neighborhoods has so far mainly been discussed, analyzed, and acted on in relation to urban areas and in the context of urban regeneration and development policies. However, in Romania a large share of the population lives in rural areas. Out of the 3,181 administrative units within the country, only 320 are urban settlements, while 2,861 are rural communes. Segregated and marginalized communities are emerging, especially in urban areas, consisting of concentrations of people who have fallen into extreme poverty as a result of the structural changes associated with Romania's transition to a market economy that resulted in unemployment, growing precariousness, and weaker welfare systems. Nevertheless, the current Atlas shows that marginalized communities can also be found in rural areas, although to a lesser extent than in cities.

2.2.2 Adopting an Integrated, Cross-sectoral, Area-based Approach to Rural Marginalized Areas in Romania

Integrated, cross-sectoral regeneration projects that balance social inclusion with economic competitiveness are the best way to reduce geographically concentrated poverty in marginalized urban areas, in Roma communities, and in certain remote rural areas. These interventions need to be supported by a broad range of public and private players (public agencies, landlords, residents, and businesses) in order to be effective. Policies against segregation can relate to a particular geographical area (area-based interventions) or to specific policy sectors (people-based policies). "Problematic" areas need high-quality, accessible services – affordable housing, education, employment, childcare, healthcare services, and public transport – in order to achieve levels of integration on a par with other areas. To this end, policymakers should consider adopting sectoral or people-based policies that are tailored to improving the circumstances of individuals or households with low incomes and specific needs in these "problematic" areas.

These policies might include:

- Specific efforts to provide public services in "problematic" areas.
- Education and school policies that aim to improve the quality of education across the board and to achieve an ethnic and socioeconomic mix of students in all schools.
- Economic interventions to increase employment, support business start-ups, and enhance training opportunities.
- Planning regulations that prevent the development of gated communities.
- A mobility policy that guarantees equal opportunity of access to job centers and major facilities by public transport from all parts of the city.

Area-based and people-based interventions must be integrated in a framework of participative community development in order to fight segregation effectively. For the 2014 to 2020

programming period, LEADER and the EMFF will provide Romania with community-led local development funds for rural areas and very small cities, and the ERDF and the ESF will provide funds for urban areas. This support will increase the total budget available for local development and will enable local authorities to consider a broader range of interventions for marginalized areas. Nonetheless, programs that are financed from several different sources are complex to design and implement, while the relevant local partners need to have already established working relationships with each other. The European Commission has warned that it "could prove difficult to implement for groups experimenting with local development for the first time. It will therefore be crucial to analyze the capacity of LAGs (local action groups) and to choose an appropriate division of tasks between the LAGs and the authorities responsible for the design and implementation of the program... Solid preparation and capacity-building actions should be organized to enhance their administrative capacity."[64]

Local and central capacity to develop and implement integrated projects is vital for improving the living conditions of Roma and of marginalized communities. To date, Romanian policymakers have had no incentives to tackle marginalized and segregated areas, and the general provisions associated with EU funding have offered very limited opportunities to do so. Also, there has been no attempt to take an integrated approach to the design of interventions. Although several different approaches to reducing the segregation of disadvantaged communities have been tried in Romania, no comprehensive review has been done of the success or failure of these approaches. As a result, there is little information on how the few integration policies and programs in Romania have actually performed in the field, on what practices seem particularly successful and why, and on what ways exist to circumvent the often overly rigid government systems in order to ensure that integration programs are delivered responsively and effectively.

PART I. BOX 1

Lessons Learned From Previous Integration Interventions in Romania

Various lessons have emerged from previous integration interventions that have been implemented in Romania.

1. There is a need for a national legislative framework to govern policies related to marginalized communities. This framework should provide regulations related to:

- A national housing policy (which has yet to be developed).
- Regulations related to properties in slum areas.
- The enforcement of Law 15/2003 (according to which mayoralties may lease public land to local people for construction purposes with proposals selected on the basis of a set of criteria).
- Investment in buildings owned partly by the municipality and partly by the residents.
- Changes in the fiscal rules for calculating the interest and penalties for unpaid taxes owed to state or local budgets by social tenants.
- The settlement of historical debts related to social housing services.

64 European Commission (2013: 29).

2. Interventions in marginalized areas should be integrated in order to combine investment projects in housing and infrastructure with projects related to employment, the education of children and adults, sanitation services, parental education courses, family planning, the provision of hot meals for children, life skills development (for teenagers), the fight against discrimination, community empowerment, and other social, medical, or cultural activities. A national scheme of vocational training needs to be developed for adults who have little or no formal education (eight grades of schooling at most) and who live in marginalized areas.

3. Policymakers must search for innovative solutions to the problems of marginalized areas that are affordable for the poor but that also aim to raise family incomes within the community. Only in this way can an intervention be sustainable and the quality of life enhanced.

4. In order to make the community accountable, the intervention must have clear, predictable, and transparent rules and procedures that are publicly debated, agreed on by all stakeholders, and put into action.

5. Interventions in marginalized areas need to be medium-term or long-term, with a preparatory phase of one to two years during which both the local authority and the marginalized community learn to communicate, build an equal partnership, and create a participatory environment with a well-defined, predictable, and transparent set of rules.

6. Interventions in marginalized areas should include components targeted to neighboring non-marginalized areas to foster social integration and increase the odds of the project being acceptable to all citizens.

7. Interventions in rural areas should be designed to take into account differences in:

- Leadership (communities with strong informal leaders as opposed to communities with no strong leaders).
- The history of the area (old traditional neighborhoods as opposed to new neighborhoods).

8. Interventions targeted to children are likely to have positive spillovers at the community level and thus to increase support from residents who do not benefit directly from the project.

Source: Swinkels et al (2014b: 32).

The absence of consultation between central policymakers and local communities can limit the relevance and sustainability of policies and initiatives aimed at increasing the social inclusion of Roma and marginalized communities by failing to take account of local needs and opportunities. Moreover, the discriminatory attitudes of some officials toward Roma can deter them from participating in the design and implementation of inclusion programs.

Therefore, local communities should be involved in the design and delivery of interventions. To support this, local authorities could offer training to community members in areas such as participatory decision-making, accounting, and basic financial literacy. In addition, involving local populations in efforts to upgrade local infrastructure could increase their sense of ownership, while providing them with opportunities to work and develop skills. It is important to ensure that these improvements to local infrastructure and housing will not lead to increased concentration or further physical isolation and segregation of marginalized groups. This non-segregation principle is reflected in Article 7 of the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) Regulation, which was amended in May 2010 to encourage the use of ERDF funds for housing interventions as long as these interventions promote integration and prevent the isolation and exclusion of marginalized communities.

Having well-coordinated institutional mechanisms is a prerequisite for developing effective policies, implementing priority interventions, and reducing service delivery gaps. We recommend that the government of Romania clarify the responsibilities of the various institutions involved in the effort to reduce geographically concentrated poverty. This can be done through both legislation and the establishment of cooperative working arrangements at the local level. The government should enact a framework law that sets out the functional relationships between various bodies as well as their budgetary sources. It should also support the building of capacity at the local authority level, which will be essential to make the most effective use of EU funds. Policymakers should also formulate a methodology for gathering stakeholder feedback, encouraging local participation in the design and operation of interventions, and developing partnerships with Roma and marginalized communities. Finally, simplifying the procedures for local communities to apply for EU funds is likely to increase demand and foster local initiatives.

2.3 Spatial Maps of Rural Marginalization in Romania

This section presents for each county: (i) a list of villages with marginalized areas, including the number of residents of these areas, and (ii) spatial maps of rural marginalization.

ALBA
ARAD
ARGEȘ
BACĂU
BIHOR
BISTRIȚA-NĂSĂUD
BOTOȘANI
BRAȘOV
BRĂILA
BUZĂU
CARAȘ-SEVERIN
CĂLĂRAȘI
CLUJ
CONSTANȚA
COVASNA
DÂMBOVIȚA
DOLJ
GALAȚI
GIURGIU
GORJ
HARGHITA
HUNEDOARA
IALOMIȚA
IAȘI
MARAMUREȘ
MEHEDINȚI
MUREȘ
NEAMȚ
OLT
PRAHOVA
SATU MARE
SĂLAJ
SIBIU
SUCEAVA
TELEORMAN
TIMIȘ
TULCEA
VASLUI
VÂLCEA
VRANCEA

1. ALBA County


TABLE 1. 1: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Alba County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
CENADE	CENADE	907	More than 20%			X	
CERGAU	CERGAU MARE	962	More than 20%			X	
CETATEA DE BALTA	CETATEA DE BALTA	1.800	More than 20%			X	
CETATEA DE BALTA	TATARLAUA	740	More than 20%			X	
HOPARTA	SILIVAS	329	More than 20%		X		
HOREA	NICULESTI	51	Less than 20%	X			
JIDVEI	VESEUS	988	More than 20%				X
RAMET	VALEA INZELULUI	61	Less than 20%	X			
ROSIA DE SECAS	UNGUREI	366	More than 20%	X			
SALISTEA	SALISTEA-DEAL	276	Less than 20%			X	
SASCIORI	LOMAN	578	Less than 20%		X		
SASCIORI	RACHITA	959	Less than 20%		X		
SASCIORI	SEBESEL	1.240	Less than 20%				X
SASCIORI	TONEA	232	Less than 20%	X			
SCARISOARA	SCARISOARA	718	More than 20%		X		
SANCEL	ICLOD	388	More than 20%		X		
SOHODOL	LUMINESTI	82	More than 20%	X			
SONA	ALECUS	112	Less than 20%	X			
SUGAG	ARTI	217	Less than 20%	X			
BUCERDEA GRANOASA	BUCERDEA GRANOASA	2.212	More than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 1: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Alba County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

2. ARAD County


TABLE 1. 2: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Arad County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BATA	TELA	365	Less than 20%		X		
BIRCHIS	BIRCHIS	690	More than 20%			X	
BUTENI	BUTENI	2.183	Less than 20%				X
COVASINT	COVASINT	2.573	More than 20%		X		
CRAIVA	CRAIVA	585	More than 20%			X	
HALMAGEL	LUNCSOARA	400	Less than 20%	X			
PETRIS	OBARSIA	117	Less than 20%	X			
SEMLAC	SEMLAC	3.667	More than 20%			X	
SIRIA	SIRIA	5.027	More than 20%				X
TARNOVA	ARANEAG	373	Less than 20%	X			
TARNOVA	CHIER	1.216	Less than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 2: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Arad County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

3. ARGEȘ County


TABLE 1. 3: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Argeș County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
VALEA MARE PRAVAT	GURA PRAVAT	1.211	More than 20%				X
ALBESTII DE ARGES	ALBESTII PAMANTENI	1.650	Less than 20%			X	
ALBESTII DE ARGES	DUMIRESTI	185	Less than 20%		X		
ANINOASA	ANINOASA	1.096	More than 20%		X		
ANINOASA	SLANIC	1.144	More than 20%			X	
BEREVOESTI	GAMACESTI	1.165	More than 20%				X
BUGHEA DE JOS	BUGHEA DE JOS	2.862	More than 20%				X
CALINESTI	VALEA CORBULUI	1.139	Less than 20%				X
CETATENI	VALEA CETATUIA	979	More than 20%				X
CORBENI	OESTII PAMANTENI	1.448	Less than 20%				X
CORBENI	ROTUNDA	739	Less than 20%				X
DAVIDESTI	CONTESTI	1.860	More than 20%				X
DRAGOSLAVELE	VALEA HOTARULUI	652	More than 20%				X
HARTIESTI	DEALU	364	More than 20%	X			
HARTIESTI	LESPEZI	547	More than 20%				X
LEORDENI	COTU MALULUI	357	More than 20%			X	
LERESTI	POJORATA	459	More than 20%				X
MALURENI	PAULEASCA	971	More than 20%				X
MERISANI	MALU VANAT	770	Less than 20%			X	
MICESTI	PAULEASCA	1.011	Less than 20%				X
MIHAESTI	RUDENI	843	More than 20%				X
MUSATESTI	STROESTI	1095	Less than 20%		X		
SALATRUCU	SALATRUCU	1.542	Less than 20%				X
SCHITU GOLESTI	LAZARESTI	2.134	More than 20%				X
SLOBOZIA	SLOBOZIA	4.305	Less than 20%			X	
STOENESTI	VALEA BADENILOR	841	More than 20%				X
TIGVENI	TIGVENI	1.186	Less than 20%				X
TIGVENI	BLAJU	56	Less than 20%	X			
TITESTI	VALEA MANASTIRII	1.176	Less than 20%				X
VULTURESTI	VULTURESTI	1.993	Less than 20%			X	
BUGHEA DE SUS	BUGHEA DE SUS	2.997	More than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 3: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Argeș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

4. BACĂU County

TABLE 1. 4: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bacău County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
MARGINENI	POIANA	234	Less than 20%		X		
GURA VAII	GURA VAII	1.785	Less than 20%			X	
GURA VAII	CAPATA	326	Less than 20%			X	
GURA VAII	DUMBRAVA	1.172	Less than 20%			X	
GURA VAII	PALTINATA	505	Less than 20%			X	
GURA VAII	TEMELIA	773	More than 20%				X
STEFAN CEL MARE	RADEANA	1.508	More than 20%				X
BERESTI-BISTRITA	PADURENI	401	More than 20%			X	
BERESTI-TAZLAU	TURLUIANU	1.382	Less than 20%			X	
BERZUNTI	BERZUNTI	2.381	Less than 20%			X	
BERZUNTI	DRAGOMIR	1.764	More than 20%				X
BLAGESTI	POIANA NEGUSTORULUI	875	More than 20%				X
BRUSTUROASA	BURUIENISU DE SUS	113	Less than 20%	X			
CASIN	CASIN	2.662	Less than 20%			X	
CAIUTI	MARCESTI	162	Less than 20%	X			
COLONESTI	COLONESTI	619	Less than 20%				X
COLONESTI	CALINI	448	Less than 20%		X		
COLONESTI	SATU NOU	374	Less than 20%			X	
CORBASCA	CORBASCA	746	Less than 20%		X		
CORBASCA	BACIOIU	1.994	More than 20%				X
CORBASCA	ROGOAZA	302	Less than 20%	X			
CORBASCA	SCARISOARA	719	Less than 20%				X
CORBASCA	VALCELE	549	Less than 20%			X	
COTOFANESTI	COTOFANESTI	1.245	More than 20%				X
COTOFANESTI	BALCA	798	Less than 20%				X
COTOFANESTI	TAMASOAIA	488	More than 20%				X
DAMIENESTI	DAMIENESTI	497	Less than 20%	X			
DAMIENESTI	CALUGARENI	843	Less than 20%		X		
DAMIENESTI	DRAGESTI	315	Less than 20%	X			
DEALU MORII	CAUIA	451	Less than 20%	X			
DOFTEANA	SEACA	408	Less than 20%			X	
FILIPENI	BRAD	285	Less than 20%			X	
GAICEANA	HUTU	518	Less than 20%			X	
GHIMES-FAGET	FAGET	1.519	Less than 20%			X	
GHIMES-FAGET	GHIMES	1.234	Less than 20%			X	
GLAVANESTI	PUTREDENI	94	Less than 20%	X			
HORGESTI	BAZGA	733	Less than 20%		X		


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
HORGESTI	RECEA	520	Less than 20%		X		
HORGESTI	SOHODOR	1.305	Less than 20%				X
HURUIESTI	FLORESTI	202	Less than 20%		X		
IZVORU BERHECIULUI	OTELESTI	128	Less than 20%	X			
IZVORU BERHECIULUI	PADURENI	233	Less than 20%		X		
LIPOVA	LIPOVA	627	Less than 20%		X		
LIPOVA	MALOSU	948	Less than 20%				X
LIPOVA	SATU NOU	556	Less than 20%			X	
MOTOSENI	BACLESTI	102	Less than 20%	X			
MOTOSENI	SENDRESTI	403	Less than 20%			X	
NICOLAE BALCESCU	LARGUTA	198	Less than 20%		X		
OITUZ	OITUZ	5.587	Less than 20%				X
ONCESTI	ONCESTI	288	Less than 20%		X		
ORBENI	ORBENI	1.967	Less than 20%		X		
ORBENI	SCURTA	1.793	Less than 20%				X
PARAVA	PARAVA	829	Less than 20%			X	
PARAVA	RADOAIA	747	More than 20%				X
PARINCEA	MILESTII DE JOS	176	Less than 20%		X		
PARINCEA	NASTASENI	117	Less than 20%	X			
PARINCEA	SATU NOU	73	Less than 20%	X			
PARINCEA	VLADNIC	849	Less than 20%			X	
PANCESTI	PANCESTI	1.200	Less than 20%		X		
PANCESTI	DIENET	668	Less than 20%				X
PANCESTI	FULGERIS	284	Less than 20%			X	
PANCESTI	MOTOC	162	Less than 20%	X			
PANCESTI	PETRESTI	669	Less than 20%		X		
PLOPANA	PLOPANA	801	Less than 20%		X		
PLOPANA	BUDESTI	525	Less than 20%				X
PLOPANA	FUNDU TUTOVEI	554	Less than 20%			X	
PODU TURCULUI	CABESTI	694	Less than 20%		X		
PODU TURCULUI	LEHANCEA	253	Less than 20%	X			
PODURI	CERNU	1.363	Less than 20%		X		
PODURI	VALEA SOSII	1.107	More than 20%			X	
RACACIUNI	GISTENI	832	Less than 20%				X
RACHITOASA	BARCANA	565	Less than 20%		X		
RACHITOASA	DUMBRAVA	616	Less than 20%			X	
RACHITOASA	FARCASA	108	Less than 20%	X			
RACHITOASA	MAGAZIA	178	Less than 20%		X		
RACHITOASA	PUTINI	158	Less than 20%	X			
RACHITOASA	TOCHILEA	182	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIORI	ROSIORI	1.065	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIORI	POIENI	376	Less than 20%			X	
ROSIORI	VALEA MARE	506	Less than 20%		X		

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
SANDULENI	MATEIESTI	64	Less than 20%	X			
SANDULENI	STUFU	322	Less than 20%	X			
SANDULENI	VERSESTI	764	Less than 20%			X	
SCORTENI	SCORTENI	1.286	Less than 20%		X		
SCORTENI	SERPENI	61	Less than 20%	X			
SECUIENI	CHITICENI	178	Less than 20%		X		
SECUIENI	GLODISOARELE	314	Less than 20%			X	
SECUIENI	VALENI	50	Less than 20%	X			
STANISESTI	CRAIESTI	577	Less than 20%		X		
STANISESTI	SLOBOZIA	1.556	Less than 20%				X
STANISESTI	SLOBOZIA NOUA	836	Less than 20%			X	
STRUGARI	RACHITISU	445	Less than 20%	X			
TATARASTI	DRAGESTI	385	Less than 20%			X	
TATARASTI	GHERDANA	391	Less than 20%		X		
UNGURENI	BOTESTI	556	Less than 20%		X		
UNGURENI	GARLA ANEI	177	Less than 20%		X		
VALEA SEACA	VALEA SEACA	3.124	More than 20%				X
VALEA SEACA	CUCOVA	708	Less than 20%				X
VULTURENI	DADESTI	132	Less than 20%	X			
VULTURENI	GHILAVESTI	241	Less than 20%		X		
VULTURENI	GODINESTII DE SUS	82	Less than 20%	X			
VULTURENI	NAZARIOAIA	178	Less than 20%		X		
VULTURENI	TOMOZIA	250	Less than 20%		X		
SARATA	SARATA	1.817	Less than 20%		X		
BUCIUMI	RACAUTI	1.546	More than 20%				X
GIOSENI	GIOSENI	3.243	Less than 20%			X	
ODOBESTI	BALUSA	105	Less than 20%	X			
ODOBESTI	CIUTURESTI	822	Less than 20%		X		
ODOBESTI	TISA-SILVESTRI	919	Less than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 4: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bacău County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

5. BIHOR County


TABLE 1. 5: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bihor County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
SANMARTIN	RONTAU	1,204	Less than 20%	X			
ABRAM	ABRAM	865	More than 20%			X	
ABRAM	DIJIR	282	More than 20%	X			
ABRAMUT	PETREU	1,783	More than 20%				X
BALC	BALC	1,339	More than 20%				X
BATAR	BATAR	1,448	More than 20%			X	
BATAR	TALPOS	1,709	More than 20%				X
BOROD	BOROD	1,363	More than 20%			X	
BOROD	SERANI	628	More than 20%				X
BRATCA	DAMIS	601	Less than 20%	X			
BRATCA	BEZNEA	1,342	More than 20%		X		
BUDUREASA	BUDUREASA	1,641	More than 20%				X
CHERECHIU	TARGUSOR	626	Less than 20%			X	
CIUMEGHIU	CIUMEGHIU	1,937	More than 20%				X
COPACEL	BUCUROAIA	388	Less than 20%	X			
CURTUISENI	CURTUISENI	2,825	More than 20%				X
DIOSIG	DIOSIG	6,529	More than 20%				X
DOBRESTI	DOBRESTI	2,050	More than 20%				X
DRAGESTI	DICANESTI	299	More than 20%		X		
DRAGESTI	TASAD	1,449	More than 20%				X
FINIS	IOANIS	852	Less than 20%				X
FINIS	SUNCUIS	911	More than 20%			X	
HOLOD	DUMBRAVA	667	More than 20%			X	
HOLOD	LUPOAIA	702	More than 20%				X
HUSASAU DE TINCA	OSAND	578	More than 20%			X	
INEU	INEU	2,573	More than 20%				X
LAZARENI	LAZARENI	870	More than 20%			X	
LAZARENI	GEPIS	878	More than 20%				X
OSORHEI	OSORHEI	3,179	More than 20%			X	
RABAGANI	RABAGANI	679	More than 20%			X	
SACADAT	SABOLCIU	768	More than 20%		X		
SIMIAN	SILINDRU	943	More than 20%				X
SOIMI	SOIMI	810	More than 20%				X
SOIMI	CODRU	188	Less than 20%	X			
SUNCUIUS	BALNACA	986	More than 20%		X		
TAUTEU	BOGEI	1,277	More than 20%			X	
TINCA	TINCA	4,451	More than 20%				X
TINCA	BELFIR	628	More than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 5: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bihor County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

6. BISTRIȚA-NĂSĂUD County

TABLE 1. 6: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bistrița-Năsăud County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BISTRITA BARGAULUI	BISTRITA BARGAULUI	3,564	Less than 20%			X	
BUDACU DE JOS	BUDACU DE JOS	822	More than 20%			X	
BUDESTI	TAGU	629	More than 20%			X	
BUDESTI	TAGSORU	293	Less than 20%			X	
CAIANU MIC	CAIANU MIC	1.302	Less than 20%			X	
CHIOCHIS	JIMBOR	490	Less than 20%	X			
DUMITRA	TARPIU	762	Less than 20%			X	
ILVA MARE	ILVA MARE	1.758	Less than 20%	X			
ILVA MARE	IVANEASA	498	Less than 20%		X		
JOSENII BARGAULUI	JOSENII BARGAULUI	1.790	More than 20%				X
JOSENII BARGAULUI	STRAMBA	429	Less than 20%				X
LECHINTA	BUNGARD	55	Less than 20%	X			
LECHINTA	VERMES	897	More than 20%			X	
LIVEZILE	CUSMA	646	More than 20%		X		
LIVEZILE	DOROLEA	597	Less than 20%		X		
LIVEZILE	VALEA POENII	167	Less than 20%	X			
MAGURA ILVEI	ARSITA	393	Less than 20%	X			
PARVA	PARVA	2.371	Less than 20%				X
PETRU RARES	RETEAG	2.573	More than 20%				X
PETRU RARES	BATA	778	More than 20%			X	
REBRISOARA	GERSA I	704	Less than 20%	X			
REBRISOARA	GERSA II	230	Less than 20%		X		
ROMULI	ROMULI	1.237	Less than 20%			X	
SILIVASU DE CIMPIE	FANATELE SILIVASULUI	91	Less than 20%	X			
SANMIHAIU DE CIMPIE	LA CURTE	121	Less than 20%	X			
SPERMEZEU	PALTINEASA	98	Less than 20%	X			
SANT	SANT	2.909	Less than 20%			X	
TEACA	PINTICU	760	More than 20%			X	
TEACA	VIILE TECII	1.125	More than 20%				X

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
TELCIU	BICHIGIU	786	Less than 20%			X	
TELCIU	TELCIU	3.598	Less than 20%	X			
TELCIU	FIAD	225	Less than 20%	X			
TIHA BARGAULUI	CIOSA	142	Less than 20%	X			
TIHA BARGAULUI	MURESENII BARGAULUI	1.575	Less than 20%		X		
TIHA BARGAULUI	TUREAC	2.353	Less than 20%				X
TARLISUA	TARLISUA	818	Less than 20%	X			
TARLISUA	AGRIES	748	Less than 20%			X	
TARLISUA	AGRIESEL	189	Less than 20%	X			
TARLISUA	BORLEASA	356	Less than 20%		X		
TARLISUA	RACATESU	210	Less than 20%		X		
TARLISUA	SENDROAIA	179	Less than 20%	X			
URMENIS	URMENIS	830	More than 20%		X		
URMENIS	DELURENI	207	Less than 20%	X			
URMENIS	SOPTERIU	385	Less than 20%	X			
ZAGRA	ALUNISUL	256	Less than 20%	X			
ZAGRA	POIENILE ZAGREI	976	More than 20%				X
DUMITRITA	BUDACU DE SUS	1.633	Less than 20%			X	
DUMITRITA	DUMITRITA	753	More than 20%	X			
CICEU - MIHAIESTI	CICEU-MIHAIESTI	923	More than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 6: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Bistrița-Năsăud County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

7. BOTOȘANI County

TABLE 1. 7: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Botoșani County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
STAUCENI	STAUCENI	1.351	Less than 20%			X	
STAUCENI	SILISTEA	498	Less than 20%		X		
ALBESTI	ALBESTI	1.932	Less than 20%			X	
ALBESTI	BUIMACENI	793	Less than 20%			X	
ALBESTI	MASCATENI	413	More than 20%			X	
ALBESTI	TUDOR VLADIMIRESCU	2.055	Less than 20%				X
BALUSENI	COSULENI	213	Less than 20%		X		
CONCESTI	CONCESTI	1.435	Less than 20%	X			
CONCESTI	MOVILENI	326	Less than 20%		X		
COPALAU	COPALAU	2.624	Less than 20%		X		
CORDARENI	CORDARENI	953	Less than 20%	X			
CORLATENI	PODENI	474	Less than 20%		X		
CORLATENI	VLADENI	487	Less than 20%	X			
CORNI	CORNI	4.102	Less than 20%			X	
CORNI	BALTA ARSA	460	Less than 20%		X		
COTUSCA	COTU MICULINTI	418	Less than 20%	X			
COTUSCA	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	215	Less than 20%		X		
COTUSCA	PUTURENI	540	Less than 20%	X			
CRISTESTI	CRISTESTI	2.259	Less than 20%		X		
CRISTESTI	ONEAGA	1.473	Less than 20%		X		
CRISTINESTI	CRISTINESTI	1.136	Less than 20%			X	
CRISTINESTI	BARANCA	752	Less than 20%				X
CRISTINESTI	FUNDU HERTII	559	Less than 20%	X			
CRISTINESTI	POIANA	247	Less than 20%		X		
DANGENI	HULUB	554	Less than 20%	X			
DANGENI	IACOBENI	1.237	Less than 20%				X
DOBARCENI	DOBARCENI	907	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGUSENI	SARATA-DRAGUSENI	151	Less than 20%	X			
DURNESTI	DURNESTI	1.110	Less than 20%		X		
DURNESTI	BABICENI	391	Less than 20%		X		
DURNESTI	BARSANESTI	179	Less than 20%		X		
DURNESTI	BROSTENI	367	Less than 20%			X	
FRUMUSICA	BOSCOTENI	649	Less than 20%		X		
FRUMUSICA	RADENI	1.006	Less than 20%			X	
FRUMUSICA	SENDRENI	710	Less than 20%				X
GEORGE ENESCU	DUMENI	1.731	Less than 20%				X


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
GORBANESTI	GORBANESTI	1.042	Less than 20%				X
GORBANESTI	GEORGE COSBUC	255	Less than 20%		X		
HAVARNA	BALINTI	396	Less than 20%	X			
HAVARNA	GALBENI	92	Less than 20%	X			
HANESTI	HANESTI	1.127	Less than 20%		X		
HANESTI	BOROLEA	425	Less than 20%		X		
HANESTI	MOARA JORII	89	Less than 20%	X			
HANESTI	SLOBOZIA HANESTI	86	Less than 20%	X			
HILISEU-HORIA	CORJAUTI	328	Less than 20%		X		
HILISEU-HORIA	HILISEU-CRISAN	1.137	Less than 20%				X
HUDESTI	BARANCA	1.207	Less than 20%				X
LUNCA	LUNCA	1.611	Less than 20%		X		
LUNCA	STROIESTI	906	Less than 20%	X			
MANOLEASA	ZAHORENI	544	Less than 20%				X
MIHALASENI	MIHALASENI	743	Less than 20%	X			
MIHALASENI	CARAIMAN	192	Less than 20%		X		
MIHALASENI	NASTASE	183	Less than 20%		X		
MIHALASENI	SARATA	332	Less than 20%			X	
MIHALASENI	SLOBOZIA SILISCANI	175	Less than 20%		X		
MILEANCA	CODRENI	385	Less than 20%			X	
MILEANCA	SCUTARI	315	Less than 20%			X	
MILEANCA	SELISTEA	374	Less than 20%		X		
MITOC	MITOC	1.323	Less than 20%		X		
NICSENI	DOROBANTI	1.496	Less than 20%	X			
POMARLA	POMARLA	2.057	Less than 20%		X		
POMARLA	RACOVAT	340	Less than 20%	X			
PRAJENI	PRAJENI	1.152	Less than 20%			X	
PRAJENI	LUPARIA	784	Less than 20%				X
PRAJENI	MILETIN	628	Less than 20%			X	
RADAUTI-PRUT	MIORCANI	1.734	Less than 20%				X
RAUSENI	REDIU	617	Less than 20%		X		
RAUSENI	STOLNICENI	264	Less than 20%			X	
ROMANESTI	DAMIDENI	461	Less than 20%	X			
SUHARAU	SUHARAU	2.079	Less than 20%	X			
SUHARAU	IZVOARE	53	Less than 20%	X			
SUHARAU	OROFTIANA	956	Less than 20%			X	
SUHARAU	PLEVNA	191	Less than 20%	X			
SULITA	DRACSANI	1.368	Less than 20%		X		
SENDRICENI	HORLACENI	600	Less than 20%		X		
STIUBIENI	IBANEASA	59	Less than 20%	X			
TRUSESTI	BUHACENI	705	Less than 20%		X		
TRUSESTI	IONASENI	1.007	Less than 20%				X
TUDORA	TUDORA	5.096	Less than 20%			X	
UNGURENI	UNGURENI	2.397	Less than 20%				X

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
UNGURENI	BORZESTI	722	Less than 20%				X
UNGURENI	CALUGARENII NOI	446	Less than 20%		X		
UNGURENI	DURNESTI	248	Less than 20%		X		
UNTENI	BURLESTI	580	Less than 20%			X	
UNTENI	SOROCENI	151	Less than 20%	X			
VIISOARA	CUZA VODA	555	Less than 20%	X			
VIISOARA	VIISOARA MICA	526	Less than 20%	X			
VLASINESTI	MIRON COSTIN	472	Less than 20%	X			
VORNICENI	VORNICENI	3.686	Less than 20%			X	
VORONA	POIANA	1.636	Less than 20%			X	
LOZNA	LOZNA	944	Less than 20%			X	
DIMACHENI	DIMACHENI	1.042	Less than 20%				X
COSULA	BUDA	316	More than 20%			X	
COSULA	COSULA	1.166	More than 20%				X
COSULA	PADURENI	633	Less than 20%				X
COSULA	SUPITCA	823	More than 20%				X
BLANDESTI	BLANDESTI	759	Less than 20%		X		
BLANDESTI	SOLDANESTI	454	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 7: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Botoșani County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

8. BRAȘOV County


TABLE 1. 8: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Brașov County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
APATA	APATA	3.169	More than 20%				X
BUDILA	BUDILA	4.188	More than 20%				X
BUNESTI	BUNESTI	667	More than 20%			X	
BUNESTI	CRIT	676	Less than 20%			X	
BUNESTI	ROADES	283	More than 20%			X	
CATA	CATA	1.196	More than 20%				X
CATA	BEIA	383	Less than 20%		X		
CINCU	TOARCLA	301	Less than 20%		X		
COMANA	COMANA DE JOS	996	More than 20%			X	
COMANA	CRIHALMA	735	More than 20%		X		
COMANA	TICUSU NOU	626	Less than 20%				X
HARSENI	MALINIS	112	Less than 20%	X			
HOGHIZ	BOGATA OLTEANA	482	More than 20%			X	
HOGHIZ	CUCIULATA	1.512	Less than 20%				X
HOMOROD	HOMOROD	1.240	More than 20%			X	
HOMOROD	MERCHEASA	502	More than 20%			X	
JIBERT	DACIA	638	Less than 20%		X		
LISA	LISA	1.056	More than 20%				X
MAIERUS	MAIERUS	1.723	More than 20%				X
MAIERUS	ARINI	1.197	More than 20%				X
ORMENIS	ORMENIS	1.976	More than 20%				X
PARAU	GRID	297	Less than 20%	X			
PARAU	VENETIA DE JOS	633	Less than 20%		X		
RACOS	RACOS	2.856	More than 20%			X	
SERCAIA	SERCAIA	1.718	Less than 20%			X	
SINCA	SERCAITA	739	More than 20%			X	
SOARS	FELMER	442	More than 20%		X		
SOARS	RODBAV	268	Less than 20%	X			
SOARS	SELISTAT	178	Less than 20%	X			
TARLUNGENI	TARLUNGENI	3.698	More than 20%				X
TARLUNGENI	ZIZIN	2.802	More than 20%				X
TELIU	TELIU	4.198	More than 20%				X
TICUSU	TICUSU VECHI	670	More than 20%		X		
TICUSU	COBOR	238	Less than 20%	X			
UNGRA	DAISOARA	760	Less than 20%			X	
VAMA BUZAULUI	ACRIS	1.175	Less than 20%				X
VULCAN	VULCAN	3.620	More than 20%		X		
CRIZBAV	CRIZBAV	1.710	Less than 20%				X
CRIZBAV	CUTUS	808	Less than 20%				X
AUGUSTIN	AUGUSTIN	1.860	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 8: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Brașov County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

9. BRĂILA County


TABLE 1. 9: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Brăila County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
CIOCILE	CIOCILE	1.998	Less than 20%				X
CIOCILE	CHIOIBASESTI	217	Less than 20%	X			
CIOCILE	CHICHINETU	426	Less than 20%			X	
DUDESTI	TATARU	1.956	Less than 20%				X
FRECATEI	TITCOV	416	Less than 20%		X		
GALBENU	DROGU	836	More than 20%		X		
MARASU	TACAU	723	Less than 20%			X	
MOVILA MIRESII	MOVILA MIRESII	2.860	Less than 20%			X	
MOVILA MIRESII	ESNA	308	Less than 20%		X		
RAMNICELU	RAMNICELU	1.393	Less than 20%		X		
RAMNICELU	CONSTANTINESTI	382	Less than 20%	X			
ROSIORI	ROSIORI	1.673	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIORI	COLTEA	554	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIORI	FLORICA	470	Less than 20%		X		
SUTESTI	SUTESTI	4.051	More than 20%				X
TRAIAN	SILISTRARU	800	More than 20%		X		
TUFESTI	TUFESTI	5.226	Less than 20%			X	
ULMU	ULMU	2.829	Less than 20%				X
ULMU	JUGUREANU	1.048	Less than 20%				X
VICTORIA	VICTORIA	2.211	Less than 20%		X		
VIZIRU	VIZIRU	3.631	More than 20%		X		
VIZIRU	LANURILE	2.275	More than 20%				X
ZAVOAIA	ZAVOAIA	2.338	Less than 20%			X	
ZAVOAIA	DUDESCU	814	Less than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 9: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Brăila County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

10. BUZĂU County

TABLE 1. 10: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Buzău County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
AMARU	LACU SINAIA	299	Less than 20%	X			
BALACEANU	BALACEANU	1,632	Less than 20%		X		
BERCA	BACENI	110	Less than 20%	X			
BOZIORU	SCAENI	83	Less than 20%	X			
BRADEANU	BRADEANU	1,129	Less than 20%		X		
BRAESTI	BRATILESTI	438	Less than 20%				X
BRAESTI	GOIDESTI	401	Less than 20%		X		
BRAESTI	IVANETU	669	Less than 20%		X		
BRAESTI	RUGINOASA	70	Less than 20%	X			
BUDA	MUCESTI-DANULESTI	529	More than 20%			X	
BUDA	TOROPALESTI	500	More than 20%				X
CALVINI	BASCENII DE JOS	2,355	More than 20%				X
CALVINI	FRASINET	134	Less than 20%	X			
CATINA	CATINA	804	Less than 20%		X		
CATINA	ZELETIN	233	More than 20%		X		
CERNATESTI	BAESTI	50	Less than 20%	X			
CHIOJDU	BASCA CHIOJDULUI	1,200	Less than 20%		X		
CHIOJDU	PLESCIOARA	164	Less than 20%	X			
CHIOJDU	POENITELE	238	Less than 20%	X			
CILIBIA	CILIBIA	532	Less than 20%			X	
COZIENI	CIOCANESTI	64	Less than 20%	X			
COZIENI	PIETRARU	77	Less than 20%	X			
COZIENI	PUNGA	69	Less than 20%	X			
COZIENI	VALEA BANULUI	115	Less than 20%	X			
GLODEANU SARAT	GLODEANU SARAT	2,351	More than 20%			X	
LOPATARI	FUNDATA	138	Less than 20%	X			
LOPATARI	PLOSTINA	589	Less than 20%		X		
LOPATARI	POTECU	74	Less than 20%	X			
LOPATARI	SARENI	56	Less than 20%	X			
LOPATARI	TERCA	573	Less than 20%	X			
LUCIU	LUCIU	2,004	More than 20%				X
MAGURA	CIUTA	822	More than 20%			X	
MIHAILESTI	COLTANENI	240	Less than 20%	X			
MIHAILESTI	MARGINEANU	822	More than 20%				X
NAENI	FINTESTI	659	Less than 20%		X		
NAENI	VARF	152	Less than 20%	X			
PADINA	PADINA	4,111	Less than 20%		X		

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PANATAU	LACU CU ANINI	121	Less than 20%	X			
RACOVITENI	BUDREA	264	Less than 20%			X	
RAMNICELU	RAMNICELU	3,491	More than 20%				X
ROBEASCA	ROBEASCA	735	Less than 20%	X			
RUSETU	RUSETU	3,487	Less than 20%		X		
RUSETU	SERGENT IONEL STEFAN	233	Less than 20%	X			
SARULESTI	GOICELU	214	Less than 20%	X			
SARULESTI	VALEA LARGA-SARULESTI	298	Less than 20%	X			
SCORTOASA	DELENI	114	Less than 20%	X			
SCUTELNICI	ARCANU	429	Less than 20%		X		
SMEENI	CALTUNA	565	Less than 20%			X	
SMEENI	UDATI-LUCIENI	389	Less than 20%		X		
SMEENI	UDATI-MANZU	981	Less than 20%		X		
TOPLICENI	GURA FAGETULUI	123	Less than 20%	X			
VALEA SALCIEI	VALEA SALCIEI-CATUN	96	Less than 20%	X			
VERNESTI	CANDESTI	3,154	More than 20%				X
VINTILA VODA	VINTILA VODA	1,070	Less than 20%	X			
VINTILA VODA	COCA-ANTIMIRESTI	203	Less than 20%		X		
VIPERESTI	VIPERESTI	1,190	More than 20%				X
VIPERESTI	MUSCEL	171	Less than 20%	X			
VIPERESTI	RUSAVAT	232	Less than 20%	X			
VIPERESTI	TRONARI	970	Less than 20%				X
VIPERESTI	URSOAIA	362	Less than 20%		X		
ZARNESTI	PRUNENI	434	Less than 20%	X			
ZARNESTI	VADU SORESTI	1,426	More than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 10: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Buzău County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

11. CARAȘ-SEVERIN County


TABLE 1. 11: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Caraș-Severin County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BERZASCA	LIUBCOVA	1.214	More than 20%	X			
BUCOSNITA	PETROSNITA	984	Less than 20%				X
CARASOVA	CARASOVA	2.341	More than 20%			X	
CARASOVA	NERMED	535	Less than 20%			X	
CORNEREVA	SUB PLAI	94	Less than 20%	X			
CORNEREVA	ZANOGI	131	Less than 20%	X			
CORNEREVA	ZMOGOTIN	117	Less than 20%	X			
DOGNECEA	DOGNECEA	1.905	Less than 20%			X	
FOROTIC	BREZON	96	More than 20%	X			
OBREJA	OBREJA	1.727	Less than 20%	X			
SOPOTU NOU	RACHITA	151	Less than 20%	X			
SOPOTU NOU	VALEA RACHITEI	91	Less than 20%	X			
SOPOTU NOU	VALEA ROSIE	62	Less than 20%	X			
TURNU RUIENI	BORLOVA	1.410	Less than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 11: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Caraș-Severin County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

12. CĂLĂRAȘI County


TABLE 1. 12: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Călărași County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
MODELU	MODELU	7.564	Less than 20%				X
MODELU	TONEA	2.188	Less than 20%				X
DRAGALINA	DRAGALINA	6.218	More than 20%				X
DRAGOS VODA	DRAGOS VODA	2.283	More than 20%			X	
GRADISTEA	BOGATA	645	Less than 20%		X		
LEHLIU	LEHLIU	1.857	More than 20%			X	
ROSETI	ROSETI	6.070	Less than 20%				X
CHIRNOGI	CHIRNOGI	7.455	More than 20%				X
CURCANI	CURCANI	5.609	More than 20%			X	
FRUMUSANI	FRUMUSANI	2.916	More than 20%				X
FRUMUSANI	PITIGAIA	67	Less than 20%	X			
ILEANA	STEFANESTI	686	Less than 20%		X		
NANA	NANA	2.568	More than 20%			X	
NICOLAE BALCESCU	PAICU	128	Less than 20%	X			
PLATARESTI	DOROBANTU	1.241	Less than 20%		X		
SARULESTI	SARULESTI	506	Less than 20%	X			
SARULESTI	SARULESTI-GARA	1.650	More than 20%			X	
SPANTOV	STANCEA	2.744	More than 20%				X
TAMADAU MARE	CALARETI	389	Less than 20%		X		
TAMADAU MARE	DARVARI	120	Less than 20%	X			
VALEA ARGOVEI	VALEA ARGOVEI	1.505	Less than 20%	X			
VALEA ARGOVEI	VLADICEASCA	316	More than 20%		X		
GALBINASI	GALBINASI	3.772	More than 20%			X	
CRIVAT	CRIVAT	2.243	More than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 12: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Călărași County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

13. CLUJ County

TABLE 1. 13: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Cluj County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BOBALNA	BABDIU	161	Less than 20%	X			
CALATELE	CALATELE	1.039	More than 20%		X		
CAMARASU	CAMARASU	1.522	More than 20%			X	
CAMARASU	NAOIU	523	Less than 20%	X			
CASEIU	GARBAU DEJULUI	202	Less than 20%	X			
CHIUIESTI	HUTA	127	Less than 20%	X			
LUNA	LUNA	2.392	More than 20%			X	
MAGURI-RACATAU	MAGURI-RACATAU	803	Less than 20%	X			
PALATCA	MURESENII DE CAMPIE	106	Less than 20%	X			
PANTICEU	SARATA	385	More than 20%			X	
PETRESTII DE JOS	LIVADA	194	Less than 20%	X			
SACUIEU	SACUIEU	580	More than 20%		X		
SANMARTIN	DIVICIORII MARI	158	Less than 20%	X			
SANPAUL	SUMURDUCU	122	More than 20%	X			
VALEA IERII	PLOPI	101	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis..

MAP 1. 13: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Cluj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

14. CONSTANȚA County


TABLE 1. 14: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Constanța County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ADAMCLISI	ABRUD	88	Less than 20%	X			
ADAMCLISI	URLUIA	386	Less than 20%		X		
CASTELU	CASTELU	2.952	More than 20%				X
CIOBANU	MIORITA	316	Less than 20%			X	
CRUCEA	CRISAN	324	Less than 20%		X		
CRUCEA	SIRIU	212	Less than 20%	X			
DOBROMIR	DOBROMIR	966	Less than 20%				X
DOBROMIR	CETATEA	225	Less than 20%		X		
DOBROMIR	LESPEZI	547	Less than 20%		X		
DOBROMIR	PADURENI	145	Less than 20%	X			
DOBROMIR	VALENI	783	Less than 20%				X
LIPNITA	CUIUGIUC	209	Less than 20%		X		
LIPNITA	IZVOARELE	118	Less than 20%	X			
MIHAI VITEAZU	SINOIE	1.445	Less than 20%			X	
MIRCEA VODA	SATU NOU	2.852	Less than 20%				X
OSTROV	ALMALAU	827	Less than 20%		X		
PANTELIMON	RUNCU	415	Less than 20%		X		
RASOVA	RASOVA	2.558	Less than 20%			X	
TOPRAISAR	POTARNICHEA	520	Less than 20%		X		
HORIA	CLOSCA	110	Less than 20%	X			
CUZA VODA	CUZA VODA	3.586	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 14: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Constanța County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

15. COVASNA County


TABLE 1. 15: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Covasna County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BARCANI	BARCANI	2.351	Less than 20%			X	
BATANI	BATANII MARI	1.920	Less than 20%			X	
BATANI	AITA SEACA	697	Less than 20%			X	
BATANI	HERCULIAN	1.229	More than 20%				X
BELIN	BELIN-VALE	1.489	More than 20%				X
BODOC	OLTENI	675	Less than 20%			X	
BOROSNEU MARE	BOROSNEU MARE	1.547	Less than 20%				X
BOROSNEU MARE	BOROSNEU MIC	452	Less than 20%		X		
BOROSNEU MARE	DOBOLII DE SUS	229	Less than 20%	X			
BRATES	TELECHIA	615	Less than 20%		X		
BRADUT	DOBOSENI	2.001	More than 20%				X
BRETCU	BRETCU	2.467	Less than 20%			X	
BRETCU	MARTANUS	770	Less than 20%		X		
CATALINA	MARTINENI	607	Less than 20%		X		
CERNAT	CERNAT	3.270	Less than 20%		X		
GHELINTA	GHELINTA	4.600	Less than 20%				X
HAGHIG	HAGHIG	1.698	More than 20%			X	
HAGHIG	IARAS	522	More than 20%			X	
MOACSA	MOACSA	885	Less than 20%		X		
MOACSA	PADURENI	316	Less than 20%	X			
OJDULA	OJDULA	3.247	More than 20%				X
OZUN	MAGHERUS	114	Less than 20%	X			
SANZIENI	SANZIENI	2.709	Less than 20%			X	
TURIA	TURIA	3.677	Less than 20%			X	
VALEA CRISULUI	VALEA CRISULUI	1.790	Less than 20%		X		
VALCELE	ARACI	2.196	More than 20%				X
VALCELE	HETEA	403	More than 20%			X	
VALCELE	VALCELE	1.347	More than 20%				X
ZAGON	ZAGON	4.007	Less than 20%				X
ZABALA	ZABALA	3.324	More than 20%			X	
DALNIC	DALNIC	956	Less than 20%			X	
ESTELNIC	ESTELNIC	894	Less than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 15: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Covasna County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

16. DÂMBOVIȚA County


TABLE 1. 16: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Dâmbovița County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
IEDERA	IEDERA DE SUS	1.534	More than 20%			X	
BALENI	BALENI-ROMANI	3.661	More than 20%				X
BALENI	BALENI-SARBI	4.707	More than 20%				X
COJASCA	COJASCA	2.317	More than 20%			X	
COJASCA	FANTANELE	2.934	More than 20%				X
COJASCA	IAZU	3.025	More than 20%				X
CONTESTI	BALTENI	1.585	More than 20%				X
CORNATELU	ALUNISU	260	More than 20%			X	
CORNATELU	SLOBOZIA	169	Less than 20%	X			
CORNESTI	HODARASTI	347	Less than 20%	X			
COSTESTII DIN VALE	COSTESTII DIN VALE	2.164	More than 20%		X		
CRANGURILE	VOIA	756	More than 20%				X
GURA OCNITEI	GURA OCNITEI	3.127	More than 20%				X
I. L. CARAGIALE	I. L. CARAGIALE	2.661	More than 20%				X
LUDESTI	POTOCELU	1.261	More than 20%				X
LUDESTI	SCHEIU DE SUS	997	More than 20%				X
MATASARU	TETCOIU	1.068	More than 20%			X	
MOROENI	GLOD	1.723	Less than 20%				X
ODOBESTI	CROVU	1.479	More than 20%				X
POIANA	POIANA	3.465	More than 20%			X	
POTLOGI	ROMANESTI	4.198	More than 20%				X
TATARANI	CAPRIORU	1.666	More than 20%		X		
ULIESTI	HANU LUI PALA	393	Less than 20%		X		
VIRFURI	CARLANESTI	269	Less than 20%	X			
VULCANA-BAI	NICOLAESTI	166	Less than 20%	X			
VULCANA-BAI	VULCANA DE SUS	1.431	Less than 20%		X		
PIETRARI	DUPA DEAL	160	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 16: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Dâmbovița County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

17. DOLJ County

TABLE 1. 17: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Dolj County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PODARI	PODARI	4.028	More than 20%			X	
AMARASTII DE JOS	OCOLNA	1.336	More than 20%				X
ARGETOAIA	ARGETOAIA	1.562	Less than 20%			X	
ARGETOAIA	LEORDOASA	453	Less than 20%			X	
ARGETOAIA	NOVAC	124	Less than 20%	X			
ARGETOAIA	URSOAIA	60	Less than 20%	X			
BISTRET	BISTRET	2.445	Less than 20%			X	
BISTRET	PLOSCA	831	Less than 20%	X			
BIRCA	BARCA	3.689	Less than 20%				X
BOTOSESTI-PAIA	BOTOSESTI-PAIA	809	Less than 20%	X			
BRABOVA	MOSNA	231	Less than 20%	X			
BRADESTI	PISCANI	66	Less than 20%	X			
BRATOVOESTI	BRATOVOESTI	1.340	Less than 20%			X	
BREASTA	COTU	188	More than 20%		X		
CALOPAR	SALCUTA	1.046	More than 20%				X
CARAULA	CARAULA	2.423	More than 20%				X
CARPEN	CARPEN	851	Less than 20%	X			
CARPEN	CLEANOV	1.403	Less than 20%				X
CARPEN	GEBLESTI	121	Less than 20%	X			
CERAT	CERAT	4.226	More than 20%				X
CERNATESTI	CERNATESTI	563	Less than 20%	X			
CERNATESTI	CORNITA	294	Less than 20%	X			
CERNATESTI	TIU	530	Less than 20%	X			
CETATE	CETATE	4.781	More than 20%				X
COSOVENI	COSOVENI	3.237	More than 20%			X	
DESA	DESA	4.740	Less than 20%				X
DOBRESTI	GEOROCEL	315	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGOTESTI	BOBEANU	54	Less than 20%	X			
GIGHERA	GIGHERA	1.260	Less than 20%			X	
GIGHERA	NEDEIA	985	Less than 20%	X			
GINGIOVA	GANGIOVA	1.432	Less than 20%	X			
GOICEA	GOICEA	2.760	Less than 20%		X		
GRECESTI	BUSULETU	135	Less than 20%	X			
LIPOVU	LIPOVU	3.075	More than 20%				X
LIPOVU	LIPOVU DE SUS	238	Less than 20%		X		
NEGOI	NEGOI	2.235	More than 20%				X
ORODEL	ORODEL	1.193	Less than 20%		X		
ORODEL	CALUGAREI	621	Less than 20%	X			
OSTROVENI	LISTEAVA	1.558	Less than 20%			X	
PERISOR	MARACINELE	281	Less than 20%	X			

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PLENITA	PLENITA	3.855	More than 20%			X	
POIANA MARE	POIANA MARE	8,542	More than 20%			X	
RAST	RAST	3.343	Less than 20%				X
SADOVA	SADOVA	6.692	More than 20%				X
SALCUTA	SALCUTA	712	More than 20%			X	
SALCUTA	MARZA	532	More than 20%		X		
SALCUTA	PLOPSOR	639	More than 20%				X
SALCUTA	TENCANAU	436	Less than 20%	X			
SCAESTI	VALEA LUI PATRU	1.022	Less than 20%			X	
SEACA DE PADURE	RACHITA DE SUS	163	Less than 20%	X			
SEACA DE PADURE	VELENI	449	Less than 20%		X		
SECU	COMANICEA	302	Less than 20%			X	
SECU	SMADOVICIOARA DE SECU	252	Less than 20%		X		
TERPEZITA	CACIULATU	322	Less than 20%	X			
TESLUI	TESLUI	592	Less than 20%	X			
URZICUTA	URZICUTA	2.544	More than 20%				X
VELA	VELA	464	Less than 20%	X			
VELA	BUCOVICIOR	451	Less than 20%	X			
VELA	GUBAUCEA	634	Less than 20%	X			
VARTOP	VARTOP	1.658	Less than 20%	X			
CATANE	CATANE	1.074	More than 20%		X		
CATANE	CATANELE NOI	758	More than 20%				X
COTOFENII DIN FATA	COTOFENII DIN FATA	1.589	More than 20%				X
GHIDICI	GHIDICI	2.408	Less than 20%				X
GHINDENI	GHINDENI	1.936	Less than 20%		X		
ROJISTE	ROJISTE	1.778	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 17: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Dolj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

18. GALAȚI County

TABLE 1. 18: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Galați County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
DRAGANESTI	DRAGANESTI	2.584	More than 20%				X
BERESTI-MERIA	ALDESTI	352	Less than 20%	X			
BERESTI-MERIA	BALINTESTI	971	Less than 20%		X		
BERESTI-MERIA	PLESA	685	Less than 20%		X		
BERESTI-MERIA	SLIVNA	703	Less than 20%				X
BERESTI-MERIA	SIPOTE	86	Less than 20%	X			
BARCEA	BARCEA	3.626	More than 20%			X	
BARCEA	PODOLENI	1.331	More than 20%				X
BALABANESTI	ZIMBRU	55	Less than 20%	X			
BALASESTI	BALASESTI	1.148	Less than 20%				X
BALASESTI	CIURESTI	377	Less than 20%			X	
BALASESTI	CIURESTII NOI	530	Less than 20%	X			
BANEASA	BANEASA	1.287	Less than 20%			X	
BANEASA	ROSCANI	538	Less than 20%				X
BRANISTEA	BRANISTEA	2.398	Less than 20%		X		
BRAHASESTI	BRAHASESTI	2.637	More than 20%				X
BRAHASESTI	TOFLEA	5.801	More than 20%				X
BUCIUMENI	BUCIUMENI	1.008	More than 20%			X	
CAVADINESTI	CAVADINESTI	1.409	Less than 20%				X
CAVADINESTI	COMANESTI	249	Less than 20%		X		
CERTESTI	CERTESTI	828	Less than 20%				X
CERTESTI	CARLOMANESTI	672	Less than 20%		X		
COROD	BLANZI	1.189	Less than 20%				X
COROD	CARAPCESTI	781	Less than 20%		X		
CORNI	CORNI	787	Less than 20%		X		
CUDALBI	CUDALBI	6.290	Less than 20%				X
DRAGUSENI	DRAGUSENI	1.731	Less than 20%				X
DRAGUSENI	ADAM	699	Less than 20%				X
DRAGUSENI	FUNDEANU	872	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGUSENI	GHINGHESTI	300	Less than 20%			X	
DRAGUSENI	NICOPOLE	458	Less than 20%	X			
FARTANESTI	VIILE	1.562	Less than 20%		X		
FRUMUSITA	FRUMUSITA	2.875	More than 20%			X	
GHIDIGENI	GHIDIGENI	1.303	More than 20%				X
GHIDIGENI	GEFU	1.157	More than 20%				X
GHIDIGENI	GURA GARBOVATULUI	118	More than 20%	X			
GHIDIGENI	TALPIGI	1.889	More than 20%				X
GOHOR	NARTESTI	744	Less than 20%	X			

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
GRIVITA	CALMATUI	558	More than 20%		X		
IVESTI	IVESTI	4.565	More than 20%				X
JORASTI	JORASTI	1.146	Less than 20%				X
JORASTI	LUNCA	256	Less than 20%		X		
JORASTI	ZARNESTI	377	Less than 20%			X	
LIESTI	LIESTI	8.902	More than 20%				X
MASTACANI	MASTACANI	2.115	Less than 20%		X		
MOVILENI	MOVILENI	3.269	More than 20%			X	
NICORESTI	DOBRINESTI	446	Less than 20%		X		
NICORESTI	IONASESTI	566	Less than 20%		X		
PRIPONESTI	CIORASTI	1.046	Less than 20%				X
PRIPONESTI	LIESTI	232	Less than 20%	X			
SMULTI	SMULTI	1.342	Less than 20%	X			
TULUCESTI	TATARCA	755	Less than 20%		X		
UMBRARESTI	UMBRARESTI	1.920	More than 20%			X	
VARLEZI	VARLEZI	1.151	Less than 20%			X	
VARLEZI	CRAIESTI	850	Less than 20%				X
VLADESTI	VLADESTI	1.123	Less than 20%		X		
VLADESTI	BRANESTI	854	Less than 20%				X
RADESTI	CRUCEANU	313	Less than 20%			X	
NEGRILESTI	NEGRILESTI	1.481	Less than 20%			X	
POIANA	VISINA	458	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 18: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Galați County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

19. GIURGIU County


TABLE 1. 19: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Giurgiu County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BUCSANI	GOLEASCA	492	Less than 20%			X	
CALUGARENI	CALUGARENI	1.472	Less than 20%	X			
CALUGARENI	BRANISTARI	945	Less than 20%	X			
CALUGARENI	CRUCEA DE PIATRA	414	Less than 20%	X			
CALUGARENI	HULUBESTI	1.751	Less than 20%		X		
CLEJANI	NEAJLOV	718	Less than 20%				X
GAISENI	CARPENISU	933	More than 20%		X		
GAISENI	CASCIOARELE	2.119	Less than 20%			X	
GAISENI	PODU POPA NAE	202	Less than 20%		X		
GAUJANI	PIETRISU	1.015	More than 20%				X
GHIMPATI	GHIMPATI	2.527	More than 20%		X		
GOSTINARI	GOSTINARI	2.068	More than 20%				X
GOSTINARI	MIRONESTI	513	Less than 20%	X			
IZVOARELE	PETRU RARES	160	Less than 20%	X			
LETCA NOUA	LETCA NOUA	1.103	Less than 20%				X
RASUCENI	RASUCENI	1.404	Less than 20%	X			
RASUCENI	CUCURUZU	1.031	Less than 20%				X
SCHITU	BILA	512	Less than 20%	X			
SCHITU	CAMINEASCA	608	Less than 20%		X		
STOENESTI	STOENESTI	1.805	Less than 20%			X	
VARASTI	VARASTI	3.908	More than 20%			X	
VARASTI	DOBRENI	2.409	More than 20%				X
TOPORU	TOMULESTI	849	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 19: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Giurgiu County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

20. GORJ County


TABLE 1. 20: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Gorj County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ALBENI	ALBENI	1.726	Less than 20%			X	
BENGESTI-CIOCADIA	BENGESTI	1.416	More than 20%			X	
HUREZANI	PLOPU	216	Less than 20%	X			
LOGRESTI	SEACA	319	Less than 20%	X			
POLOVRAGI	POLOVRAGI	2.309	More than 20%				X
ROSIA DE AMARADIA	RUGET	634	More than 20%			X	
ROSIA DE AMARADIA	SECIURILE	642	Less than 20%			X	
SCOARTA	PISTESTII DIN DEAL	989	More than 20%		X		
TELESTI	BUDUHALA	658	More than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 20: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Gorj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

21. HARGHITA County


TABLE 1. 21: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Harghita County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ATID	CUSMED	420	Less than 20%	X			
AVRAMESTI	FIRTANUS	216	Less than 20%		X		
CIUCSANGEORGIU	EGHERSEC	316	Less than 20%			X	
CORUND	CORUND	5.228	Less than 20%				X
LUPENI	FIRTUSU	173	More than 20%		X		
MARTINIS	GHIPES	138	Less than 20%	X			
PLAIESII DE JOS	CASINU NOU	789	Less than 20%		X		
PLAIESII DE JOS	IACOBENI	388	More than 20%	X			
PLAIESII DE JOS	PLAIESII DE SUS	918	Less than 20%				X
PRAID	PRAID	3.448	Less than 20%				X
PRAID	OCNA DE SUS	1.376	Less than 20%			X	
SACEL	VIDACUT	325	More than 20%		X		
SECUIENI	ELISENI	1.110	More than 20%			X	
SANMARTIN	CIUCANI	1.148	Less than 20%			X	
SUBCETATE	FILPEA	349	More than 20%	X			
SIMONESTI	SIMONESTI	1.092	Less than 20%			X	
SIMONESTI	CHEDIA MICA	57	Less than 20%	X			
SIMONESTI	NICOLENI	51	Less than 20%	X			
SIMONESTI	RUGANESTI	774	Less than 20%			X	
SIMONESTI	TURDENI	93	Less than 20%	X			
TULGHES	PINTIC	69	Less than 20%	X			
ULIES	DAIA	273	Less than 20%	X			
ZETEA	ZETEA	4.378	Less than 20%			X	
SATU MARE	SATU MARE	1.995	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 21: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Harghita County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

22. HUNEDOARA County


TABLE 1. 22: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Hunedoara County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BOSOROD	LUNCANI	87	Less than 20%	X			
LUNCA CERNII DE JOS	MERIA	246	Less than 20%		X		
PUI	FEDERI	267	Less than 20%			X	
PUI	FIZESTI	327	Less than 20%	X			
ROMOS	CIUNGU MARE	134	Less than 20%	X			
VORTA	CERTEJU DE JOS	58	Less than 20%	X			
VORTA	LUNCSOARA	53	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 22: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Hunedoara County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

23. IALOMIȚA County


TABLE 1. 23: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Ialomița County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
CIOCHINA	BORDUSELU	858	Less than 20%	X			
CIULNITA	POIANA	760	Less than 20%		X		
MUNTENI-BUZAU	MUNTENI-BUZAU	3,428	Less than 20%			X	
REVIGA	MIRCEA CEL BATRAN	192	Less than 20%	X			
REVIGA	ROVINE	903	Less than 20%			X	
SCANTEIA	SCANTEIA	2,773	Less than 20%		X		
STELNICA	MALTEZI	381	Less than 20%		X		
VALEA CIORII	DUMITRESTI	148	Less than 20%	X			
AXINTELE	AXINTELE	1,739	Less than 20%			X	
AXINTELE	HORIA	706	Less than 20%		X		
BARCANESTI	CONDEESTI	2,019	More than 20%				X
BRAZII	RASIMNICEA	316	Less than 20%	X			
ION ROATA	BROSTENI	1,534	More than 20%				X
TRAIAN	TRAIAN	3,168	More than 20%				X
BORANESTI	BORANESTI	2,023	More than 20%				X
BARBULESTI	BARBULESTI	5,902	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 23: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Ialomița County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

24. IAȘI County

TABEL 1. 24: Lista localităților rurale (unități SIRINF) cu zone marginalizate, din Județul Iași

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BARNOVA	TODIREL	593	Less than 20%			X	
HOLBOCA	DANCU	6.444	More than 20%				X
TOMESTI	GORUNI	1.264	Less than 20%				X
ANDRIESENI	ANDRIESENI	1.633	Less than 20%		X		
ANDRIESENI	GLAVANESTI	782	Less than 20%		X		
BALTATI	PODISU	588	Less than 20%		X		
BELCESTI	TANSA	1.070	Less than 20%			X	
BIVOLARI	TRAIAN	254	Less than 20%		X		
BRAESTI	ALBESTI	400	Less than 20%			X	
BRAESTI	BUDA	293	Less than 20%			X	
BUTEA	MICLAUSENI	345	Less than 20%	X			
CEPLENITA	BUHALNITA	1.281	Less than 20%		X		
CIORTESTI	CIORTESTI	1.085	Less than 20%				X
CIORTESTI	COROPCENI	1.081	Less than 20%				X
CIORTESTI	DELENI	537	Less than 20%	X			
CIORTESTI	ROTARIA	395	Less than 20%			X	
COARNELE CAPREI	ARAMA	741	Less than 20%				X
COARNELE CAPREI	PETROSICA	121	Less than 20%	X			
COMARNA	COMARNA	2.414	Less than 20%			X	
COMARNA	CURAGAU	163	Less than 20%	X			
COSTULENI	COSTULENI	1.389	Less than 20%				X
COSTULENI	COVASNA	1.186	Less than 20%				X
COSTULENI	COZIA	1.007	Less than 20%			X	
COTNARI	CARJOAIA	1.666	Less than 20%		X		
COTNARI	FAGAT	235	Less than 20%		X		
COTNARI	HODORA	1.453	Less than 20%				X
COTNARI	LUPARIA	375	Less than 20%			X	
COTNARI	VALEA RACULUI	347	Less than 20%			X	
COZMESTI	COZMESTI	1.329	Less than 20%		X		
DAGATA	DAGATA	1.651	Less than 20%				X
DAGATA	BALUSESTI	221	Less than 20%		X		
DAGATA	BOATCA	324	Less than 20%			X	
DAGATA	MANASTIREA	1.033	Less than 20%				X
DAGATA	PISCU RUSULUI	427	Less than 20%		X		
DAGATA	POIENILE	299	Less than 20%			X	
DAGATA	ZECE PRAJINI	448	More than 20%		X		
DELENI	DELENI	4.280	Less than 20%				X
DELENI	LEAHU-NACU	67	Less than 20%	X			

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
DOBROVAT	DOBROVAT	2.503	Less than 20%				X
DOLHESTI	BRADICESTI	994	Less than 20%				X
DOLHESTI	PIETRIS	942	More than 20%				X
DUMESTI	DUMESTI	1.446	Less than 20%		X		
DUMESTI	CHILISOAIA	186	Less than 20%		X		
DUMESTI	PAUSESTI	1.753	Less than 20%				X
ERBICENI	TOTOESTI	1.635	Less than 20%			X	
FOCURI	FOCURI	3.852	Less than 20%				X
GOLAIESTI	COTU LUI IVAN	362	Less than 20%		X		
GOLAIESTI	MEDELENI	117	Less than 20%	X			
GOLAIESTI	PETRESTI	311	Less than 20%			X	
GRAJDURI	GRAJDURI	1.178	More than 20%			X	
GRAJDURI	CARBUNARI	382	Less than 20%		X		
GROPNITA	BULBUCANI	645	Less than 20%			X	
GROPNITA	SAVENI	717	Less than 20%		X		
GROPNITA	SANGERI	437	Less than 20%		X		
GROZESTI	COLTU CORNII	497	Less than 20%		X		
HORLESTI	HORLESTI	1.922	Less than 20%				X
HORLESTI	SCOPOSENI	296	Less than 20%		X		
LESPEZI	BUDA	1.001	Less than 20%	X			
LETCANI	COGEASCA	1.690	Less than 20%		X		
LUNGANI	CRUCEA	1.943	More than 20%				X
LUNGANI	GOESTI	1.009	Less than 20%				X
LUNGANI	ZMEU	1.868	More than 20%				X
MADARJAC	MADARJAC	912	Less than 20%				X
MADARJAC	BOJILA	312	Less than 20%			X	
MADARJAC	FRUMUSICA	363	Less than 20%			X	
MIRONEASA	MIRONEASA	3.744	Less than 20%				X
MIRONEASA	URSITA	683	Less than 20%				X
MOGOSESTI	MOGOSESTI	2.994	Less than 20%			X	
MOGOSESTI	HADAMBU	1.171	Less than 20%		X		
MOGOSESTI	MANJESTI	679	Less than 20%		X		
MOTCA	MOTCA	3.519	More than 20%			X	
MOVILENI	IEPURENI	938	Less than 20%				X
OTELENI	OTELENI	2.193	Less than 20%				X
OTELENI	HANDRESTI	1.037	Less than 20%		X		
PLUGARI	ONESTI	181	Less than 20%		X		
POPESTI	HARPASESTI	977	Less than 20%				X
POPESTI	VAMA	103	Less than 20%	X			
POPRICANI	TIPILESTI	281	Less than 20%			X	
PRISACANI	MACARESTI	633	Less than 20%	X			
PROBOTA	PROBOTA	1.124	Less than 20%				X
PROBOTA	PERIENI	1.595	Less than 20%		X		
RADUCANENI	RADUCANENI	5.031	More than 20%				X
RADUCANENI	BOHOTIN	1.240	Less than 20%		X		


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
RADUCANENI	ROSU	584	Less than 20%			X	
ROMANESTI	URSOAIA	457	Less than 20%	X			
SCHITU DUCA	DUMITRESTII GALATII	715	Less than 20%			X	
SCHITU DUCA	SLOBOZIA	453	Less than 20%				X
SCANTEIA	BODESTI	410	Less than 20%			X	
SCANTEIA	LUNCA RATES	418	Less than 20%			X	
SCOBINTI	SCOBINTI	1.818	Less than 20%		X		
SINESTI	SINESTI	1.595	Less than 20%		X		
SINESTI	BOCNITA	374	Less than 20%			X	
SINESTI	OSOI	1.153	Less than 20%				X
SINESTI	STORNESTI	1.049	Less than 20%				X
SIRETEL	SIRETEL	2.228	Less than 20%			X	
SIRETEL	BEREZLOGI	259	Less than 20%			X	
SIRETEL	HUMOSU	865	Less than 20%				X
SIRETEL	SLOBOZIA	636	Less than 20%			X	
STOLNICENI-PRAJESCU	BRATESTI	1.710	Less than 20%			X	
STOLNICENI-PRAJESCU	COZMESTI	2.078	More than 20%			X	
STRUNGA	BRATULESTI	431	Less than 20%	X			
STRUNGA	FARCASENI	1.777	Less than 20%				X
SCHEIA	SCHEIA	1.081	Less than 20%			X	
SCHEIA	CAUESTI	554	Less than 20%		X		
SCHEIA	CIOCA-BOCA	509	Less than 20%				X
SCHEIA	POIANA SCHEII	518	Less than 20%				X
SCHEIA	SATU NOU	405	Less than 20%		X		
SIPOTE	CHISCARENI	1.700	Less than 20%		X		
SIPOTE	IAZU NOU	1.003	Less than 20%				X
SIPOTE	IAZU VECHI	353	Less than 20%			X	
TANSA	SUHULET	1.200	Less than 20%		X		
TODIRESTI	BAICENI	389	Less than 20%		X		
TRIFESTI	TRIFESTI	1.772	Less than 20%				X
TRIFESTI	HERMEZIU	999	Less than 20%				X
TRIFESTI	VLADOMIRA	261	Less than 20%			X	
TRIFESTI	ZABOLOTENI	742	Less than 20%			X	
TIBANA	TIBANA	710	Less than 20%		X		
TIBANA	ALEXENI	663	Less than 20%		X		
TIBANA	DOMNITA	1.456	Less than 20%				X
TIBANA	GARBESTI	2.228	Less than 20%				X
TIBANA	POIANA MANASTIRII	501	Less than 20%			X	
TIBANESTI	GLODENII GINDULUI	2.524	Less than 20%				X
TIBANESTI	RECEA	150	Less than 20%	X			
TIBANESTI	VALENII	279	Less than 20%	X			
TIGANASI	CARNICENI	1.419	Less than 20%				X

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
VICTORIA	ICUSENI	431	Less than 20%				X
VICTORIA	STANCA	563	Less than 20%				X
VANATORI	CRIVESTI	1.497	Less than 20%		X		
VANATORI	VLADNICUT	139	Less than 20%	X			
VLADENI	ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	519	Less than 20%			X	
VLADENI	BROSTENI	440	Less than 20%				X
VOINESTI	VOINESTI	3.020	Less than 20%				X
VOINESTI	SCHITU STAVNIC	573	Less than 20%			X	
VOINESTI	SLOBOZIA	2.384	Less than 20%				X
BALS	BALS	1.502	Less than 20%			X	
COSTESTI	COSTESTI	1.262	Less than 20%			X	
DRAGUSENI	DRAGUSENI	886	Less than 20%			X	
FANTANELE	FANTANELE	2.138	Less than 20%				X
ROSCANI	RADENI	742	Less than 20%				X
ROSCANI	ROSCANI	700	Less than 20%		X		
CIOHORANI	CIOHORANI	1.781	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 24: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Iași County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

25. ILFOV County


TABLE 1. 25: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Ilfov County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
GANEASA	COZIENI	832	More than 20%				X
NUCI	MERII PETCHII	1010	Less than 20%	X			
VIDRA	SINTESTI	2936	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 25: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Ilfov County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

26. MARAMUREȘ County


TABLE 1. 26: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Maramureș County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ARDUSAT	ARDUSAT	2.002	Less than 20%			X	
BISTRA	CRASNA VISEULUI	1.666	Less than 20%		X		
BARSANA	BARSANA	3.802	Less than 20%				X
CERNESTI	TRESTIA	748	More than 20%		X		
COROIENI	VALENII LAPUSULUI	972	More than 20%				X
IEUD	IEUD	4.318	Less than 20%		X		
POIENILE DE SUB MUNTE	POIENILE DE SUB MUNTE	9.939	Less than 20%				X
RONA DE SUS	RONA DE SUS	3.219	Less than 20%	X			
ROZAVLEA	SALTA	269	Less than 20%			X	
SATULUNG	FINTEUSU MIC	1.189	More than 20%			X	
STRAMTURA	STRAMTURA	2.507	Less than 20%	X			
SUCIU DE SUS	SUCIU DE SUS	2.450	More than 20%			X	
COLTAU	COLTAU	2.189	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 26: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Maramureș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

27. MEHEDINȚI County

TABLE 1. 27: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Mehedinți County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
SIMIAN	DUDASU	1.285	More than 20%				X
OBARSIA-CLOSANI	GODEANU	165	Less than 20%	X			
BACLES	GIURA	65	Less than 20%	X			
BACLES	PETRA	212	Less than 20%	X			
BACLES	SELISTIUTA	179	Less than 20%	X			
BACLES	SMADOVITA	509	Less than 20%	X			
BALVANESTI	PARLAGELE	408	Less than 20%			X	
BREZNITA-MOTRU	COSOVAT	109	Less than 20%	X			
BURILA MARE	CRIVINA	710	Less than 20%	X			
BUTOIESTI	TANTARU	419	More than 20%				X
CAZANESTI	ERCEA	253	Less than 20%	X			
CAZANESTI	GARBOVATU DE SUS	299	Less than 20%	X			
CAZANESTI	SUHARU	130	Less than 20%	X			
CIRESU	NEGRUSA	139	Less than 20%	X			
CORCOVA	CORCOVA	1.081	More than 20%			X	
CORCOVA	JIROV	1.402	More than 20%				X
DARVARI	GEMENI	1.095	Less than 20%				X
GARLA MARE	GARLA MARE	3.382	More than 20%				X
GODEANU	PAUNESTI	206	Less than 20%	X			
GODEANU	SIROCA	204	Less than 20%	X			
GROZESTI	GROZESTI	453	Less than 20%	X			
GROZESTI	CARCENI	992	Less than 20%				X
GRUIA	GRUIA	1.890	More than 20%				X
GRUIA	POIANA GRUII	189	Less than 20%		X		
HINOVA	HINOVA	1.071	Less than 20%			X	
ESELNITA	ESELNITA	2.565	More than 20%				X
JIANA	DANCEU	1.310	More than 20%			X	
JIANA	JIANA VECHE	1.080	More than 20%		X		
LIVEZILE	STEFAN ODOBLEJA	172	Less than 20%	X			
OPRISOR	OPRISOR	1.880	Less than 20%				X
OPRISOR	PRISACEAUA	435	Less than 20%	X			
PADINA	OLTEANCA	89	Less than 20%	X			
POROINA MARE	POROINA MARE	319	Less than 20%	X			
POROINA MARE	STIGNITA	461	Less than 20%		X		
PRUNISOR	ZEGAIA	260	Less than 20%	X			
PUNGHINA	PUNGHINA	1.190	More than 20%				X
PUNGHINA	DRINCEA	397	Less than 20%	X			

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PUNGHINA	MAGURELE	78	Less than 20%	X			
PUNGHINA	RECEA	1.085	More than 20%				X
STANGACEAUA	CERANGANUL	66	Less than 20%	X			
STANGACEAUA	TARSA	133	Less than 20%	X			
TAMNA	FATA CREMENII	322	More than 20%			X	
TAMNA	PLOPI	437	More than 20%				X
VANJULET	VANJULET	1.539	More than 20%			X	
VLADAIA	ALMAJEL	733	More than 20%		X		
VLADAIA	STIRCOVITA	239	Less than 20%	X			
VRATA	VRATA	1.599	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 27: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Mehedinți County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

28. MUREȘ County

TABLE 1. 28: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Mureș County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ACATARI	CORBESTI	118	Less than 20%	X			
ACATARI	SUVEICA	232	Less than 20%	X			
ALUNIS	FITCAU	486	Less than 20%		X		
APOLD	APOLD	944	More than 20%			X	
APOLD	DAIA	563	More than 20%		X		
APOLD	SAES	1.271	Less than 20%				X
BAHNEA	BAHNEA	2.001	More than 20%				X
BAHNEA	DAIA	192	More than 20%	X			
BAHNEA	IDICIU	387	More than 20%			X	
BAND	BAND	3.950	More than 20%				X
BAND	FANATELE MADARASULUI	301	Less than 20%			X	
BAND	ISTAN-TAU	128	Less than 20%	X			
BAND	PETEA	215	Less than 20%	X			
BAGACIU	BAGACIU	1.341	More than 20%				X
BEICA DE JOS	BEICA DE JOS	951	More than 20%			X	
CRACIUNESTI	CRACIUNESTI	1.040	More than 20%			X	
CRACIUNESTI	BUDIU MIC	430	More than 20%			X	
EREMITU	EREMITU	1.785	Less than 20%				X
ERNEI	ICLAND	387	Less than 20%	X			
FARAGAU	TONCIU	954	More than 20%				X
FANTANELE	BORDOSIU	294	More than 20%		X		
FANTANELE	CALIMANESTI	880	Less than 20%			X	
FANTANELE	ROUA	360	Less than 20%		X		
GHINDARI	GHINDARI	1.577	More than 20%			X	
GLODENI	GLODENI	2.570	More than 20%				X
GREBENISU DE CAMPIE	LEORINTA	125	Less than 20%	X			
HODAC	HODAC	2.672	Less than 20%				X
LUNCA BRADULUI	NEAGRA	513	More than 20%		X		
MAGHERANI	SILEA NIRAJULUI	414	Less than 20%	X			
MICA	CEUAS	917	More than 20%			X	
MICA	DEAJ	1.463	More than 20%				X
MIHESU DE CAMPIE	SAULITA	129	More than 20%	X			
NADES	NADES	1.207	More than 20%			X	
NADES	TIGMANDRU	1.078	More than 20%			X	
OGRA	OGRA	1.606	More than 20%				X

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PANET	CUIESD	877	More than 20%				X
PASARENI	BOLINTINENI	261	More than 20%			X	
PETELEA	PETELEA	2.683	More than 20%				X
POGACEAUA	POGACEAUA	1.218	More than 20%			X	
POGACEAUA	CIULEA	158	Less than 20%	X			
RICIU	ULIES	534	More than 20%			X	
RICIU	VALEA SANMARTINULUI	154	Less than 20%	X			
SASCHIZ	SASCHIZ	1.490	Less than 20%			X	
SASCHIZ	MIHAI VITEAZU	322	More than 20%	X			
SANGER	SANGER	1.413	More than 20%			X	
SANPETRU DE CAMPIE	DAMBU	591	Less than 20%		X		
SUPLAC	LASLAU MIC	363	More than 20%	X			
SAULIA	SAULIA	1.603	More than 20%			X	
SAULIA	LEORINTA-SAULIA	155	Less than 20%	X			
TAURENI	TAURENI	874	More than 20%			X	
VARGATA	GRAUSORUL	205	More than 20%	X			
VARGATA	VADU	271	More than 20%	X			
VIISOARA	VIISOARA	695	More than 20%			X	
VIISOARA	ORMENIS	426	More than 20%				X
VIISOARA	SANTIOANA	538	More than 20%	X			
VANATORI	VANATORI	1.787	More than 20%				X
VANATORI	SOARD	780	More than 20%			X	
ZAGAR	ZAGAR	936	More than 20%			X	
ZAGAR	SELEUS	256	More than 20%	X			
ZAU DE CAMPIE	BUJOR-HODAIE	65	More than 20%	X			
CHIBED	CHIBED	1.762	Less than 20%	X			
SARATENI	SARATENI	1.608	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 28: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Mureș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

29. NEAMȚ County

TABLE 1. 29: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Neamț County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BAHNA	BAHNISOARA	397	Less than 20%		X		
BAHNA	IZVOARE	1.414	More than 20%				X
BAHNA	TUTCANII DIN VALE	276	Less than 20%	X			
BIRA	REDIU	875	Less than 20%	X			
BORCA	SABASA	1.988	More than 20%		X		
BOZIENI	BOZIENI	757	Less than 20%			X	
CANDESTI	CANDESTI	1.284	Less than 20%		X		
CANDESTI	PADURENI	200	Less than 20%		X		
CANDESTI	TARDENII MICI	125	Less than 20%	X			
CRACAOANI	CRACAOANI	2.023	More than 20%				X
DOLJESTI	BURUIENESTI	3.848	Less than 20%			X	
DULCESTI	POIANA	161	Less than 20%	X			
FAUREI	BUDESTI	1.017	Less than 20%		X		
GIROV	POPESTI	183	Less than 20%		X		
ICUSESTI	BALUSESTI	1.964	Less than 20%				X
ICUSESTI	BATRANESTI	194	Less than 20%		X		
ICUSESTI	MESTEACAN	371	Less than 20%		X		
ICUSESTI	ROCNA	392	Less than 20%		X		
ICUSESTI	TABARA	131	Less than 20%	X			
MARGINENI	MARGINENI	1.798	Less than 20%	X			
MARGINENI	HARTESTI	248	Less than 20%	X			
MARGINENI	ITRINESTI	295	Less than 20%			X	
ONICENI	GORUN	355	Less than 20%			X	
ONICENI	PIETROSU	302	Less than 20%			X	
ONICENI	SOLCA	865	Less than 20%				X
PASTRAVENI	RADENI	1.566	Less than 20%			X	
PETRICANI	TOLICI	1.616	Less than 20%				X
PIATRA SOIMULUI	POIENI	1.231	Less than 20%				X
PIPIRIG	LEGHIN	675	Less than 20%		X		
PIPIRIG	STANCA	1.526	Less than 20%				X
POIENARI	POIENARI	899	Less than 20%		X		
RAUCESTI	OGLINZI	3.903	Less than 20%				X
RAZBOIENI	BORSENI	372	More than 20%		X		
SAGNA	SAGNA	2.742	Less than 20%	X			
STANITA	GHIDION	358	Less than 20%		X		
STANITA	TODIRENI	352	Less than 20%		X		
STANITA	VEJA	273	Less than 20%			X	

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
URECHENI	URECHENI	2.550	Less than 20%	X			
VALEA URSULUI	CHILII	1.154	Less than 20%				X
VALEA URSULUI	VALEA URSULUI	946	Less than 20%				X
VALEA URSULUI	BUCIUM	685	Less than 20%				X
VALEA URSULUI	GIURGENI	647	Less than 20%			X	
VALEA URSULUI	MUNCELU DE JOS	434	Less than 20%		X		
VANATORI-NEAMT	VANATORI-NEAMT	4.575	More than 20%				X
DOCHIA	DOCHIA	2.042	Less than 20%			X	
GHINDAOANI	GHINDAOANI	1.849	Less than 20%	X			
VALENI	DAVID	89	Less than 20%	X			
VALENI	MORENI	300	More than 20%		X		
VALENI	MUNTENI	371	More than 20%			X	
PANCESTI	PATRICHENI	138	Less than 20%	X			
PANCESTI	PANCESTI	634	Less than 20%				X
PANCESTI	TALPALAI	137	Less than 20%	X			
BOGHICEA	CAUSENI	198	Less than 20%		X		
BOGHICEA	NISTRIA	317	Less than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 29: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Neamț County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

30. OLT County


TABLE 1. 30: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Olt County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BARZA	BRANET	1.531	Less than 20%			X	
BUCINISU	BUCINISU	1.717	Less than 20%	X			
CORBU	CORBU	1.249	More than 20%			X	
DOBRETU	HOREZU	533	Less than 20%	X			
FAGETELU	BAGESTI	155	Less than 20%	X			
GIUVARASTI	GIUVARASTI	2.381	Less than 20%			X	
GRADINARI	PETCULESTI	1.114	More than 20%				X
GRADINARI	SATU NOU	285	Less than 20%		X		
IANCU JIANU	IANCU JIANU	3.312	Less than 20%				X
IANCU JIANU	DOBRICENI	648	Less than 20%	X			
IZVOARELE	ALIMANESTI	2.347	Less than 20%				X
OBOGA	OBOGA	1.777	Less than 20%				X
OPORELU	RADESTI	277	Less than 20%	X			
PLESOIU	ARCESTI COT	643	Less than 20%		X		
PLESOIU	DOBA	499	Less than 20%		X		
PLESOIU	SCHITU DIN DEAL	274	Less than 20%	X			
POBORU	SEACA	275	Less than 20%			X	
RUSANESTI	RUSANESTI	3.555	Less than 20%			X	
SCHITU	CATANELE	800	Less than 20%		X		
SPRANCENATA	BARSESTII DE SUS	579	Less than 20%				X
SPRANCENATA	URIA	178	Less than 20%		X		
STOENESTI	STOENESTI	2.422	More than 20%			X	
VERGULEASA	CUCUETI	314	Less than 20%	X			
VOINEASA	VOINEASA	446	Less than 20%		X		
GAVANESTI	DAMBURILE	107	Less than 20%	X			
GHIMPETENI	GHIMPETENI	1.030	Less than 20%		X		
GHIMPETENI	GHIMPETENII NOI	500	Less than 20%		X		
GURA PADINII	GURA PADINII	1.265	Less than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 30: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Olt County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

31. PRAHOVA County


TABLE 1. 31: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Prahova County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BUCOV	BUCOV	4.783	More than 20%				X
CERASU	SLON	2.204	Less than 20%			X	
CHIOJDEANCA	TRENU	635	Less than 20%	X			
COLCEAG	VALCELELE	619	Less than 20%			X	
FILIPESTII DE PADURE	DITESTI	3.978	More than 20%				X
GHERGHITA	GHERGHITA	887	Less than 20%			X	
GORNET	NUCET	212	Less than 20%	X			
PROVITA DE JOS	DRAGANEASA	1.015	More than 20%			X	
PROVITA DE SUS	VALEA BRADULUI	143	Less than 20%	X			
SANGERU	SANGERU	2.483	More than 20%				X
SOTRILE	PLAIU CIMPINEI	888	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 31: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Prahova County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

32. SATU MARE County


TABLE 1. 32: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Satu Mare County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ACIS	ACAS	1.934	More than 20%				X
ANDRID	IRINA	561	Less than 20%			X	
APA	APA	2.344	More than 20%			X	
BIXAD	BOINESTI	1.557	Less than 20%		X		
BOTIZ	BOTIZ	3.622	More than 20%		X		
CAUAS	CAUAS	684	More than 20%		X		
CRAIDOROLT	ERIU SANCRAI	651	More than 20%			X	
CRAIDOROLT	SATU MIC	266	More than 20%			X	
DOROLT	PETEA	464	More than 20%			X	
HODOD	LELEI	634	Less than 20%		X		
HODOD	NADISU HODODULUI	824	Less than 20%	X			
LAZURI	PELISOR	304	Less than 20%			X	
MEDIESU AURIT	MEDIESU AURIT	2.546	More than 20%				X
MEDIESU AURIT	IOJIB	1.131	More than 20%			X	
MOFTIN	GHIROLT	176	More than 20%		X		
MOFTIN	SANMICLAUS	370	More than 20%		X		
PISCOLT	PISCOLT	2.252	More than 20%			X	
SAUCA	SAUCA	395	More than 20%		X		
SOCOND	SOCOND	639	More than 20%	X			
SOCOND	STANA	1.116	More than 20%				X
TARNA MARE	BOCICAU	665	Less than 20%			X	
TIREAM	TIREAM	1.535	More than 20%			X	
TURULUNG	TURULUNG	2.523	More than 20%				X
VAMA	VAMA	3.486	Less than 20%		X		
VIILE SATU MARE	TATARESTI	653	More than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 32: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Satu Mare County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

33. SĂLAJ County

TABLE 1. 33: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Sălaj County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BOBOTA	DERSIDA	1.837	More than 20%				X
BOCSA	BORLA	1.483	More than 20%			X	
BUCIUMI	BUCIUMI	1.340	More than 20%			X	
CIZER	CIZER	1.614	More than 20%			X	
CRASNA	HUSENI	570	More than 20%			X	
CRASNA	RATIN	552	More than 20%			X	
CUZAPLAC	RUGINOASA	98	Less than 20%	X			
DRAGU	DRAGU	737	More than 20%			X	
DRAGU	VOIVODENI	381	More than 20%		X		
FILDU DE JOS	FILDU DE SUS	441	More than 20%			X	
GARBOU	POPTELEAC	376	More than 20%			X	
HIDA	TRESTIA	309	Less than 20%	X			
HOROATU CRASNEI	STARCIU	962	More than 20%		X		
ILEANDA	ILEANDA	1.146	More than 20%			X	
MARCA	MARCA	1.273	More than 20%		X		
MESESENII DE JOS	MESESENII DE SUS	851	Less than 20%		X		
MIRSID	POPENI	876	More than 20%			X	
NAPRADEA	CHEUD	898	More than 20%			X	
NUSFALAU	NUSFALAU	3.214	More than 20%			X	
PLOPIS	IAZ	699	Less than 20%			X	
ROMANASI	PAUSA	531	More than 20%			X	
SAG	MAL	1.248	More than 20%				X
SAG	TUSA	737	Less than 20%	X			
SANMIHAIU ALMASULUI	SANTA MARIA	402	More than 20%		X		
SAMSUD	VALEA POMILOR	616	More than 20%			X	
SARMASAG	LOMPIRT	833	More than 20%			X	
VALCAU DE JOS	VALCAU DE SUS	825	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 33: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Sălaj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

34. SIBIU County


TABLE 1. 34: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Sibiu County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
RASINARI	PRISLOP	330	More than 20%			X	
TARNAVA	TARNAVA	2.273	More than 20%				X
ALTINA	ALTINA	1.094	More than 20%		X		
BAZNA	BOIAN	1.518	More than 20%			X	
BAZNA	VELT	658	More than 20%	X			
BIERTAN	BIERTAN	1.372	More than 20%			X	
BIERTAN	RICHIS	679	More than 20%	X			
BIRGHIS	BARGHIS	683	Less than 20%		X		
BRATEIU	BRATEIU	2.319	More than 20%				X
BRATEIU	BUZD	1.096	More than 20%	X			
BRADENI	BRADENI	863	More than 20%				X
BRADENI	TELINE	134	More than 20%	X			
CHIRPAR	VESEUD	154	Less than 20%	X			
CARTISOARA	CARTISOARA	1.225	Less than 20%			X	
GURA RAULUI	GURA RAULUI	3.621	Less than 20%			X	
HOGHILAG	HOGHILAG	1.177	More than 20%				X
HOGHILAG	PROD	253	Less than 20%	X			
HOGHILAG	VALCHID	742	More than 20%		X		
IACOBENI	IACOBENI	992	More than 20%				X
IACOBENI	MOVILE	310	Less than 20%	X			
IACOBENI	NETUS	524	More than 20%			X	
IACOBENI	NOISTAT	486	More than 20%		X		
JINA	JINA	3.750	Less than 20%				X
LASLEA	MALANCRAV	1.102	More than 20%				X
LASLEA	ROANDOLA	201	More than 20%	X			
LOAMNES	ARMENI	608	Less than 20%		X		
MIHAILENI	METIS	316	More than 20%		X		
MIHAILENI	MOARDAS	252	Less than 20%		X		
NOCRICH	FOFELDEA	470	Less than 20%				X
NOCRICH	TICHINDEAL	223	More than 20%	X			
SEICA MARE	BUIA	648	More than 20%		X		
SEICA MICA	SOROSTIN	525	Less than 20%		X		
SURA MICA	RUSCIORI	752	More than 20%			X	
VURPAR	VURPAR	2.557	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 34: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Sibiu County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

35. SUCEAVA County

TABLE 1. 35: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Suceava County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
MITOCU DRAGOMIRNEI	MITOCU DRAGOMIRNEI	3.016	More than 20%			X	
SCHEIA	SCHEIA	3.445	More than 20%				X
ADANCATA	FETESTI	534	Less than 20%		X		
ARBORE	ARBORE	5.259	Less than 20%				X
ARBORE	CLIT	1.174	Less than 20%		X		
BAIA	BOGATA	1.208	Less than 20%				X
BILCA	BILCA	3.583	Less than 20%		X		
BOSANCI	BOSANCI	6.304	More than 20%			X	
ILISESTI	ILISESTI	2.352	Less than 20%				X
CORNU LUNCII	DUMBRAVA	532	More than 20%			X	
DORNESTI	DORNESTI	3.664	More than 20%		X		
DRAGOIESTI	DRAGOIESTI	1.603	Less than 20%			X	
DRAGUSENI	BROSTENI	376	Less than 20%		X		
FANTANELE	STAMATE	2.121	Less than 20%			X	
FORASTI	TOLESTI	414	Less than 20%		X		
FRATAUTII NOI	FRATAUTII NOI	4.112	Less than 20%				X
IZVOARELE SUCEVEI	IZVOARELE SUCEVEI	886	Less than 20%	X			
IZVOARELE SUCEVEI	BRODINA	756	Less than 20%			X	
MARGINEA	MARGINEA	8.552	Less than 20%			X	
MALINI	PARAIE	1.604	Less than 20%			X	
MOLDOVA-SULITA	MOLDOVA-SULITA	1.197	Less than 20%			X	
MOLDOVA-SULITA	BENIA	667	Less than 20%		X		
MOLDOVITA	MOLDOVITA	2.209	Less than 20%	X			
MOLDOVITA	DEMACUSA	992	Less than 20%		X		
PALTINOASA	CAPU CODRULUI	2.400	More than 20%				X
PARTESTII DE JOS	PARTESTII DE JOS	1.945	More than 20%			X	
PATRAUTI	PATRAUTI	4.567	More than 20%				X
PREUTESTI	BAHNA ARIN	270	Less than 20%			X	
SLATINA	HERLA	1.543	Less than 20%			X	
STROIESTI	ZAHARESTI	786	Less than 20%	X			
SUCEVITA	VOIEVODEASA	1.291	More than 20%				X
UDESTI	CHILISENI	858	More than 20%			X	
ULMA	COSTILEVA	265	Less than 20%			X	
VADU MOLDOVEI	IONEASA	364	Less than 20%		X		
VALEA MOLDOVEI	MIRONU	2.433	More than 20%				X
VERESTI	COROCAIESTI	2.412	Less than 20%		X		

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
VERESTI	HANCEA	1.157	More than 20%				X
VICOVU DE JOS	VICOVU DE JOS	5.925	More than 20%			X	
COMANESTI	COMANESTI	1.068	Less than 20%		X		
COMANESTI	HUMORENI	1.026	More than 20%				X
HORODNIC DE SUS	HORODNIC DE SUS	5.133	More than 20%				X
CAPU CAMPULUI	CAPU CAMPULUI	2.214	More than 20%				X
HANTESTI	HANTESTI	3.032	Less than 20%			X	
BURLA	BURLA	2.111	More than 20%				X
BALACEANA	BALACEANA	1.520	Less than 20%		X		
VOITINEL	VOITINEL	4.387	More than 20%				X
CIPRIAN PORUMBESCU	CIPRIAN PORUMBESCU	1.840	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 35: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Suceava County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

36. TELEORMAN County


TABLE 1. 36: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Teleorman County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BOTOROAGA	CALUGARU	1.003	Less than 20%	X			
BOTOROAGA	TARNAVA	1.449	Less than 20%	X			
BRANCENI	BRANCENI	2.880	More than 20%		X		
BUZESCU	BUZESCU	3.922	More than 20%			X	
CONTESTI	CONTESTI	3.479	Less than 20%			X	
COSMESTI	CIUPERCENI	1.523	Less than 20%		X		
DIDESTI	DIDESTI	531	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGANESTI-VLASCA	COMOARA	551	Less than 20%	X			
GALATENI	GALATENI	1.776	More than 20%			X	
GALATENI	BASCOVENI	957	Less than 20%		X		
LUNCA	LUNCA	1.913	Less than 20%		X		
LUNCA	PRUNDU	1.437	More than 20%				X
MERENI	MERENII DE JOS	1.760	More than 20%		X		
NECSESTI	NECSESTI	643	Less than 20%			X	
NECSESTI	BELCIUG	352	Less than 20%	X			
ORBEASCA	LACENI	2.413	Less than 20%			X	
PIETROSANI	PIETROSANI	2.941	More than 20%				X
PUTINEIU	PUTINEIU	1.149	More than 20%			X	
SACENI	BUTCULESTI	395	Less than 20%		X		
SACENI	CIURARI	554	Less than 20%			X	
SLOBOZIA MANDRA	SLOBOZIA MANDRA	1.819	Less than 20%		X		
TATARASTII DE JOS	NEGRENI	480	Less than 20%	X			
TATARASTII DE SUS	TATARASTII DE SUS	1.348	More than 20%				X
TATARASTII DE SUS	UDUPU	1.651	More than 20%			X	
TRIVALEA-MOSTENI	TRIVALEA-MOSTENI	1.037	Less than 20%		X		
VARTOAPE	GARAGAU	836	Less than 20%		X		
ZAMBREASCA	ZAMBREASCA	1.540	Less than 20%			X	
BECIU	SMARDAN	448	Less than 20%			X	
UDA-CLOCOCIOV	UDA-CLOCOCIOV	778	Less than 20%		X		

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 36: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Teleorman County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

37. TIMIȘ County

TABLE 1. 37: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Timiș County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BARNA	BOTINESTI	223	Less than 20%		X		
CHEVERESU MARE	CHEVERESU MARE	1.289	More than 20%		X		
GHIZELA	PANIOVA	240	Less than 20%	X			
JAMU MARE	JAMU MARE	1.323	Less than 20%	X			
MORAVITA	DEJAN	222	Less than 20%	X			
CHECEA	CHECEA	1.802	More than 20%			X	
GOTTLOB	GOTTLOB	1.716	Less than 20%			X	

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 37: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Timiș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

38. TULCEA County


TABLE 1. 38: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Tulcea County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BAIA	CAUGAGIA	262	Less than 20%			X	
CIUCUROVA	CIUCUROVA	1.299	More than 20%				X
CIUCUROVA	ATMAGEA	188	Less than 20%		X		
DAENI	DAENI	2.016	Less than 20%			X	
HORIA	FLORESTI	284	Less than 20%		X		
IZVOARELE	IULIA	344	Less than 20%	X			
JURILOVCA	VISINA	727	Less than 20%		X		
MURIGHIOL	DUNAVATU DE SUS	199	Less than 20%	X			
SLAVA CERCHEZA	SLAVA CERCHEZA	852	Less than 20%	X			
TOPOLOG	TOPOLOG	2.456	Less than 20%				X
TOPOLOG	CALFA	152	Less than 20%	X			
TOPOLOG	MAGURELE	279	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 38: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Tulcea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

39. VASLUI County

TABLE 1. 39: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vaslui County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
ALEXANDRU VLAHUTA	ALEXANDRU VLAHUTA	801	Less than 20%		X		
ALEXANDRU VLAHUTA	MORARENI	229	Less than 20%		X		
BANCA	BANCA	1.008	Less than 20%				X
BANCA	MICLESTI	257	Less than 20%			X	
BANCA	1 DECEMBRIE	417	Less than 20%		X		
BACANI	BACANI	1.267	Less than 20%				X
BACANI	BALTATENI	483	Less than 20%				X
BACESTI	BACESTI	2.326	More than 20%				X
BACESTI	BABUSA	433	Less than 20%		X		
BACESTI	PALTINIS	418	Less than 20%			X	
BALTENI	BALTENI-DEAL	444	Less than 20%		X		
BEREZENI	MUSATA	472	Less than 20%	X			
BEREZENI	RANCENI	573	Less than 20%	X			
BOGDANA	LACU BABEI	333	Less than 20%			X	
BOGDANA	SIMILISOARA	65	Less than 20%	X			
BOGDANA	SUCEVENI	219	Less than 20%		X		
BOGDANESTI	BOGDANESTI	1.063	Less than 20%		X		
BOGDANESTI	ORGOIESTI	193	Less than 20%		X		
BOGDANESTI	ULEA	406	Less than 20%			X	
BOGDANESTI	UNTESTI	889	Less than 20%				X
BOGDANITA	CEPESTI	447	Less than 20%	X			
BOGDANITA	CARTIBASI	180	Less than 20%		X		
BOGDANITA	COROIESTI	240	Less than 20%	X			
BOGDANITA	RADAESTI	109	Less than 20%	X			
BOGDANITA	TUNSESTI	269	Less than 20%			X	
BOTESTI	BOTESTI	922	Less than 20%			X	
BUNESTI-AVERESTI	ROSIORI	182	Less than 20%		X		
CODAESTI	CODAESTI	1.986	Less than 20%		X		
CODAESTI	GHERGHELEU	454	Less than 20%		X		
COROIESTI	COROIESTI	543	Less than 20%	X			
COROIESTI	CHILIENI	214	Less than 20%		X		
COROIESTI	COROIESTII DE SUS	165	Less than 20%	X			
COROIESTI	HREASCA	354	Less than 20%			X	
COROIESTI	MIRENI	467	Less than 20%			X	
COROIESTI	MOVILENI	236	Less than 20%	X			
COSTESTI	COSTESTI	1.846	Less than 20%				X

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
COSTESTI	CHITCANI	378	Less than 20%		X		
CRETESTI	BUDESTI	243	Less than 20%	X			
CRETESTI	CRETESTII DE SUS	669	Less than 20%	X			
DANESTI	DANESTI	605	Less than 20%			X	
DANESTI	BEREASA	246	Less than 20%	X			
DANESTI	BOTOAIA	71	Less than 20%	X			
DANESTI	EMIL RACOVITA	929	Less than 20%				X
DANESTI	TATARANI	247	Less than 20%	X			
DELENI	DELENI	1.644	Less than 20%			X	
DELESTI	FUNDATURA	474	Less than 20%	X			
DRAGOMIRESTI	BELZENI	253	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGOMIRESTI	CIUPERCA	53	Less than 20%	X			
DRAGOMIRESTI	DOAGELE	1.156	More than 20%				X
DRAGOMIRESTI	POPESTI	414	Less than 20%		X		
DRAGOMIRESTI	RADENI	1.273	Less than 20%				X
DRAGOMIRESTI	TULESTI	193	Less than 20%	X			
DRAGOMIRESTI	VLADIA	448	Less than 20%	X			
DRANCENI	ALBITA	73	Less than 20%	X			
DRANCENI	GHERMANESTI	1.753	Less than 20%			X	
DUDA-EPURENI	DUDA	1.202	Less than 20%		X		
DUMESTI	DUMESTI	1.993	More than 20%				X
DUMESTI	DUMESTII VECHI	434	Less than 20%		X		
DUMESTI	VALEA MARE	832	Less than 20%				X
EPURENI	BURSUCI	1.018	Less than 20%		X		
EPURENI	HORGA	429	Less than 20%		X		
FALCIU	COPACEANA	617	Less than 20%			X	
FALCIU	RANZESTI	911	Less than 20%		X		
GAGESTI	TUPILATI	346	Less than 20%		X		
GHERGHESTI	GHERGHESTI	983	Less than 20%			X	
GHERGHESTI	CORODESTI	262	Less than 20%			X	
GHERGHESTI	SOCI	103	Less than 20%	X			
GARCENI	GARCENI	938	Less than 20%				X
GARCENI	DUMBRAVENI	255	Less than 20%	X			
GARCENI	SLOBOZIA	498	Less than 20%				X
GARCENI	TROHAN	270	Less than 20%	X			
HOCENI	BARBOSI	712	Less than 20%		X		
HOCENI	DELENI	526	Less than 20%			X	
HOCENI	OTELENI	570	Less than 20%		X		
HOCENI	SISCANI	332	Less than 20%		X		
DIMITRIE CANTEMIR	PLOTONESTI	375	Less than 20%		X		
IANA	IANA	1.185	Less than 20%			X	
IANA	HALARESTI	970	Less than 20%				X
IANA	RECEA	411	Less than 20%		X		

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
IANA	SILISTEA	992	Less than 20%				X
IANA	VADURILE	312	Less than 20%	X			
IVANESTI	IVANESTI	1.224	Less than 20%	X			
IVANESTI	BROSTENI	433	Less than 20%		X		
IVANESTI	BUSCATA	251	Less than 20%		X		
IVANESTI	COSCA	342	Less than 20%		X		
IVANESTI	FUNDATURA MARE	138	Less than 20%	X			
IVANESTI	URSOAIA	277	Less than 20%	X			
IVANESTI	VALEA MARE	531	Less than 20%				X
LIPOVAT	CAPUSNENI	277	Less than 20%			X	
LIPOVAT	FUNDU VAII	598	Less than 20%				X
LUNCA BANULUI	CONDREA	53	Less than 20%	X			
LUNCA BANULUI	LUNCA VECHE	101	Less than 20%	X			
MALUSTENI	GHIREASCA	219	Less than 20%	X			
MALUSTENI	LUPESTI	495	Less than 20%			X	
MALUSTENI	MANASTIREA	126	Less than 20%	X			
MALUSTENI	MANZATESTI	391	Less than 20%		X		
MALUSTENI	TUTCANI	593	Less than 20%	X			
MICLESTI	CHIRCESTI	1.209	Less than 20%				X
MICLESTI	POPESTI	444	Less than 20%			X	
MUNTENII DE JOS	MANJESTI	812	Less than 20%		X		
OSESTI	OSESTI	1.277	Less than 20%		X		
OSESTI	BUDA	1.436	Less than 20%				X
OSESTI	PADURENI	374	Less than 20%			X	
PADURENI	IVANESTI	477	Less than 20%	X			
PERIENI	PERIENI	3.536	Less than 20%			X	
POIENESTI	POIENESTI	791	Less than 20%			X	
POIENESTI	FRASINU	396	Less than 20%			X	
POGANA	POGANA	753	Less than 20%			X	
POGANA	BOGESTI	655	Less than 20%		X		
POGANA	MASCUREI	556	Less than 20%		X		
POGANA	TOMESTI	816	Less than 20%			X	
PUIESTI	PUIESTI	1.321	Less than 20%		X		
PUIESTI	BARTALUS-MOCANI	188	Less than 20%	X			
PUIESTI	CALIMANESTI	395	Less than 20%			X	
PUIESTI	CETATUIA	432	Less than 20%				X
PUIESTI	CRISTESTI	436	Less than 20%				X
PUIESTI	FANTANELE	136	Less than 20%	X			
PUIESTI	FULGU	170	Less than 20%		X		
PUIESTI	GALTESTI	151	Less than 20%	X			
PUIESTI	LALESTI	495	Less than 20%				X


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
PUIESTI	RUSI	408	Less than 20%			X	
PUNGESTI	PUNGESTI	892	Less than 20%				X
PUNGESTI	ARMASOAIA	633	Less than 20%			X	
PUNGESTI	CURSESTI-DEAL	204	Less than 20%	X			
PUNGESTI	CURSESTI-VALE	293	Less than 20%			X	
PUNGESTI	STEJARU	272	More than 20%			X	
REBRICEA	DRAXENI	848	Less than 20%				X
REBRICEA	MACRESTI	92	Less than 20%	X			
REBRICEA	RATESU CUZEI	530	Less than 20%			X	
REBRICEA	TATOMIRESTI	243	Less than 20%		X		
REBRICEA	TUFESTII DE JOS	433	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIESTI	GURA IDRICI	391	Less than 20%		X		
ROSIESTI	REDIU	196	Less than 20%		X		
SOLESTI	IAZ	404	Less than 20%			X	
SOLESTI	SERBOTESTI	806	Less than 20%			X	
STANILESTI	BUDU CANTEMIR	295	Less than 20%			X	
STANILESTI	CHERSACOSU	526	Less than 20%			X	
STANILESTI	POGANESTI	1.099	Less than 20%			X	
SULETEA	RASCANI	252	Less than 20%		X		
TACUTA	TACUTA	833	Less than 20%		X		
TACUTA	DUMASCA	365	Less than 20%	X			
TACUTA	MIRCESTI	324	Less than 20%	X			
TACUTA	PROTOPOPESTI	683	Less than 20%			X	
TATARANI	BALTATI	675	Less than 20%			X	
TODIRESTI	COTIC	358	Less than 20%		X		
TODIRESTI	HUC	573	Less than 20%		X		
TUTOVA	VIZURENI	190	Less than 20%		X		
VALENI	VALENI	2.875	Less than 20%	X			
VETRISOAIA	VETRISOAIA	2.662	Less than 20%		X		
VINDEREI	VINDEREI	934	Less than 20%		X		
VINDEREI	BRADESTI	557	Less than 20%			X	
VINDEREI	DOCANI	621	Less than 20%			X	
VINDEREI	DOCANEASA	385	Less than 20%		X		
VINDEREI	OBARSENI	945	Less than 20%				X
VINDEREI	VALEA LUNGA	280	Less than 20%	X			
VOINESTI	VOINESTI	422	Less than 20%			X	
VOINESTI	AVRAMESTI	617	Less than 20%			X	
VOINESTI	BANCESTI	213	Less than 20%		X		
VOINESTI	GARDESTI	728	Less than 20%				X
VOINESTI	MARASESTI	221	Less than 20%	X			
VOINESTI	OBARSENI	286	Less than 20%	X			
VOINESTI	OBARSENII LINGURARI	886	Less than 20%				X
VOINESTI	RUGARIA	80	Less than 20%	X			

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
VOINESTI	STANCASENI	203	Less than 20%		X		
VUTCANI	POSTA ELAN	184	Less than 20%		X		
ZAPODENI	TELEJNA	359	Less than 20%		X		
ZORLENI	POPENI	2.564	Less than 20%				X
IBANESTI	IBANESTI	634	Less than 20%			X	
IBANESTI	MANZATI	680	Less than 20%				X
IBANESTI	PUTU OLARULUI	137	Less than 20%	X			
FRUNTISENI	FRUNTISENI	1.010	Less than 20%				X
RAFAILA	RAFAILA	1.833	Less than 20%				X
CIOCANI	CRANG	453	Less than 20%				X
CIOCANI	CRANGU NOU	272	Less than 20%			X	
COZMESTI	BALESTI	755	Less than 20%		X		
COZMESTI	FASTACI	1.131	More than 20%				X
DODESTI	DODESTI	1.457	Less than 20%				X
FERESTI	FERESTI	1.897	Less than 20%				X
PUSCASI	PUSCASI	2.312	Less than 20%				X
PUSCASI	TEISORU	514	Less than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 39: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vaslui County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

40. VÂLCEA County


TABLE 1. 40: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vâlcea County

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BUJORENI	LUNCA	739	Less than 20%				X
BUNESTI	RAPANESTI	485	More than 20%		X		
CAINENI	CAINENII MARI	692	Less than 20%		X		
DAESTI	BABUESTI	97	Less than 20%	X			
MADULARI	DIMULESTI	174	Less than 20%		X		
OTESANI	SUB DEAL	57	Less than 20%	X			
RACOVITA	BALOTA	475	Less than 20%			X	
SALATRUCEL	SERBANESTI	439	Less than 20%	X			
SUSANI	RAMESTI	1.044	Less than 20%		X		
VAIDEENI	VAIDEENI	2.575	More than 20%				X

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 40: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vâlcea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

41. VRANCEA County

TABLE 1. 41: List of rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vrancea County


Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
BALESTI	BALESTI	1.941	Less than 20%	X			
CHIOJDENI	CATAUTI	279	Less than 20%	X			
CHIOJDENI	LUNCILE	998	More than 20%				X
CHIOJDENI	MARACINI	119	More than 20%	X			
CHIOJDENI	SECIU	72	Less than 20%	X			
CARLIGELE	DALHAUTI	717	Less than 20%		X		
CORBITA	SERBANESTI	281	Less than 20%		X		
COTESTI	COTESTI	1.961	Less than 20%		X		
DUMITRESTI	BICESTII DE JOS	639	Less than 20%			X	
DUMITRESTI	BICESTII DE SUS	605	Less than 20%	X			
DUMITRESTI	DUMITRESTII-FATA	219	Less than 20%		X		
DUMITRESTI	GALOIESTI	607	Less than 20%		X		
DUMITRESTI	LASTUNI	343	Less than 20%		X		
DUMITRESTI	SIMINOC	141	Less than 20%	X			
DUMITRESTI	TINOASA	170	Less than 20%	X			
GURA CALITEI	DEALUL LUNG	107	Less than 20%	X			
GURA CALITEI	PLOPU	372	Less than 20%			X	
GURA CALITEI	RASCA	209	Less than 20%		X		
HOMOCEA	HOMOCEA	5.250	Less than 20%				X
JITIA	JITIA	443	Less than 20%		X		
JITIA	JITIA DE JOS	416	Less than 20%		X		
MERA	MILCOVEL	347	Less than 20%			X	
NARUJA	PODU NARUJEI	472	Less than 20%		X		
NEREJU	NEREJU	1.294	Less than 20%		X		
NEREJU	BRADACESTI	293	Less than 20%		X		
NEREJU	CHIRICANI	442	Less than 20%		X		
NEREJU	NEREJU MIC	1.810	Less than 20%			X	
NEREJU	SAHASTRU	348	Less than 20%	X			
POIANA CRISTEI	DEALU CUCULUI	305	Less than 20%		X		
POIANA CRISTEI	MAHRIU	353	Less than 20%		X		
POIANA CRISTEI	PETREANU	192	Less than 20%		X		
PUFESTI	DOMNESTI-SAT	216	More than 20%		X		
RACOASA	VERDEA	779	Less than 20%	X			
REGHIU	JGHEABURI	82	Less than 20%	X			
REGHIU	SINDRILARI	1.139	Less than 20%		X		
SIHLEA	SIHLEA	2.302	Less than 20%			X	

Commune	Village	Population (number)	Share of Roma living in marginalized areas	Number of inhabitants living in marginalized areas *			
				1-169	170-256	257-418	419 and over
SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	2.106	More than 20%				X
SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	CORNETU	1.984	More than 20%				X
SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	LIESTI	1.528	More than 20%				X
SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	VALEA BECIULUI	471	More than 20%				X
STRAOANE	VALENI	56	Less than 20%	X			
SURAIA	SURAIA	4.595	More than 20%		X		
TANASOAIA	CALIMANEASA	222	Less than 20%	X			
TANASOAIA	VLADNICU DE SUS	243	Less than 20%	X			
VIDRA	BURCA	1.430	Less than 20%				X
VIDRA	VIISOARA	687	Less than 20%	X			
VINTILEASCA	BAHNELE	587	Less than 20%		X		
VINTILEASCA	NECULELE	453	Less than 20%		X		
VIZANTEA-LIVEZI	VIZANTEA MANASTIREASCA	1.712	Less than 20%		X		
VARTESCOIU	RAMNICEANCA	271	Less than 20%	X			
VULTURU	VULTURU	3.344	More than 20%				X
PLOSCUTENI	ARGEA	482	Less than 20%			X	
SPULBER	MORARESTI	50	Less than 20%	X			
SPULBER	PAVALARI	142	Less than 20%	X			
SPULBER	SPULBER	549	Less than 20%	X			

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 1. 41: Rural localities (SIRINF units) with marginalized areas in Vrancea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at census sector level for all rural administrative units. Sectors with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

PART II

The Atlas of Local Human Development in Romania

3. PART II: LOCAL HUMAN DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AND SMALL URBAN ROMANIA

3.1 Defining and Assessing Local Human Development in Romania

This section explores the diversity of Romanian small settlements – both rural and small urban (those with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants) - from the point of view of local human development.

We measured the human development of a village or of a town sublocality (or SIRUTA unit) in terms of its stock of community capital[65] as represented by education, employment, housing, the size of the working age population, and the mobility experience of its population. This is a comprehensive take on human development similar to the approach taken in the UNDP's Human Development Index,[66] the multiple deprivation index in the UK,[67] and some Romanian applications at the census sector[68] and village levels.[69]


3.1.1 Methodology of Local Human Development in Rural and Small Urban Settlements

The World Bank constructed a local human development index (LHDI) that made it possible to compare all of the sublocalities (SIRUTA units) from all communes and all towns with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants (Part II. Figure 1). The villages and sublocalities from towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the computation algorithm for the LHDI.[70]

Three out of the six indicators that we used to build the LHDI – education, employment and housing – are frequently used to measure development, poverty, or deprivation[71] in small territorial units. They have previously been used to compute LHDI measures in Romania at the commune and city levels[72] and were tested for validity.

65 Emery and Flora (2006).
66 UNDP (2013).
67 McLennan et al (2011).
68 Swinkels et al (2014a).
69 Sandu (2005).
70 SIRUTA units smaller than 50 inhabitants could not be included in our computations because of the high instability of measures related to such small territorial units.
71 The algorithms used in the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) in the United Kingdom in 2007 and 2010 used seven domains related to employment, income, education, housing, health, crime, and environment. The 38 indicators that defined the seven domains were selected to meet a set of criteria: "domain specific and appropriate for the purpose (as direct as possible measures of that form of deprivation), measuring major features of that deprivation (not conditions just experienced by a very small number of people or areas), up-to-date, capable of being updated on a regular basis, statistically robust at the small area level and available for the whole of England at a small area level in a consistent form" (McLennan et al, 2014: 14). When we constructed our procedure for the LHDI, we also used factor analysis as an aggregation method as in the IMD.
72 Ionescu-Heroiu et al (2014).

PART II. FIGURE 1: Dimensions and Indicators for Measuring the Local Human Development of Small Settlements (villages of more than 50 inhabitants and component localities for towns with less than 30,000 inhabitants)



Why adding to index measures working age share of the local population, mobility experience of the population and a measure of development at basic administrative unit including the settlement (commune or small town)? A high percentage of working age population is a precondition for higher education and employment capital in Romania.[73] Also, previous research has proved that mobility experience of a community (meaning commuting, return migration, and immigration) is a significant predictor of LHDI in communes and cities in Romania.[74] In particular, remittances entering community and the network capital of former and current migrants are key variables that favor local development. The reason for including a measure of commune or town development in the evaluation of development for villages or sublocalities is twofold. On the one hand, communes and towns are the immediate environments that influence the quality of life in their different sublocalities. The better that environment is, the greater the chances of the sublocalities having access to good services and local institutions. The second reason is that the LHDI for communes

73 Computations for the LHDI in small communities, as indicated in Part II. Figure 1, support this assertion.
74 Ionescu-Heroiu et al (2014: 405).

includes information that cannot be measured at the village level in the Romanian statistical system, such as life expectancy at birth.

We did not include public services (such as schools, health institutions, and public transportation) in our measurement of the LHDI. While these are important factors in defining local well-being or poverty, unfortunately data on the existence of public services and on access to them are not available at such a disaggregated level.

PART II. BOX 1

Local Human Development Index (LHDI)

The LHDI was computed using a factor analysis of six variables (three of which were factor scores and three of which were single indicators). The index represents a modification of the algorithm used in the early versions of the background study for the *National Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction 2015-2020*. Changes were made in the following respects (Tesliuc et al., 2015):

- The current algorithm of the index includes all villages that have more than 49 inhabitants and all sublocalities of towns with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants, while in the initial version the index referred to villages of over 100 inhabitants and sublocalities of towns with fewer than 20,000 inhabitants.
- There are six dimensions in the new version compared to five in the previous one.
- Employment is measured by a single indicator here, compared to a factor score with a KMO value of less than 0.50 in the previous version.

The LHDI as a factor score was transformed by a Hull score as to have a variation between about 0 (low development) to about 100 (high development). The criterion of validity for the new measure is consistently higher than for the previous one.

There are about 12,300 rural villages[75] within 2,861 rural communes in which about 9.3 million people are living. The main reason for focusing on villages is that, despite their diversity (Part II. Table 1) they are the most "natural" units of community in rural Romania.

PART II. TABLE 1: Demographic Profile of Villages and Component Localities for Small Towns Included in the LHDI Computations

	Number of (sub)localities	Mean population	Minimum population	Maximum population	Total population living in reference localities
Villages in rural areas	11,531	801.2	50	20,256	9,238,905*
Component localities of towns that with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants	1,008	2,742.5	51	27,416	2,764,514**

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: * The total rural population at the time of the 2011 census was 9,262,851. ** The total population living in towns with fewer than 30,000 people was 2,765,566 according to the 2011 census.

75 The term "village" will be used in this section to refer to villages within rural communes. There are also some villages that are component localities in cities but their situation is different. Some of them still have the characteristics of a traditional village, but others have simply retained the designation.

Small settlements differ not only by their levels of development but also by their types of development. A village is comprehensively developed if it has high stocks of all five dimensions of community capital (education, housing, employment, working age, and mobility experience) in the national context. Villages can be considered to have upper-middle development in two ways: (i) structural as related mainly to education, working age population, and housing or (ii) mainly based on the mobility experience of the population.[76]

PART II. TABLE 2: Romania's Small Settlements by Levels and Types of Developmen

Development level	Typology of local human development	% population		Average level of development	
		Component localities in small towns	Villages	Component localities in small towns	Villages
Lowest	Lowest development	,4	4	32	27
Medium-low	Low housing development	1	20	41	39
	Low labour market development	1	5	47	45
Medium	Medium development	7	30	53	51
Medium-high	Development by mobility experience	1	9	60	60
	Structural development	50	22	70	64
Comprehensive	Comprehensive development	40	10	83	83

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Note: Only 10 percent of the rural population lives in villages with a higher level of development compared to 40 percent of the total population of small towns. This lower level of development means that poverty is higher in villages than in small cities.

As one can see from Part II. Table 2, the seven categories in the typography of local development are strongly differentiated in terms of their average levels of development. The fact that localities are differentiated not only by their level of development but also by their type of development is an indication of their high degree of structuring. As such or regrouped in five categories, they could be a basis for designing development policies at the territorial level.


3.1.2 Large Cities and Urban Connectivity in Local Human Development

The local human development of small settlements of Romania is highly dependent on their location (for example, in the regional urban system, in historical and geographic regions, or within a communication system etc.) and their internal characteristics (such as the population composition, the cultural type of the locality, the demographic size of the locality, or the territorial form of settlement). The location of a village or small urban settlement within an urban

76 The construction of the typology was guided by a series of hypotheses specifying the profile for seven classes on all the six indicators of local human development – education, employment, working age, housing, mobility experience, and development level of commune or town. They state that in rural areas one can expect to find cumulative versus segmented or specialized development/ poverty and, to record a difference between working-age and housing poverty and also differences between structural development and development based on mobility experience. The tool that we used to construct the typology was a k-means cluster analysis in SPSS with predetermined clusters.

regional space seems to be fundamentally associated with local human development (see Annex 3. Table 1). This is consistent with the theory of distance, density, and division,[77] according to which the most important factors influencing local human development is not the proximity of the village to a large city with high economic density (those with more than 200,000 inhabitants) but also the connectivity of the commune or small town including reference settlements and, the development level of the large city itself.

PART II. MAP 1: The Analytical Map of Convergence Areas towards Large Cities



Source: Mapping computations and graphics by D. Sandu.

The nine urban regions of great cities are shown, in the map below (Part II. Map 1). In reality, the borders are not as precise as shown by the map which was constructed using Euclidean distances. A reference locality is considered to be part of the urban region of the largest city of 200,000 inhabitants, function of its minimal distance of location versus the large nine cities. The new urban analytical regions overlap to a large degree with parts or subparts of historical and development regions. For example, the Iași and Craiova urban regions are very close to the configurations of North-East and South-West development regions and large parts of the Moldova and Oltenia historical regions. Also, Galați and Constanța are close to the configuration of South-East development region.

As expected, the most developed small localities are in the two Transylvanian urban regions of Timișoara and Brașov (where average LHDI is equal to 66). For localities with high urban

77 World Bank (2009).

connectivity, the effect of being a historical region is no longer a factor. Small settlements from the Constanța and Ploiești areas (from the Old Kingdom historical regions) have an average level of development that is equal to those in Brașov and Cluj, which are in the Transylvanian region. This finding shows that the high local development level in Transylvania is not due to effect of being a historical region but is a direct consequence of higher urban connectivity in Transylvania.

PART II. TABLE 3: Local Human Development Index for Small Settlements by Urban Region and Urban Connectivity

Nearest city with 200 thou. or more inhabitants	Urban conectivity of locality			Total
	Low	Medium	High	
Galați	44	48	50	48
Iași	53	46	50	50
Craiova	53	57	55	54
Constanța	51	62	68	60
București	51	66	86	61
Ploiești	64	50	67	63
Cluj	60	63	68	63
Brașov	64	65	67	66
Timișoara	63	69	74	66
Total	56	59	61	59

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Urban connectivity tends to increase local human development in small settlements, except in Iași and Ploiești urban regions where LHDI is lower for medium urban connectivity than for low connectivity.

One aspect of urban connectedness is the proximity of the locality to a European road which is well-maintained road that leads to large cities, whether in Romania within or abroad. The data presented in this Atlas show clearly that small settlements that are close to European roads tend to be characterized by comprehensive development and upper-middle levels of development.

As showed in the table below, the best place to live from the point of view of human development is in a town in the Bucharest urban region that is located close to a European road. These towns have an average LHDI that is eight points higher than that of towns in the same region that are located far from a European road.

PART II. TABLE 4: Local Human Development Index by Urban region, Proximity to European Roads and Type of Residency

Urban region	Villages		Sublocalities of small towns	
	Far from European road	Close to European road	Far from European road	Close to European road
București	53	65	80	88
Brașov	58	63	77	81
Ploiești	59	66	75	80
Cluj	57	67	71	77
Iași	46	53	65	76
Constanța	55	65	68	75
Timișoara	61	71	72	75
Craiova	47	56	70	69
Galați	45	50	63	68
Total	53	62	72	76

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

The impact of proximity to a European road is consistently higher in rural areas than in urban areas (nine points on the scale of local development for villages and only four points in the case of small towns). The policy implication is clear: in Romania good roads seem to contribute to promoting development much more in villages than in towns.

Types of local human development differ not only among localities but also among counties (see Annex 3. Table 2):

- The counties where the largest shares of the population live in localities with comprehensive development are Ilfov, Timiș, Brașov, Sibiu, Cluj and Arad. All of these are counties that are in or are in immediate proximity to large urban centers.
- At the other extreme, the lowest development levels can be found in Vaslui, Botoșani and Mehedinți counties with a low degree of urbanization that are not close to a large city. The same counties also have the most housing poverty.

3.1.3 Local Human Development Between Density Centers and Distance-Division Peripheries

Local human development in small settlements is not only affected by their proximity and connectivity to large towns, but is also influenced by proximity to administrative centers, population composition and population modernity. The simplified causal matrix in Annex 3. Table 1 clearly indicates that local development is higher in central villages within communes and in settlements that are not at the periphery of the county. This pattern is evident in each urban region (Part II. Table 5). In the Timișoara urban region, for example, villages that are commune centers and are not located at the periphery of the county are much more developed (with an LHDI score of 70), than villages that are peripheral within the commune and the county (with an LHDI score of 54).

PART II. TABLE 5: Local Human Development of the Villages by Urban Region and Centrality of Location

Location within commune →	central	peripheral	central	peripheral
Location within county →	non-peripheral	peripheral	non-peripheral	peripheral
Urban region location ↓				
Timișoara	70	62	60	54
Cluj	65	59	57	52
Ploiești	65	58	61	54
Constanța	64	59	48	49
Brașov	64	62	56	54
București	55	60	52	54
Iași	53	48	46	39
Craiova	50	48	50	46
Galați	50	48	44	41
Total	59	55	53	48

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.


The nine large urban centers in Romania vary widely in terms of their impact on village development. Timisoara, Cluj and Brașov have the most positive effect on village development (Part II. Figure 2), whereas Galați and Iași are at the other extreme, with a predominantly negative effect on rural development.

The ethnic composition of the population also affects local development. Settlements with larger shares of Roma tends to be poorer and those with more Germans and Hungarians tend to be richer.

The modernity of the population is both a cause and effect of local development. It tends to be higher in more developed localities, irrespective of their size and residential type.[78]

78 This statement is based on a regression analysis that is not showed here and that had the general fertility rate as a dependent variable. Lower fertility has a significant positive effect in terms of increasing individual modernity.

PART II. FIGURE 2: Impact of Location Factors on Village Development



Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: The figures indicate the values of regression coefficients. The control variables were population size, ethnic composition, and distance to different categories of cities.

The analysis reveals that small settlements tend to have a development dynamics that goes between the effects of urban and administrative centers, on the one hand, and divisions associated with distance, administration and communication, on the other hand.

3.1.4 Marginalization and Local Human Development in Rural Romania

As shown in section 2.1.8, rural marginalization is highly correlated with rural poverty at the commune level. Nonetheless, most marginalized areas are in medium-developed communes rather than in the poor ones. In this section, we analyze the relation between rural marginalization and local human development at the village level using the levels and types of local human development (as estimated based on LHDI) shown in Part II. Table 2.

The next table (Part II. Table 6) shows that marginalized areas are spread across all villages with all different levels of local human development. However, 65 percent of all villages with marginalized areas are underdeveloped, having either the lowest development or the lowest housing development.[79] Only 7 percent of villages with marginalized areas have a medium-high level of LHDI. On the other hand, the share of villages with marginalized areas decreases sharply from 39 percent of the lowest developed villages to 26 percent of those with low housing development, 14 percent of the medium developed villages, and only 1 percent of those with mobility or comprehensive development. So rural marginalization is highly associated with low local human development (LHDI). It is noteworthy that, in villages with high mobility capital, there is little opportunity for marginalized areas to form.

79 This is partly the result of the common indicators used to estimate rural marginalization and LHDI.

PART II. TABLE 6: Villages with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Levels and Types of Local Human Development (LHD) and by Number of RMAs within the Village


			Villages with marginalized areas		Number of marginalized areas within the village		
LHD level	Type of LHD	Total	No	Yes	1	2	3-9
Low	Lowest development	1,341	824	517	377	111	29
Medium-low	Low housing development	1,947	1,445	502	324	111	67
	Low labor market development	1,996	1,871	125	108	17	0
Medium	Medium development	2,180	1,865	315	236	56	23
Medium-high	Mobility development	1,901	1,886	15	14	1	0
	Structural development	1,281	1,194	87	68	16	3
High	Comprehensive development	475	471	4	4	0	0
	Total	11,121	9,556	1,565	1,131	312	122

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: On levels and types of LHDI see Part II. Table 2. Marginalized communities exist in 1,605 villages, but in 40 cases data on LHDI are missing. The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns.

Roma marginalized communities are considerably more likely to be located in medium or medium-high developed villages than in villages with low levels of development, as shown in Part II. Figure 3 and Annex 3. Table 3. In the low developed villages, non-Roma marginalized communities predominate.

PART II. FIGURE 3: Villages with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Types of Local Human Development and Type of Marginalized Communities within Villages, 2011 (number of villages)



Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. N=1,546 villages with marginalized communities.

Notes: Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents who self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas with no residents who self-identify as Roma. For levels and types of LHDI, see Part II. Table 2. Villages with comprehensive development or with mobility development are not included due to the small number of these cases.

3.2 Spatial Maps of Local Human Development in Rural and Small Urban Romania

This section presents spatial maps of local human development by county.

ALBA
ARAD
ARGEȘ
BACĂU
BIHOR
BISTRIȚA-NĂSĂUD
BOTOȘANI
BRAȘOV
BRĂILA
BUZĂU
CARAȘ-SEVERIN
CĂLĂRAȘI
CLUJ
CONSTANȚA
COVASNA
DÂMBOVIȚA
DOLJ
GALAȚI
GIURGIU
GORJ
HARGHITA
HUNEDOARA
IALOMIȚA
IAȘI
MARAMUREȘ
MEHEDINȚI
MUREȘ
NEAMȚ
OLT
PRAHOVA
SATU MARE
SĂLAJ
SIBIU
SUCEAVA
TELEORMAN
TIMIȘ
TULCEA
VASLUI
VÂLCEA
VRANCEA

1. ALBA County

TABLE 2. 1: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Alba County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	34	7.8	4.271	1,6
Medium-low development	176	40.4	26.242	9,8
Medium development	18	4.1	12.549	4,7
Medium-high development	183	42.0	121.671	45,3
Comprehensive development	25	5.7	103.577	38,6
TOTAL	436	100	268.310	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CIUGUD	CIUGUD	542
CIUGUD	DRIMBAR	401
CIUGUD	LIMBA	359
CIUGUD	HAPRIA	581
CIUGUD	SEUSA	764
CIUGUD	TELEAC	401
DAIA ROMANA	DAIA ROMANA	2.773
GALDA DE JOS	GALDA DE JOS	2.006
IGHIU	SARD	2.208
MIHALT	CISTEI	523
MUNICIPIUL AIUD	AIUD	16.657
MUNICIPIUL BLAJ	BLAJ	11.905
MUNICIPIUL SEBES	SEBES	20.345
MUNICIPIUL SEBES	LANCRAM	1.521
ORAS ABRUD	ABRUD	3.856
ORAS CUGIR	CUGIR	18.869
ORAS OCNA MURES	OCNA MURES	6.863

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
ORAS OCNA MURES	RAZBOIENI-CETATE	1.286
ORAS TEIUS	TEIUS	5.920
ORAS TEIUS	COSLARIU NOU	91
SINTIMBRU	SINTIMBRU	1.273
SINTIMBRU	COSLARIU	394
SINTIMBRU	GALTIU	441
VINTU DE JOS	VINTU DE JOS	3.113
VINTU DE JOS	VURPAR	485

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 1: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Alba County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

2. ARAD County

TABLE 2. 2: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Arad County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	2	0,8	212	0,1
Medium-low development	69	26,3	13.602	5,0
Medium development	24	9,2	24.516	9,1
Medium-high development	139	53,1	161.556	60,0
Comprehensive development	28	10,7	69.516	25,8
TOTAL	262	100	269.402	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) Lista localităților cu cel mai mare LHDI (Dezvoltare comprehensivă)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
FANTINELE	FANTANELE	2.141
FANTINELE	TISA NOUA	949
FRUMUSENI	FRUMUSENI	1.614
GHIOROC	GHIOROC	1.653
GHIOROC	CUVIN	1.483
GHIOROC	MINIS	654
IRATOSU	IRATOSU	1.803
LIVADA	LIVADA	1.422
LIVADA	SINLEANI	1.538
MACEA	MACEA	3.874
ORAS INEU	INEU	8.377
ORAS LIPOVA	LIPOVA	7.292
ORAS SANTANA	SANTANA	10.134
PAULIS	PAULIS	1.751
PAULIS	BARATCA	223

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
SAGU	SAGU	2.006
SOFRONEA	SOFRONEA	1.880
SOFRONEA	SINPAUL	695
VLADIMIRESCU	VLADIMIRESCU	6.445
VLADIMIRESCU	CICIR	941
VLADIMIRESCU	HORIA	2.117
VLADIMIRESCU	MINDRULOC	1.207
ZABRANI	ZABRANI	2.165
ZADARENI	BODROGU NOU	246
ZADARENI	ZADARENI	2.249
ZIMANDU NOU	ZIMANDU NOU	1.575
ZIMANDU NOU	ANDREI SAGUNA	1.452
ZIMANDU NOU	ZIMANDCUZ	1.630

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 2: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Arad County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

3. ARGEȘ County

TABLE 2. 3: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Argeș County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	20	3,8	9.920	2,6
Medium-low development	152	29,2	51.371	13,6
Medium development	51	9,8	44.802	11,9
Medium-high development	255	48,9	171.462	45,5
Comprehensive development	43	8,3	99.529	26,4
TOTAL	521	100	377.084	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BASCOV	VALEA URSULUI	908
BRADU	BRADU	4.021
BRADU	GEAMANA	3.109
BUDEASA	BUDEASA MARE	1.169
BUDEASA	BUDEASA MICA	803
BUDEASA	CALOTESTI	693
BUDEASA	GALASESTI	696
BUDEASA	ROGOJINA	204
CALINESTI	CIOCANESTI	995
CATEASCA	RECEA	433
LERESTI	VOINESTI	1.623
MARACINENI	MARACINENI	3.228
MARACINENI	ARGESELU	1.965
MICESTI	MICESTI	1.673
MICESTI	PURCARENI	1.650

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
MOSOAIA	MOSOAIA	1.260
MOSOAIA	CIOCANAI	669
MOSOAIA	DEALU VIILOR	340
MOSOAIA	HINTESTI	732
MOSOAIA	SMEURA	2.237
MUNICIPIUL CURTEA DE ARGES	CURTEA DE ARGES	26.572
ORAS COSTESTI	COSTESTI	8.005
ORAS STEFANESTI	STEFANESTI	1.911
ORAS STEFANESTI	GOLESTI	1.263
ORAS STEFANESTI	IZVORANI	939
ORAS STEFANESTI	STEFANESTII NOI	3.809
ORAS STEFANESTI	VALEA MARE-PODGORIA	4.318
ORAS STEFANESTI	VIISOARA	719
ORAS STEFANESTI	ZAVOI	1.053
ORAS TOPOLOVENI	TOPOLOVENI	8.802
ORAS TOPOLOVENI	GORANESTI	244
TITESTI	VALEA STINII	1.026
VALEA IASULUI	MUSTATESTI	659
VALEA IASULUI	UNGURENI	372
VALEA IASULUI	VALEA ULEIULUI	254
BASCOV	VALEA URSULUI	908
BRADU	BRADU	4.021
BRADU	GEAMANA	3.109
BUDEASA	BUDEASA MARE	1.169
BUDEASA	BUDEASA MICA	803
BUDEASA	CALOTESTI	693
BUDEASA	GALASESTI	696
BUDEASA	ROGOJINA	204

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 3: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Argeș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

4. BACĂU County

TABLE 2. 4: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bacău County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	118	24,7	31.563	7,3
Medium-low development	141	29,6	80.113	18,6
Medium development	136	28,5	162.709	37,8
Medium-high development	69	14,5	126.570	29,4
Comprehensive development	13	2,7	29.453	6,8
TOTAL	477	100	430.408	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
HEMEIUS	HEMEIUS	1.911
HEMEIUS	FINTINELE	361
HEMEIUS	LILIECI	2.483
LETEA VECHE	LETEA VECHE	2.926
MAGURA	MAGURA	2.219
MAGURA	DEALU-MARE	896
MARGINENI	MARGINENI	3.446
MARGINENI	BARATI	1.590
MARGINENI	LUNCANI	924
MARGINENI	VALEA BUDULUI	415
ORAS TIRGU OCNA	TIRGU OCNA	9.563
SAUCESTI	SAUCESTI	2.183
SAUCESTI	BOGDAN VODA	536

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 4: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bacău County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

5. BIHOR County

TABLE 2. 5: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bihor County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	11	2,5	3.013	0,8
Medium-low development	90	20,2	30.996	8,2
Medium development	114	25,6	113.556	30,1
Medium-high development	203	45,5	158.904	42,2
Comprehensive development	28	6,3	70.295	18,7
TOTAL	446	100	376.764	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BIHARIA	BIHARIA	3.493
BIHARIA	CAUACEU	712
BORS	BORS	1.306
BORS	SANTAUL MARE	369
BORS	SANTAUL MIC	537
BORS	SANTION	1.734
CARPINET	CARPINET	547
DRAGANESTI	TALPE	249
LAZURI DE BEIUS	LAZURI DE BEIUS	321
LUNCA	LUNCA	963
MUNICIPIUL BEIUS	BEIUS	10.298
MUNICIPIUL MARGHITA	MARGHITA	13.871
NOJORID	NOJORID	2.012
NOJORID	LES	736
ORAS ALESD	ALESD	7.486

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
ORAS STEI	STEI	6.529
OSORHEI	OSORHEI	3.179
OSORHEI	ALPAREA	1.105
OSORHEI	FUGHIU	993
PALEU	PALEU	1.242
PALEU	SALDABAGIU DE MUNTE	773
SINMARTIN	SINMARTIN	3.672
SINMARTIN	BETFIA	451
SINMARTIN	CIHEI	1.127
SINMARTIN	HAIEU	1.183
SINMARTIN	BAILE FELIX	495
SINTANDREI	SINTANDREI	4.349
SINTANDREI	PALOTA	563

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 5: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bihor County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

6. BISTRIȚA-NĂSĂUD County

TABLE 2. 6: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bistrița-Năsăud County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	23	9,9	3.821	1,8
Medium-low development	73	31,3	27.384	13,0
Medium development	44	18,9	52.491	24,9
Medium-high development	88	37,8	107.565	51,0
Comprehensive development	5	2,1	19.607	9,3
TOTAL	233	100	210.868	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) Lista localităților cu cel mai mare LHDI (Dezvoltare comprehensivă)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BUDACU DE JOS	JELNA	793
ORAS BECLEAN	BECLEAN	9.134
ORAS BECLEAN	COLDAU	653
ORAS NASAUD	NASAUD	8.095
SIEU-MAGHERUS	SIEU-MAGHERUS	932

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 6: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Bistrița-Năsăud County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

7. BOTOȘANI County

TABLE 2. 7: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Botoșani County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	117	35,7	43.054	14,1
Medium-low development	166	50,6	176.666	57,8
Medium development	31	9,5	44.844	14,7
Medium-high development	13	4,0	38.362	12,6
Comprehensive development	1	0,3	2.678	0,9
TOTAL	328	100	305.604	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
MIHAI EMINESCU	CATAMARESTI-DEAL	2.678

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 7: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Botoșani County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

8. BRAȘOV County

TABLE 2. 8: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Brașov County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	2	1,3	920	0,4
Medium-low development	27	17,3	12.179	5,2
Medium development	24	15,4	31.106	13,3
Medium-high development	75	48,1	69.191	29,6
Comprehensive development	28	17,9	120.212	51,5
TOTAL	156	100	233.608	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BECLEAN	HUREZ	492
BOD	BOD	2.200
BOD	COLONIA BOD	1.794
BRAN	BRAN	1.492
BRAN	PREDELUT	958
BRAN	SOHODOL	1.508
BRAN	SIMON	1.223
CRISTIAN	CRISTIAN	4.490
FELDIOARA	FELDIOARA	4.334
HALCHIU	HALCHIU	3.035
HARMAN	HARMAN	4.659
HARMAN	PODU OLTULUI	743
MANDRA	RAUSOR	496
MOIECIU	MOIECIU DE JOS	2.222
MOIECIU	CHEIA	488

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
MUNICIPIUL CODLEA	CODLEA	21.708
ORAS GHIMBAV	GHIMBAV	4.698
ORAS PREDEAL	PREDEAL	3.675
ORAS PREDEAL	TIMISU DE JOS	594
ORAS PREDEAL	TIMISU DE SUS	468
ORAS RASNOV	RASNOV	15.022
ORAS VICTORIA	VICTORIA	7.386
ORAS ZARNESTI	ZARNESTI	22.029
ORAS ZARNESTI	TOHANU NOU	1.447
PREJMER	PREJMER	5.297
PREJMER	LUNCA CALNICULUI	2.793
SAMBATA DE SUS	STATIUNEA CLIMATERICA SIMBATA	142
SINPETRU	SINPETRU	4.819

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 8: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Brașov County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

9. BRĂILA County

TABLE 2. 9: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Brăila County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	23	17,4	4.996	3,5
Medium-low development	83	62,9	89.546	63,6
Medium development	16	12,1	26.685	18,9
Medium-high development	9	6,8	19.108	13,6
Comprehensive development	1	0,8	516	0,4
TOTAL	132	100	140.851	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CHISCANI	VARSATURA	516

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 9: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Brăila County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

10. BUZĂU County

TABLE 2. 10: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Buzău County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	83	19,8	15.153	5,1
Medium-low development	172	41,1	102.464	34,5
Medium development	92	22,0	106.240	35,8
Medium-high development	66	15,8	58.498	19,7
Comprehensive development	6	1,4	14.398	4,9
TOTAL	419	100	296.753	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BERCA	BERCA	3.296
MARACINENI	MARACINENI	2.996
MARACINENI	CAPATINESTI	3.296
MARACINENI	POTOCENI	1.987
VADU PASII	BAJANI	711
VERNESTI	VERNESTI	2.112

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 10: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Buzău County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

11. CARAȘ-SEVERIN County

TABLE 2. 11: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Caraș-Severin County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	11	4,6	1.500	0,7
Medium-low development	84	35,4	21.475	9,9
Medium development	49	20,7	43.062	19,9
Medium-high development	91	38,4	125.301	58,0
Comprehensive development	2	0,8	24.812	11,5
TOTAL	237	100	216.150	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) Lista localităților cu cel mai mare LHDI (Dezvoltare comprehensivă)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
MUNICIPIUL CARANSEBES	CARANSEBES	24.146
PALTINIS	PALTINIS	666

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 11: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Caraș-Severin County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

12. CĂLĂRAȘI County

TABLE 2. 12: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Călărași County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	11	7,2	1.668	0,7
Medium-low development	71	46,4	57.186	24,4
Medium development	53	34,6	121.511	51,5
Medium-high development	18	11,8	54.591	23,3
Comprehensive development	0	0,0	-	-
TOTAL	153	100	233.956	100


Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

No locality with comprehensive development was identified in Călărași county.

MAP 2. 12: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Călărași County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

13. CLUJ County

TABLE 2. 13: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Cluj County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	50	13,0	7.814	2,8
Medium-low development	159	41,4	43.351	15,3
Medium development	38	9,9	38.718	13,7
Medium-high development	112	29,2	89.023	31,5
Comprehensive development	25	6,5	103.874	36,7
TOTAL	384	100	282.780	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
APAHIDA	APAHIDA	5.966
APAHIDA	CIMPENESTI	154
APAHIDA	DEZMIR	1.515
APAHIDA	SINNICOARA	1.927
APAHIDA	SUB COASTA	98
BACIU	BACIU	6.100
BACIU	CORUSU	561
BACIU	POPESTI	601
BACIU	RADAIA	161
BACIU	SUCEAGU	1.332
CASEIU	URISOR	929
CHINTENI	CHINTENI	1.310
CUZDRIOARA	CUZDRIOARA	2.076
FELEACU	FELEACU	1.827
FLORESTI	FLORESTI	20.256

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
FLORESTI	LUNA DE SUS	2.310
FLORESTI	TAUTI	247
GILAU	GILAU	6.464
JUCU	JUCU DE MIJLOC	1.029
MIHAI VITEAZU	MIHAI VITEAZU	4.129
MIHAI VITEAZU	CORNESTI	769
MUNICIPIUL CAMPIA TURZII	CAMPIA TURZII	22.223
MUNICIPIUL GHERLA	GHERLA	20.092
SANDULESTI	SANDULESTI	611
SANDULESTI	COPACENI	1.187

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 13: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Cluj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

14. CONSTANȚA County

TABLE 2. 14: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Constanța County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	21	11,4	4.437	1,5
Medium-low development	65	35,1	37.389	12,9
Medium development	53	28,6	73.666	25,4
Medium-high development	26	14,1	72.044	24,9
Comprehensive development	20	10,8	102.214	35,3
TOTAL	185	100	289.750	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
AGIGEA	SANATORIUL AGIGEA	442
AGIGEA	STATIUNEA ZOOLOGICA MARINA AGIGEA	84
AGIGEA	LAZU	1.613
AGIGEA	AGIGEA	4.853
COSTINESTI	COSTINESTI	1.376
COSTINESTI	SCHITU	1.490
CUMPANA	CUMPANA	12.333
LIMANU	LIMANU	2.990
LIMANU	2 MAI	2.848
LIMANU	VAMA VECHE	282
LUMINA	LUMINA	7.800
MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	8.319
ORAS EFORIE	EFORIE SUD	4.285
ORAS EFORIE	EFORIE NORD	5.188
ORAS MURFATLAR	MURFATLAR	9.144

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ORAS OVIDIU	OVIDIU	11.892
ORAS OVIDIU	POIANA	896
ORAS TECHIRGHIOL	TECHIRGHIOL	7.292
TUZLA	TUZLA	6.711
VALU LUI TRAIAN	VALU LUI TRAIAN	12.376

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 14: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Constanța County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

15. COVASNA County

TABLE 2. 15: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Covasna County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	2	1,7	1.892	1,2
Medium-low development	15	12,5	6.648	4,4
Medium development	32	26,7	33.857	22,2
Medium-high development	66	55,0	80.209	52,7
Comprehensive development	5	4,2	29.579	19,4
TOTAL	120	100	152.185	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ARCUS	ARCUS	1.519
ILIENI	ILIENI	1.098
ILIENI	SINCRAIU	374
MUNICIPIUL TARGU SECUIESC	TARGU SECUIESC	16.940
ORAS COVASNA	COVASNA	9.648

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

HAMAP 2. 15: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Covasna County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

16. DÂMBOVIȚA County

TABLE 2. 16: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Dâmbovița County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	5	1,4	4.279	1,0
Medium-low development	40	11,1	14.598	3,3
Medium development	77	21,3	103.156	23,6
Medium-high development	208	57,6	214.184	49,0
Comprehensive development	31	8,6	100.895	23,1
TOTAL	361	100	437.112	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ANINOASA	ANINOASA	2.347
ANINOASA	SATENI	1.523
ANINOASA	VIFORITA	2.474
BRANESTI	BRANESTI	3.171
BRANESTI	PRIBOIU	926
CREVEDIA	CREVEDIA	2.925
CREVEDIA	COCANI	616
CREVEDIA	DIRZA	2.082
CREVEDIA	MINASTIREA	898
CREVEDIA	SAMURCASI	1.229
DARMANESTI	DARMANESTI	3.664
DRAGODANA	BOBOCI	627
GURA FOII	GURA FOII	1.068
MOTAIENI	MOTAIENI	1.512
MUNICIPIUL MORENI	MORENI	18.687

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
ORAS FIENI	FIENI	6.203
ORAS GAESTI	GAESTI	13.317
ORAS PUCIOASA	PUCIOASA	11.009
ORAS TITU	TITU	7.063
RAZVAD	RAZVAD	4.266
RAZVAD	VALEA VOIVOZILOR	3.023
SOTINGA	TEIS	2.489
TARTASESTI	TARTASESTI	2.305
TARTASESTI	BILDANA	2.047
TARTASESTI	GULIA	1.522
ULMI	ULMI	1.239
ULMI	COLANU	289
ULMI	DUMBRAVA	583
ULMI	UDRESTI	216
ULMI	VIISOARA	1.370
VULCANA-PANDELE	LACULETE-GARA	205

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 16: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Dâmbovița County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

17. DOLJ County

TABLE 2. 17: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Dolj County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	65	18,6	18.817	4,9
Medium-low development	163	46,7	143.,877	37,1
Medium development	75	21,5	129.513	33,4
Medium-high development	42	12,0	77.638	20,0
Comprehensive development	4	1,1	17.466	4,5
TOTAL	349	100	387.311	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CARCEA	CARCEA	3.424
MALU MARE	PREAJBA	1.467
ORAS FILIASI	FILIASI	12.067
SIMNICU DE SUS	DUDOVICESTI	508

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

MAP 2. 17: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Dolj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

18. GALAȚI County

TABLE 2. 18: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Galați County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	35	20,2	12.136	4,9
Medium-low development	83	48,0	99.198	40,1
Medium development	45	26,0	116.462	47,1
Medium-high development	6	3,5	11.220	4,5
Comprehensive development	4	2,3	8.151	3,3
TOTAL	173	100	247.167	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
SENDRENI	SENDRENI	2.405
SMIRDAN	SMIRDAN	2.540
VINATORI	VINATORI	2.271
VINATORI	COSTI	935

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 18: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Galați County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

19. GIURGIU County

TABLE 2. 19: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Giurgiu County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	17	10,4	4.983	2,3
Medium-low development	66	40,2	68.735	31,6
Medium development	47	28,7	77.025	35,4
Medium-high development	33	20,1	65.243	30,0
Comprehensive development	1	0,6	1.700	0,8
TOTAL	164	100	217.686	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
JOITA	BICU	1.700

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 19: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Giurgiu County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

20. GORJ County

TABLE 2. 20: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Gorj County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	3	0,7	270	0,1
Medium-low development	74	18,0	16.131	6,2
Medium development	68	16,5	45.092	17,5
Medium-high development	249	60,6	144.505	56,0
Comprehensive development	17	4,1	52.187	20,2
TOTAL	411	100	258.185	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BALESTI	BALESTI	1.812
BALESTI	CORNESTI	784
BILTENI	MOI	1.023
DRAGUTESTI	DRAGUTESTI	1.057
DRAGUTESTI	CIRBESTI	1.106
DRAGUTESTI	IASI-GORJ	927
LELESTI	LELESTI	1.286
MUNICIPIUL MOTRU	MOTRU	15.518
ORAS BUMBESTI-JIU	BUMBESTI-JIU	5.783
ORAS BUMBESTI-JIU	CURTISOARA	689
ORAS ROVINARI	ROVINARI	11.147
ORAS ROVINARI	VIRT	669
ORAS TIRGU CARBUNESTI	TIRGU CARBUNESTI	4.491
ORAS TURCENI	TURCENI	4.055
ORAS TURCENI	JILTU	258
TURCINESTI	TURCINESTI	1.113
TURCINESTI	CARTIU	469

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 20: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Gorj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

21. HARGHITA County

TABLE 2. 21: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Harghita County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	2	0,9	477	0,2
Medium-low development	40	17,8	10.176	4,3
Medium development	20	8,9	16.245	6,9
Medium-high development	144	64,0	190.148	80,2
Comprehensive development	19	8,4	20.008	8,4
TOTAL	225	100	237.054	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BRADESTI	BRADESTI	1.207
BRADESTI	TIRNOVITA	708
CICEU	CICEU	2.544
DEALU	TIBOD	222
FELICENI	FELICENI	808
FELICENI	ARVATENI	125
FELICENI	OTENI	238
FELICENI	POLONITA	334
FELICENI	TAURENI	437
FELICENI	VALENI	314
LELICENI	FITOD	478
LELICENI	LELICENI	424
ORAS BAILE TUSNAD	BAILE TUSNAD	1.629
ORAS CRISTURU SECUIESC	CRISTURU SECUIESC	7.836
ORAS VLAHITA	BAILE HOMOROD	84

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
PAULENI-CIUC	PAULENI-CIUC	605
PAULENI-CIUC	DELNITA	647
PAULENI-CIUC	SOIMENI	579
SECUIENI	BODOGAIA	789

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 21: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Harghita County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

22. HUNEDOARA County

TABLE 2. 22: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Hunedoara County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	3	0,9	280	0,1
Medium-low development	64	19,1	9.581	3,8
Medium development	10	3,0	4.538	1,8
Medium-high development	230	68,7	175.515	70,1
Comprehensive development	28	8,4	60.453	24,1
TOTAL	335	100	250.367	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BACIA	BACIA	743
BACIA	TIMPA	722
HARAU	BIRSAU	562
ILIA	ILIA	1.622
MUNICIPIUL ORASTIE	ORASTIE	18.227
ORAS CALAN	CALAN	8.006
ORAS CALAN	STREISINGEORGIU	558
ORAS CALAN	BATIZ	488
ORAS CALAN	CALANU MIC	133
ORAS CALAN	NADASTIA DE JOS	288
ORAS CALAN	OHABA STRAIULUI	121
ORAS GEOAGIU	AUREL VLAICU	789
ORAS GEOAGIU	GELMAR	454
ORAS GEOAGIU	GEOAGIU-BAI	391
ORAS HATEG	HATEG	8.644

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ORAS HATEG	NALATVAD	328
ORAS SIMERIA	SIMERIA	10.117
ORAS SIMERIA	CARPINIS	231
ORAS SIMERIA	SIMERIA VECHE	391
ORAS SIMERIA	SINTANDREI	670
ORAS SIMERIA	SAULESTI	311
ORAS SIMERIA	UROI	388
SANTAMARIA-ORLEA	SANTAMARIA-ORLEA	769
SOIMUS	SOIMUS	1.204
TELIUCU INFERIOR	TELIUCU INFERIOR	1.295
TURDAS	PRICAZ	1.077
VETEL	VETEL	879
VETEL	MINTIA	1.045

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 22: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Hunedoara County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

23. IALOMIȚA County

TABLE 2. 23: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Ialomița County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	9	7,7	2.059	1,1
Medium-low development	59	50,4	67.498	35,5
Medium development	35	29,9	70.922	37,3
Medium-high development	13	11,1	34.264	18,0
Comprehensive development	1	0,9	15.308	8,1
TOTAL	117	100	190.051	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
MUNICIPIUL URZICENI	URZICENI	15.308

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 23: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Ialomița County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

24. IAȘI County

TABLE 2. 24: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Iași County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	81	20,7	27.630	6,7
Medium-low development	191	48,8	193.532	46,6
Medium development	73	18,7	97.098	23,4
Medium-high development	27	6,9	50.033	12,0
Comprehensive development	19	4,9	47.191	11,4
TOTAL	391	100	415.484	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ARONEANU	ARONEANU	1.401
BIRNOVA	BIRNOVA	1.468
BIRNOVA	PAUN	1.276
BIRNOVA	PIETRARIA	763
BIRNOVA	VISAN	1.111
CIUREA	HLINCEA	443
CIUREA	LUNCA CETATUII	6.227
HOLBOCA	HOLBOCA	2.737
HOLBOCA	CRISTESTI	681
HOLBOCA	DANCU	6.444
MIROSLAVA	MIROSLAVA	2.221
MIROSLAVA	HORPAZ	1.513
MIROSLAVA	VALEA ADINCA	3.053
MIROSLAVA	VALEA URSULUI	310
REDIU	REDIU	1.889

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
REDIU	BREAZU	1.430
TOMESTI	TOMESTI	8.126
TOMESTI	CHICEREA	1.116
VALEA LUPULUI	VALEA LUPULUI	4.982

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 24: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Iași County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

25. ILFOV County

TABLE 2. 25: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Ilfov County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	0	0,0	-	-
Medium-low development	5	4,9	3.098	0,9
Medium development	7	6,9	8.677	2,5
Medium-high development	31	30,4	58.327	16,9
Comprehensive development	59	57,8	275.655	79,7
TOTAL	102	100	345.757	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
1 DECEMBRIE	1 DECEMBRIE	7.817
AFUMATI	AFUMATI	7.919
BALOTESTI	BALOTESTI	6.286
BALOTESTI	DUMBRAVENI	519
BALOTESTI	SAFTICA	1.509
BERCENI	BERCENI	5.942
BRANESTI	BRANESTI	7.305
BRANESTI	ISLAZ	1.609
BRANESTI	PASAREA	1.325
BRANESTI	VADU ANEI	128
CERNICA	BALACEANCA	2.865
CHIAJNA	CHIAJNA	4.511
CHIAJNA	DUDU	1.728
CHIAJNA	ROSU	8.020
CIOROGIRLA	CIOROGIRLA	3.919
CLINCENI	CLINCENI	4.708
CLINCENI	OLTENI	1.881
CLINCENI	ORDOREANU	219

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CORBEANCA	CORBEANCA	2.475
CORBEANCA	OSTRATU	1.623
CORBEANCA	PETRESTI	943
CORBEANCA	TAMASI	2.031
CORNETU	CORNETU	6.040
CORNETU	BUDA	284
DOBROESTI	DOBROESTI	5.274
DOBROESTI	FUNDENI	4.051
DOMNESTI	DOMNESTI	7.910
DOMNESTI	TEGHES	772
DRAGOMIRESTI-VALE	DRAGOMIRESTI-VALE	1.579
DRAGOMIRESTI-VALE	DRAGOMIRESTI-DEAL	2.669
DRAGOMIRESTI-VALE	ZURBAUA	995
GANEASA	GANEASA	861
GLINA	CATELU	2.790
GLINA	MANOLACHE	308
JILAVA	JILAVA	12.223
MOARA VLASIEI	MOARA VLASIEI	4.411
MOARA VLASIEI	CACIULATI	1.896
MOGOSOAIA	MOGOSOAIA	7.625
ORAS BRAGADIRU	BRAGADIRU	15.329
ORAS BUFTEA	BUFTEA	19.202
ORAS CHITILA	CHITILA	12.768
ORAS MAGURELE	MAGURELE	5.760
ORAS MAGURELE	ALUNISU	1.610
ORAS MAGURELE	DUMITRANA	816
ORAS MAGURELE	VARTEJU	2,641
ORAS OTOPENI	OTOPENI	12.540
ORAS OTOPENI	ODAILE	1.321
ORAS PANTELIMON	PANTELIMON	25.596
ORAS POPESTI LEORDENI	POPESTI LEORDENI	21.895
PERIS	PERIS	5.682
PERIS	BALTENI	484
SNAGOV	SNAGOV	1.763
SNAGOV	CIOFLICENI	1.223
SNAGOV	GHERMANESTI	2.560
SNAGOV	TINCABESTI	1.385
SNAGOV	VLADICEASCA	341
STEFANESTII DE JOS	STEFANESTII DE SUS	2.433
TUNARI	TUNARI	5.007
TUNARI	DIMIENI	329

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 25: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Ilfov County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

26. MARAMUREȘ County

TABLE 2. 26: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Maramureș County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	4	1,7	717	0,2
Medium-low development	41	17,7	12.922	4,1
Medium development	68	29,3	107.924	34,2
Medium-high development	105	45,3	165.834	52,5
Comprehensive development	14	6,0	28.540	9,0
TOTAL	232	100	315.937	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
GROSI	GROSI	1.315
GROSI	OCOLIS	487
GROSI	SATU NOU DE JOS	1.055
ORAS BAIA SPRIE	BAIA SPRIE	10.633
ORAS BAIA SPRIE	TAUTII DE SUS	3.093
ORAS BAIA SPRIE	SATU NOU DE SUS	1.093
ORAS TAUTII-MAGHERAUS	TAUTII-MAGHERAUS	2.761
ORAS TAUTII-MAGHERAUS	BAITA	1.686
ORAS TAUTII-MAGHERAUS	BUSAG	548
ORAS TAUTII-MAGHERAUS	MERISOR	265
RECEA	RECEA	1.187
RECEA	LAPUSEL	1.446
RECEA	MOCIRA	955
RECEA	SASAR	2.016

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 26: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Maramureș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

27. MEHEDINȚI County

TABLE 2. 27: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Mehedinți County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	79	25,0	17.776	10,6
Medium-low development	142	44,9	66.604	39,6
Medium development	53	16,8	37.939	22,5
Medium-high development	41	13,0	42.197	25,1
Comprehensive development	1	0,3	3.844	2,3
TOTAL	316	100	168.360	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
SIMIAN	SIMIAN	3.844

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 27: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Mehedinți County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

28. MUREȘ County

TABLE 2. 28: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Mureș County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	29	6,8	6.463	1,7
Medium-low development	130	30,4	35.372	9,4
Medium development	96	22,4	88.967	23,6
Medium-high development	151	35,3	157.947	42,0
Comprehensive development	22	5,1	87.634	23,3
TOTAL	428	100	376.383	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ALBESTI	ALBESTI	3.546
CEUASU DE CIMPIE	VOINICENI	1.144
CORUNCA	BOZENI	136
CORUNCA	CORUNCA	2.649
CRISTESTI	CRISTESTI	4.754
GANESTI	SEUCA	1.163
GHEORGHE DOJA	LEORDENI	396
LIVEZENI	LIVEZENI	2.225
LIVEZENI	IVANESTI	472
MUNICIPIUL SIGHISOARA	SIGHISOARA	25.605
ORAS IERNUT	IERNUT	5.382
ORAS LUDUS	LUDUS	12.486
ORAS UNGHENI	UNGHENI	3.803
ORAS UNGHENI	CERGHIZEL	468
PANET	SINTIOANA DE MURES	1.393
SINCRAIU DE MURES	SINCRAIU DE MURES	5.385
SINCRAIU DE MURES	NAZNA	2.104

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
SINGEORGIU DE MURES	SINGEORGIU DE MURES	8.800
SINTANA DE MURES	SINTANA DE MURES	3.441
SINTANA DE MURES	BARDESTI	546
SINTANA DE MURES	CHINARI	698
SINTANA DE MURES	CURTENI	1.038

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 28: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Mureș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

29. NEAMȚ County

TABLE 2. 29: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Neamț County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	63	19,0	14.798	4,5
Medium-low development	100	30,1	64.804	19,8
Medium development	100	30,1	143.086	43,7
Medium-high development	61	18,4	92.261	28,2
Comprehensive development	8	2,4	12.436	3,8
TOTAL	332	100	327.385	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	VIISOARA	622
ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	BISERICANI	95
ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	BISTRITA	1.881
ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	VADURI	755
ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	VADURELE	1.085
DUMBRAVA ROSIE	DUMBRAVA ROSIE	2.957
ORAS BICAZ	BICAZ	3.387
ORAS BICAZ	DODENI	1.654

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 29: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Neamț County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

30. OLT County

TABLE 2. 30: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Olt County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	47	12,7	11.432	3,5
Medium-low development	188	50,7	123.481	37,5
Medium development	114	30,7	131.501	40,0
Medium-high development	22	5,9	62.570	19,0
Comprehensive development	0	0,0	-	-
TOTAL	371	100	328.984	100


Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

No locality with comprehensive development was identified in Olt county.

MAP 2. 30: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Olt County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

31. Județul PRAHOVA

TABLE 2. 31: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Prahova County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	7	1,6	977	0,2
Medium-low development	66	15,5	25.051	4,8
Medium development	95	22,2	98.792	19,1
Medium-high development	199	46,6	221.714	42,8
Comprehensive development	60	14,1	171.579	33,1
TOTAL	427	100	518.113	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	2.721
ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	BUDA	496
ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	NEDELEA	2.449
ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	STOENESTI	1.379
ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	TIRGSORU NOU	1.659
BANESTI	BANESTI	3.096
BANESTI	URLETA	2.144
BARCANESTI	BARCANESTI	3.226
BARCANESTI	GHIGHIU	755
BARCANESTI	TATARANI	3.013
BERCENI	CARTIERUL DIMBU	465
BERCENI	CORLATESTI	1.432
BLEJOI	BLEJOI	3.638
BLEJOI	PLOIESTIORI	3.222
BLEJOI	TINTARENI	1.715

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
BRAZI	BATESTI	1.716
BREBU	BREBU MEGIESESC	2.613
BUCOV	BUCOV	4.783
BUCOV	CHITORANI	1.212
BUCOV	PLEASA	4.123
CORNU	CORNU DE JOS	3.456
CORNU	CORNU DE SUS	1.060
FLORESTI	CATINA	1.205
LIPANESTI	LIPANESTI	2.160
LIPANESTI	SIPOTU	2.354
LIPANESTI	ZAMFIRA	652
MAGURELE	MAGURELE	3.297
ORAS AZUGA	AZUGA	4.440
ORAS BAICOI	BAICOI	11.047
ORAS BAICOI	LILIESTI	2.807
ORAS BAICOI	SCHELA	438
ORAS BAICOI	TUFENI	930
ORAS BAICOI	TINTEA	1,396
ORAS BOLDESTI-SCAENI	BOLDESTI-SCAENI	10,105
ORAS BREAZA	BREAZA DE JOS	4,292
ORAS BREAZA	BREAZA DE SUS	6.068
ORAS BREAZA	FRASINET	509
ORAS BREAZA	PODU VADULUI	1.598
ORAS BREAZA	GURA BELIEI	935
ORAS BUSTENI	BUSTENI	6.376
ORAS BUSTENI	POIANA TAPULUI	2.518
ORAS COMARNIC	COMARNIC	3.667
ORAS COMARNIC	POSADA	1.359
ORAS PLOPENI	PLOPENI	7.718
ORAS SINAIA	SINAIA	10.410
ORAS VALENII DE MUNTE	VALENII DE MUNTE	12.257
PAULESTI	PAULESTI	2.401
PAULESTI	COCOSESTI	914
PAULESTI	GAGENI	2.143
PAULESTI	PAULESTII NOI	428
POIANA CAMPINA	POIANA CAMPINA	3.097
POIANA CAMPINA	BOBOLIA	1.032
POIANA CAMPINA	PIETRISU	510
POIANA CAMPINA	RAGMAN	107
SCORTENI	MISLEA	1.910
TELEGA	DOFTANA	465
TIRGSORU VECHI	STREJNICU	6.108

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
TIRGSORU VECHI	ZAHANAUA	220
VALEA CALUGAREASCA	VALEA CALUGAREASCA	2.419
VALEA CALUGAREASCA	VALEA POPII	914

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 31: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Prahova County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

32. SATU MARE County

TABLE 2. 32: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Satu Mare County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	10	4,6	2.777	1,2
Medium-low development	47	21,5	16.363	6,9
Medium development	70	32,0	65.394	27,4
Medium-high development	85	38,8	115.567	48,4
Comprehensive development	7	3,2	38.595	16,2
TOTAL	219	100	238.696	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CAMIN	CAMIN	1.388
CAPLENI	CAPLENI	3.031
MUNICIPIUL CAREI	CAREI	20.775
ODOREU	MARTINESTI	607
ORAS NEGRESTI-OAS	NEGRESTI-OAS	10.334
PAULESTI	PAULESTI	1.231
URZICENI	URZICENI	1.229

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 32: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Satu Mare County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

33. SĂLAJ County

TABLE 2. 33: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Sălaj County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	18	6,9	2.755	1,7
Medium-low development	92	35,4	27.161	16,3
Medium development	55	21,2	46.933	28,1
Medium-high development	92	35,4	68.287	40,9
Comprehensive development	3	1,2	21.807	13,1
TOTAL	260	100	166.943	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CRISENI	CRISENI	1.403
ORAS JIBOU	JIBOU	8.751
ORAS SIMLEU SILVANIEI	SIMLEU SILVANIEI	11.653

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 33: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Sălaj County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

34. SIBIU County

TABLE 2. 34: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Sibiu County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	4	2,4	993	0,5
Medium-low development	34	20,7	13.597	6,8
Medium development	22	13,4	23.744	11,9
Medium-high development	77	47,0	84.609	42,6
Comprehensive development	27	16,5	75.842	38,2
TOTAL	164	100	198.785	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
ALMA	ALMA	787
AXENTE SEVER	AXENTE SEVER	2.114
AXENTE SEVER	AGIRBICIU	1.269
CRISTIAN	CRISTIAN	3.665
DIRLOS	DIRLOS	1.883
ORAS AVRIG	AVRIG	8.637
ORAS AVRIG	BRADU	938
ORAS AVRIG	MIRSA	2.436
ORAS CISNADIE	CISNADIE	13.838
ORAS CISNADIE	CISNADIOARA	444
ORAS COPSA MICA	COPSA MICA	5.404
ORAS OCNA SIBIULUI	OCNA SIBIULUI	3.422
ORAS TALMACIU	TALMACIU	5.476

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
ORLAT	ORLAT	3.205
POPLACA	POPLACA	1.802
SADU	SADU	2.365
SEICA MICA	SEICA MICA	1.064
SELIMBAR	SELIMBAR	4.180
SELIMBAR	BUNGARD	568
SELIMBAR	MOHU	697
SELIMBAR	VESTEM	1.583
SLIMNIC	SLIMNIC	2.569
SURA MARE	SURA MARE	2.974
SURA MARE	HAMBA	795
SURA MICA	SURA MICA	1.854
VALEA VIILOR	VALEA VIILOR	1.362
VALEA VIILOR	MOTIS	511

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 34: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Sibiu County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

35. SUCEAVA County

TABLE 2. 35: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Suceava County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	15	3,8	5.818	1,1
Medium-low development	107	27,0	41.796	7,8
Medium development	146	36,8	209.997	39,0
Medium-high development	119	30,0	199.425	37,0
Comprehensive development	10	2,5	81.808	15,2
TOTAL	397	100	538.844	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
IPOTESTI	IPOTESTI	3.759
IPOTESTI	LISAURA	879
IPOTESTI	TISAUTI	997
MOARA	BULAI	1.036
MUNICIPIUL FALTICENI	FALTICENI	24.066
MUNICIPIUL RADAUTI	RADAUTI	23.822
ORAS GURA HUMORULUI	GURA HUMORULUI	12.985
ORAS SIRET	SIRET	7.031
SCHEIA	SCHEIA	3.445
SCHEIA	SFINTU ILIE	3.788

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 35: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Suceava County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

36. TELEORMAN County

TABLE 2. 36: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Teleorman County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	41	18,0	14.356	4,4
Medium-low development	147	64,5	160.715	48,9
Medium development	33	14,5	69.393	21,1
Medium-high development	7	3,1	84.146	25,6
Comprehensive development	0	0,0	-	-
TOTAL	228	100	328.610	100


Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

No locality with comprehensive development was identified in Teleorman county.

MAP 2. 36: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Teleorman County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

37. TIMIȘ County

TABLE 2. 37: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Timiș County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	0	0,0	-	-
Medium-low development	50	17,5	14.823	4,7
Medium development	29	10,1	19.762	6,2
Medium-high development	167	58,4	182.826	57,4
Comprehensive development	40	14,0	101.340	31,8
TOTAL	286	100	318.751	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BILED	BILED	3.294
COMLOSU MARE	COMLOSU MIC	853
DAROVA	DAROVA	1.818
DUMBRAVITA	DUMBRAVITA	7.522
GHIRODA	GHIRODA	4.605
GHIRODA	GIARMATA-VII	1.595
GIARMATA	GIARMATA	5.210
GIARMATA	CERNETEAZ	1.292
GIROC	GIROC	5.652
GIROC	CHISODA	2.736
JEBEL	JEBEL	3.584
LOVRIN	LOVRIN	3.223
MOSNITA NOUA	MOSNITA NOUA	2.833
MOSNITA NOUA	ALBINA	386
MOSNITA NOUA	MOSNITA VECHE	1.590

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
MOSNITA NOUA	RUDICICA	79
MOSNITA NOUA	URSENI	1.315
ORAS BUZIAS	BUZIAS	4.746
ORAS BUZIAS	BACOVA	1.500
ORAS DETA	DETA	5.553
ORAS RECAS	RECAS	4.722
ORTISOARA	ORTISOARA	2.289
PARTA	PARTA	2.172
PECIU NOU	PECIU NOU	3.092
PECIU NOU	DINIAS	969
PECIU NOU	SINMARTINU SIRBESC	921
PISCHIA	PISCHIA	1.116
SACALAZ	SACALAZ	4.596
SACALAZ	BEREGSAU MARE	1.747
SACALAZ	BEREGSAU MIC	861
SAG	SAG	3.009
SANDRA	SANDRA	2.286
SINANDREI	SINANDREI	3.065
SINANDREI	CARANI	1.773
SINANDREI	COVACI	879
SINMIHAIU ROMAN	SINMIHAIU ROMAN	2.805
SINMIHAIU ROMAN	SINMIHAIU GERMAN	865
SINMIHAIU ROMAN	UTVIN	2.451
VICTOR VLAD DELAMARINA	VICTOR VLAD DELAMARINA	398
VOITEG	VOITEG	1.938

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 37: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Timiș County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

38. TULCEA County

TABLE 2. 38: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Tulcea County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	10	8,9	1.865	1,4
Medium-low development	37	33,0	24.804	18,3
Medium development	44	39,3	63.707	47,0
Medium-high development	20	17,9	44.277	32,7
Comprehensive development	1	0,9	829	0,6
TOTAL	112	100	135.482	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BAIA	CEAMURLIA DE SUS	829

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 38: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Tulcea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

39. VASLUI County

TABLE 2. 39: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vaslui County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	194	46,5	57.427	20,7
Medium-low development	192	46,0	146.604	52,9
Medium development	24	5,8	37.898	13,7
Medium-high development	7	1,7	35.061	12,7
Comprehensive development	0	0,0	-	-
TOTAL	417	100	276.990	100


Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

No locality with comprehensive development was identified in Vaslui county.

MAP 2. 39: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vaslui County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

40. VÂLCEA County

TABLE 2. 40: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vâlcea County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	24	4,6	3.436	1,4
Medium-low development	238	45,6	62.072	24,4
Medium development	86	16,5	58.714	23,1
Medium-high development	151	28,9	96.859	38,1
Comprehensive development	23	4,4	33.283	13,1
TOTAL	522	100	254.364	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)


Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
BUDESTI	BUDESTI	1.376
BUDESTI	LINIA	627
BUDESTI	RACOVITA	890
BUJORENI	OLTENI	1.082
BUJORENI	BUJORENI	320
BUJORENI	MALU ALB	207
MIHAESTI	BULETA	880
MIHAESTI	ARSANCA	260
MIHAESTI	BIRSESTI	725
MIHAESTI	GOVORA	339
MIHAESTI	MAGURA	621
MIHAESTI	MUNTENI	245
MIHAESTI	NEGRENI	440

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	**Village** (SIRUTA unit)	**Population** (locuitori)
MIHAESTI	RUGETU	247
MUNICIPIUL DRAGASANI	DRAGASANI	16.405
ORAS BABENI	TATARANI	338
ORAS CALIMANESTI	CACIULATA	229
ORAS CALIMANESTI	PAUSA	367
ORAS HOREZU	HOREZU	3.496
PAUSESTI-MAGLASI	PAUSESTI-MAGLASI	810
PAUSESTI-MAGLASI	VLADUCENI	736
VLADESTI	VLADESTI	1.547
VLADESTI	PRIPORU	1.096

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

MAP 2. 40: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vâlcea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

41. VRANCEA County

TABLE 2. 41: List of rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vrancea County

(A) Distribution by type of Local Human Development

Type of Local Human Development	Village (SIRUTA unit)		Population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Lowest development	71	21,5	21.825	8,4
Medium-low development	149	45,2	72.748	27,9
Medium development	74	22,4	99.041	38,0
Medium-high development	31	9,4	58.969	22,6
Comprehensive development	5	1,5	8.184	3,1
TOTAL	330	100	260.767	100

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.


(B) The list of localities with the highest LHDI (comprehensive development)

Administrative Unit (SIRSUP unit)	Village (SIRUTA unit)	Population (locuitori)
CIMPINEANCA	CIMPINEANCA	1.992
CIMPINEANCA	VILCELE	1.020
GOLESTI	GOLESTI	3.489
GOLESTI	CEARDAC	626
VINATORI	PETRESTI	1.057

Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis

MAP 2. 41: Rural and small urban localities (SIRINF units) by level of local human development in Vrancea County



Source: Word Bank estimations based on 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Analysis at the village (SIRUTA unit) level for all rural and small urban (with less than 30,000 inhabitants) administrative units. Villages and component localities of small towns with less than 50 inhabitants were not included in the analysis.

References

Anan, K., Karacsony, S., Anton, S., Balica, M., Botonogu, F., Catana, A., Dan, A., Danchev, P., Farcasanu, D., Ferre, C., Florescu, R., Grigoras, V., Ionita, S., Ivasiuc, A., Kits, B., Kullman, A., de Laat, J., Magheru, M., Mathema, A., Mihalache, C., Moarcas, M., Ofiteru, L., Rokx, C., Rostas, I., Stanculescu, M. S., Swinkels, R., Tan, L., Thapa, D., and Weber, M. (2014) *Achieving Roma inclusion in Romania : what does it take? (Vol. 2). Raport final*. Washington D.C.: Grupul Băncii Mondiale. Disponibil la adresa: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2014/02/19362999/achieving-roma-inclusion-romania-take-vol-2-2-final-report

Banca Mondială (2009) *World Development Report 2009: Reshaping Economic Geography*. Washington D.C.: Grupul Băncii Mondiale. Disponibil la adresa: http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2008/12/03/000333038_20081203234958/Rendered/PDF/437380REVISED01BLIC1097808213760720.pdf

Bădescu, G., Grigoraş, V., Rughiniş, C., Voicu, M., and Voicu, O. (2007) *Barometrul Incluziunii Romilor*. Fundația pentru o Societate Deschisă, București. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.edrc.ro/docs/docs/cercetari/Barometrul-incluziunii-romilor.pdf

Berescu, C., Celac, M., Ciobanu, O. și Manolache, C. (2006) *Locuirea şi sărăcia extremă. Cazul romilor*. Bucuresti: Editura Universitară Ion Mincu.

Berescu, C., Celac M., Botonogu F, Marin V. și Balteanu A. (2007) *Metodologie de reabilitare a locuirii în zone afectate de degradare fizică şi excluziune socială*. Raport nepublicat pregătit pentru Ministerul Dezvoltării Regional și Administrației Publice.

Berescu C. (2010) *Locuire și sărăcie. O privire interdisciplinară asupra spațiului locuirii sărace*. Teză de doctorat nepublicată. Universitatea de Arhitectura si Urbanism Ion Mincu Bucuresti.

Botonogu, F. (coord.) (2011) *Ferentari. Comunități ascunse*. Centrul de Politici pentru Roma și Minorități, București: Expert.

Chelcea, L. (2000) "Grupuri marginale în zone centrale: gentrificare, drepturi de proprietate și acumulare primitivă postsocialistă în Bucuresti". In *Sociologie Românească*, Nr. 3-4, 51-68. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.arsociologie.ro/sociologieromaneasca/arhiva/21-revista-sociologie-romaneasca/rezumate/887-chelcea-3-4-2000

Chircă, C., Teşliuc, E. (coord) (1999) *De la sărăcie la dezvoltare rurală*, Banca Mondială și Comisia Națională pentru Statistică, București.

Colini, L., Czischke, D., Güntner, S., Tosics, I., and Ramsden, P. (2013) *Against divided cities in Europe. Cities of Tomorrow – Action Today*. URBACT II Capitalisation. Disponibil la adresa: file:///C:/Users/Georgiana/Downloads/19765_Urbact_WS4_DIVIDED_low_FINAL.pdf

Comisia Europeană (2011) *Cities of Tomorrow: challenges, visions, ways forward*. DG Regional Policy. Bruxelles: Comisia Europeană. Disponibil la adresa: http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/docgener/studies/pdf/citiesoftomorrow/citiesoftomorrow_final.pdf

Comisia Europeană (2013) *Common Guidance of the European Commission' Directorates-General Agri, Empl, Mare and Regio on community-led local development in European Structural and Investment Fund*. Versiune draft - 30 ianuarie 2013. Brussels: Comisia Europeană. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.mdrap.ro/userfiles/espon_CLLD_guidance_2013_01_30%201.pdf

Constantinescu, M., Nițulescu, D., Băjenaru, C. (2005) "Zone precare de locuire în spațiul urban", in *Calitatea Vieții*, XVI, nr. 1–2, pp. 65–85, Disponibil la adresa: http://www.iccv.ro/oldiccv/romana/revista/rcalvit/pdf/cv2005.1-2.a04.pdf.

Daragiu, M., Daragiu, L.A. (2012) *Liderii romi şi contribuția acestora în procesul de incluziune socială a comunităților. Studiu de caz în județul Bihor*. Fundația Ruhama, www.ruhama.ro

Duminică, G., Preda, M. (2003) *Accesul romilor pe piața muncii*. București: Editura Cărții de Agribusiness. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.agentiaimpreuna.ro/files/publicatii/Accesul_romilor_pe_piata_muncii.pdf

Emery, M., Flora, C. (2006) "Spiraling-up: Mapping community transformation with community capitals framework", in *Community Development*, 37(1), 19-35.

Fleck, G., Rughinis, C. (eds.) (2008) *Come Closer. Inclusion and Exclusion of Roma in Present Day*. Romanian Society. Human Dynamics. Disponibil la adresa: https://www.academia.edu/285918/Come_Closer._Inclusion_and_Exclusion_of_Roma_In_Present_Day_Romanian_Society

FRA - European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, PNUD și Comisia Europeană (2012) *The situation of Roma in 11 EU Member States. Survey results at a glance*. Raport pregătit de FRA și PNUD pentru Comisia Europeană, în baza studiului regional privind romii realizat de PNUD/BM/EC în 2011. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Disponibil la adresa: http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_uploads/2099-FRA-2012-Roma-at-a-glance_EN.pdf

Giurcă, D. (coord.) (2012) *Incluziunea romilor din România: politici, instituții, experiențe*. Proiect "EU INCLUSIVE – transfer de date şi experiențe privind integrarea pe piața muncii a romilor între România, Bulgaria, Italia şi Spania" POSDRU/98/6.4/S/63841, implementat de Fundația Soros România. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.soros.ro/ro/program_articol.php?articol=400#

Groza, O. (coord), Muntele, I., Țurcănaşu, G., Rusu, A., Boamfă, I., *Atlas Teritorial al României. Instrument de analiză teritorială*, Disponibil la adresa: http://www.mdrl.ro/_documente/atlas/index.htm.

ICCV – Institutul de Cercetare a Calității Vieții (2010) *Legal şi egal pe piața muncii pentru comunitățile de romi. Diagnoza factorilor care influențează nivelul de ocupare la populația de romi din România*. Project "L@EGAL 2 – investiție europeană pentru viitorul romilor din România" POSDRU/70/6.2./S/30873. București: Fundația Soros România. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.fundatia.ro/sites/default/files/Raport%20-%20Legal%20si%20egal.pdf

Ionescu-Heroiu, M., Burduja, S. I., Sandu, D., Cojocaru, St., Blankespoor, B., Iorga, E., Moretti, E., Moldovan, C., Man, T., Rus, R. și van der Weide, R. (2013a) *Romania - Competitive cities: reshaping the economic geography of Romania*. Romania regional development program. Washington D.C.: Banca Mondială. Disponibil la adresa: http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/2013/12/19060303/romania-competitive-cities-reshaping-economic-geography-romania-vol-1-2-full-report

MADR - Ministerul Agriculturii şi Dezvoltării Rurale (2013) *Programul Național de Dezvoltare Rurală, Versiunea Noiembrie 2013*. Disponibil la adresa: www.pndr.ro.

McLennan, D., Barnes, H., Noble, M., Davies, J., Garratt, E., & Dibben, C. (2011) *The English indices of deprivation 2010. London: Department for Communities and Local Government*, Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6871/1871208.pdf

Pop, L. (coord.) (2004) *Harta saraciei in Romania Metodologia utilizata si prezentarea rezultatelor*, Raport pregătit pentru Comisia Prezidențială Anti-sărăcie și Incluziune Socială (CASPIS) de către Universitatea din București și Institutul Național de Statistică, Disponibil la adresa: http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/povmap/downloads/methods/Harta_saraciei_in_Romania.pdf

Preda, M. (coord) (2009) *Riscuri şi inechități sociale în România. Raportul Comisiei Prezidențiale pentru Analiza Riscurilor Sociale şi Demografice*. Iasi: Polirom. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.presidency.ro/static/CPARSDR_raport_extins.pdf

Preoteasa, A. M., Cace, S., and Duminică, G. (coord.) (2009) *Strategia națională de îmbunătățire a situației romilor: vocea comunităților*. Agenția de Dezvoltare Comunitară "Împreună". Disponibil la adresa: http://www.agentiaimpreuna.ro/files/publicatii/10-RAPORT_tipar-p-ro.pdf

RegGov - Regional Governance of Sustainable Integrated development of Deprived Urban Areas (2011) *Raport final*. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.sodertalje.se/mainupload/dokument/Kommun%20o%20demokrati/Politik%20och%20p%C3%A5verkan/Kommunstyrelsen/Sammantr%C3%A4deshandlingar/2011/2011-10-27/13T_KS20111027.pdf

Rughiniş, C. (2000) "Proiecte de dezvoltare în comunități de romi: echilibrarea unei relații asimetrice", in *Revista de Cercetări Sociale*, Nr. 3-4: 77-96.

Sandu, D. (1998) *Rural community poverty in Romania Targets for poverty alleviation by Romania Social Development Fund*, World Bank Report.

Sandu, D. (2005) *Dezvoltare comunitara: cercetare, practică, ideologie*. Iași: Polirom.

Sandu, D. (2005) *Roma Social Mapping Targeting by a Community Poverty Survey*, Raport Banca Mondială. Disponibil la adresa: http://sites.google.com/site/dumitrusandu/

Sandu, D., Voineagu, V., și Panduru, F. (2009) *Development of Comunăs in Romania*. Institutul Național de Statistică, Universitatea din București.

Sandu, D. (2011) "Social Disparities in the Regional Development and Policies of Romania", in *International Review of Social Research*, Volume 1, Issue 1, February 2011, pp. 1-30, Disponibil la adresa: http://sites.google.com/site/dumitrusandu/

Simler, K. (coord.) (2014) *Poverty Mapping in Romania Making Better Policies through Better-Targeted Interventions,* Raport Comisia Europeană și Banca Mondială.

Stănculescu, M. S. (ed.) (1999) *Sărăcia în România 1995-1998*, Vol. I. *Coordonate, dimensiuni şi factori*, UNDP Poverty Alleviation Project, București: UNDP.

Stănculescu, M. S., Berevoescu, I. (coord.) (2004) *Sărac lipit, caut altă viață! Fenomenul sărăciei extreme şi al zonelor sărace în România 2001*, București: Nemira.

Stănculescu, M. S. (2005) *K-Typologies of the rural and small urban communities in Romania*, Raport Banca Mondială.

Stănculescu, M. S. (coord.), Marin, M., Branişte, S. (2010) *Calitatea vieții şi gradul de satisfacție privind locuirea în cartierul Cetate, municipiul Alba Iulia. Raport final*, financed by Asociația Intercomunitară de Dezvoltare Alba Iulia, proiect "Legături între regenerarea urbană, planificarea spațială – NODUS WG6", Programul Operațional de Cooperare Teritorială URBACT. Disponibil la adresa: www.albaiulia-aida.ro/nodus/NODUS%20WG6%20-%20Studiu%20Sociologic%20(Raport%20Final%20

Stănculescu M.S., Marin, M. (2011) "Using triangulation in targeting social interventions for at-risk-children", in *Review of Research and Social Intervention*, vol. 33/2011/iunie, pp. 131-140.

Stănculescu, M. S., Marin, M. (2012) *Supporting Invisible Children. Evaluation Report*. UNICEF, București: Vanemonde. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.unicef.org/romania/Raport_HIC_engleza.pdf

Stănculescu, M. S. (coord.) Marin, M., and Popp, A. (2012) *Being a Child in Romania. A Multidimensional Diagnosis*. UNICEF, București: Vanemonde. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.unicef.org/romania/Being_a_child.pdf

Stănculescu, M.S. (coord.), Anton, S., Iamandi-Cioinaru, C., Corad, B., Neculau, G., and Trocea, A. (2013) *Helping invisible children. Second evaluation report*. UNICEF, București: Vanemonde. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.unicef.org/romania/HIC.eng.web.pdf

Swinkels, R., Stănculescu, M.S., Anton, S., Koo, B., Man, T., and Moldovan, C. (2014a) *The Atlas of Urban Marginalized Areas in Romania*. Project Elaboration of Integration Strategies for Urban Poor and Disadvantaged Communities. Banca Mondială, București. Disponibil la adresa: http://backend.elard.eu/uploads/wb-project-in-ro/atlas_24april_en.pdf

Swinkels, R., Stănculescu, M.S., Anton, S., Corad, B., Iamandi-Cioinaru, C., Neculau, G., Trocea, A., Man, T., Moldovan, C., and Koo, B. (2014b) *Integrated Intervention Tool*. Project Elaboration of Integration Strategies for Urban Poor and Disadvantaged Communities. Banca Mondială, București. Disponibil la adresa: http://backend.elard.eu/uploads/wb-project-in-ro/atlas_24april_en.pdf

Tarnovschi, D. (coord.) (2012) *Situația romilor în România, 2011. Între incluziune socială şi migrație*. Raport de țară în cadrul proiectului "EU INCLUSIVE – transfer de date şi experiențe privind integrarea pe piața muncii a romilor între România, Bulgaria, Italia şi Spania" POSDRU/98/6.4/S/63841, implementat de Fundația Soros România. Disponibil la adresa: http://www.soros.ro/ro/publicatii.php#

Teșliuc, E., Grigoraș, V., Stănculescu, M.S. (coord.) (2015) Studiu de fundamentare pentru strategia națională privind reducerea sărăciei și creșterea incluziunii sociale (2015-2020), Washington: The World Bank, ISBN: 978-973-0-20536-7

UNDP (2013) *Human Development Report. The Rise of the South: Human Progress in a Diverse World*. New York: UNDP.

Voicu, B., Voicu, M. (2004) "Knowledge Divide", *in Romania Series, Papers No.1-6*, Raport Banca Mondială, București.

Zamfir, E., Zamfir, C. (coord.) (1993) *Țiganii între ignorare şi îngrijorare*. București: Alternative

Zamfir, C., Preda, M. (coord.) (2002) *Romii în România*. București: Expert.

Annexes

Annex 1: Rural Marginalized Areas

ANNEX 1. TABLE 1: Distribution of Census Sectors by Residency and Population Size of the Administrative (SIRSUP), Unit, 2011

Administrative unit Resident population:	Number of administrative units	Census sectors			
		Total number	Average number per locality	Minimum per locality	Maximum per locality
RURAL:	2.861	46.547	16	2	61
119-499	12	85	7	4	11
500-999	76	615	8	3	21
1,000<2,000	663	6.775	10	2	37
2,000<3,000	782	10.783	14	6	36
3,000<7,500	1.236	25.152	20	7	61
7,500<20,000	91	3.107	34	17	58
20,000<30,000	1	30	30	30	30
SMALL TOWNS (*)	253	13.268	52	10	149
1,000<2,000	1	12	12	12	12
2,000<3,000	6	80	13	10	16
3,000<7,500	87	2.675	31	12	62
7,500<20,000	131	7.325	56	27	100
20,000<30,000	28	3.176	113	67	149

Source: World Bank calculations using data from 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: The distribution of census sectors at the village (SIRUTA unit) level is presented in Part I. Table 1. * Small towns are defined as those with fewer than 30,000 inhabitants. Overall in Romania there are 253 small towns.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 2: Rates of Marginalization of Key Groups in Rural and Urban Areas, 2011

	RURAL:			URBAN		
Key indicators	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
POPULATION						
(resident population)	6,2	93,9	100	3,2	96,8	100
Roma ethnicity (self-identified)	38,7	61,3	100	30,8	69,2	100
Non-Roma	4,7	95,3	100	2,6	97,4	100
People with disabilities, chronic diseases, or other health conditions	4,9	95,1	100	3,4	96,6	100
Elderly 65+ years old	4,1	95,9	100	1,2	98,8	100
Children 0-17 years old	9,6	90,4	100	5,8	94,2	100
Population 15-64 years old who completed 4 grades or less	21,0	79,0	100	18,2	81,8	100
Population 15-64 years old who completed 8 grades or less	9,9	90,1	100	8,8	91,2	100
Working age population (15-64 years old) not in education	5,8	94,2	100	2,9	97,1	100
Proportion of people aged 15-64 years old neither in education nor have ever been in formal employment (employees, employers, or pensioners)	21	79	100	6,3	93,7	100
HOUSEHOLDS			100	2,6	97,4	100
Households with 5+ members	8,3	91,7	100	6,4	93,6	100
Households with 3+ children	15,9	84,1	100	14,8	85,2	100
DWELLINGS	5,2	94,8	100	2,5	97,5	100
Dwellings not connected to piped water	9,9	90,1	100	11,9	88,1	100
Dwellings not connected to sewage system	7,8	92,2	100	11,9	88,1	100
Dwellings not connected to electricity	26,6	73,4	100	24,7	75,3	100
Overcrowded dwellings*	10,7	89,3	100	4,0	96,0	100
Households with insecure tenure	5,9	94,1	100	7,3	92,7	100

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. For urban areas, Swinkels et al (2014a: 281).

Notes: * In urban areas, overcrowded is defined as less than 15.33 square meters per person. In rural areas, overcrowded is based on the Eurostat indicator for overcrowding without the condition referring to a room for the household. See also Part I. Figure 2.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 3: Percentage of People from Rural Areas Neither Working as Employee Nor in Education by Age, Gender, and Type of Area (%)

		Share of people not employed or in school		Share of people not employee or in school	
Years Old		Marginalized	Non-marginalized	Marginalized	Non-marginalized
15-19		36	17	51	23
20-64	Total	45	36	88	64
	Bărbați	38	33	85	59
	Femei	53	40	90	69
	Bărbați, etnie romă	62	62	92	81
	Bărbați, neromi	30	32	83	59
	Femei, etnie romă	69	64	95	88
	Femei, non-roma	47	39	88	69

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 4: Proportions of Individuals Living in Dwellings without Basic Services by Ethnicity and Type of Area (%)

Individuals		RURAL:				URBAN			
		Total	Roma	Non-Roma	Diff (Roma - non-Roma)	Total	Roma	Non-Roma	Diff (Roma - non-Roma)
Piped water from the public network	Non-marginalized	66	75	65	10	8	31	8	23
	Marginalized	86	82	88	-5	34	49	30	19
	Total	67	78	66	11	9	37	8	28
Hot water from the public network	Non-marginalized	99	99	99	1	63	88	63	26
	Marginalized	100	100	100	0	92	96	91	5
	Total	99	100	99	1	64	91	63	27
Sewage disposal system connected to a public sewage-disposal plant	Non-marginalized	94	98	94	4	16	53	15	38
	Marginalized	99	100	99	0	59	77	54	22
	Total	94	98	94	4	17	60	16	44
Electric lighting	Non-marginalized	0,7	3,9	0,6	3	0,1	1,7	0,1	2
	Marginalized	5,3	9,5	3,7	6	3,9	10,3	2,2	8
	Total	1,0	6,1	0,7	5	0,2	4,4	0,2	4
Gas from a public network for cooking	Non-marginalized	89	93	89	4	25	66	24	41
	Marginalized	98	98	98	-1	73	88	69	19
	Total	90	95	89	6	26	73	25	47

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 5: Rates of Marginalization by Locality Size and by Region in Rural and Urban Areas, 2011 (% of population)

Key indicators	RURAL:			URBAN		
	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total
	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOCALITY SIZE (resident population)	6,2	93,9	100	3,2	96,8	100
< 2.000	5,6	94,4	100	11,2	88,8	100
2.000<4.999	6,7	93,3	100	6,9	93,1	100
5.000<9.999	5,6	94,4	100	9,0	91,0	100
10.000<19.999	2,0	98,0	100	6,3	93,7	100
20.000<149.999	-	-	100	3,6	96,4	100
150.000**	-	-	100	1,1	98,9	100

Key indicators	RURAL:			URBAN		
	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total	Marginalized Areas	Non-marginalized Areas	Total
Bucharest	-	-	100	0,8	99,2	100
DEVELOPMENT REGION						
North-East	11,3	88,7	100	4,3	95,7	100
South-East	6,8	93,2	100	4,2	95,8	100
South-Muntenia	4,0	96,0	100	2,9	97,1	100
South-West	4,5	95,5	100	2,5	97,5	100
West	1,2	98,8	100	3,7	96,3	100
North-West	4,4	95,6	100	3,1	96,9	100
Center	8,0	92,0	100	4,3	95,7	100
Bucharest-Ilfov	0,6	99,4	100	1,2	98,8	100

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census. For urban areas, Swinkels et al (2014a: 13).

ANNEX 1. TABLE 6: Rates of Rural Marginalization by Type of Marginalized Community and by Region, 2011 (% of rural population)

	RURAL NON-MARGINALIZED AREAS	RURAL MARGINALIZED AREAS			
		Total	Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	Non-Roma communities
North-East	88.7	11.3	1.8	1.2	8.3
South-East	93.2	6.8	2.7	0.8	3.2
South-Muntenia	96.0	4.0	2.6	0.4	0.9
South-West	95.5	4.5	2.1	0.6	1.8
West	98.8	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.5
North-West	95.6	4.4	3.1	0.4	0.9
Center	92.1	7.9	4.8	1.4	1.6
Bucharest-Ilfov	99.4	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.0
Total	93.9	6.2	2.5	0.7	2.9

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas with no residents who self-identify as Roma.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 7: Rates of Rural Marginalization by Type of Marginalized Community and by County, 2011 (% of rural population)

	RURAL NON-MARGINALIZED AREAS	RURAL MARGINALIZED AREAS			
		All	Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	Non-Roma communities
Alba	96.8	3.2	2.1	0.2	0.9
Arad	98.2	1.8	1.1	0.3	0.4
Argeș	95.1	4.9	2.6	0.6	1.7
Bacău	89.8	10.2	2.8	1.5	5.9
Bihor	94.5	5.5	4.9	0.3	0.3
Bistrița-Năsăud	92.4	7.6	3.4	1.2	3.0
Botoșani	88.7	11.3	0.6	1.2	9.4
Brăila	93.3	6.7	1.5	2.2	3.0
Brașov	87.7	12.3	8.2	2.3	1.8
Buzău	94.0	6.0	2.9	0.7	2.4
Călărași	95.2	4.8	2.8	1.2	0.8
Caraș-Severin	98.0	2.0	0.4	0.6	1.0
Cluj	98.9	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.3
Constanța	96.7	3.3	0.5	0.9	1.9
Covasna	87.0	13.0	6.7	2.9	3.4
Dâmbovița	95.2	4.8	4.5	0.2	0.2
Dolj	92.1	7.9	4.3	1.2	2.4
Galați	88.8	11.2	5.1	0.7	5.4
Giurgiu	96.4	3.6	1.6	0.6	1.3
Gorj	98.6	1.4	0.8	0.2	0.4
Harghita	96.1	3.9	0.5	1.2	2.2
Hunedoara	99.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.9
Ialomița	92.6	7.4	6.0	0.3	1.1
Iași	85.4	14.6	1.5	1.2	11.8
Ilfov	99.4	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.0
Maramureș	97.6	2.4	0.9	0.0	1.5
Mehedinți	91.2	8.8	4.5	0.9	3.4
Mureș	92.2	7.8	6.0	1.1	0.7
Neamț	94.3	5.7	1.2	0.4	4.1
Olt	97.0	3.0	0.5	0.3	2.2
Prahova	98.7	1.3	0.9	0.1	0.3
Sălaj	93.8	6.2	5.5	0.5	0.2
Satu Mare	95.7	4.3	3.2	0.7	0.4
Sibiu	91.0	9.0	5.7	1.5	1.8
Suceava	94.5	5.5	2.8	0.9	1.9
Teleorman	96.8	3.2	1.3	0.2	1.7
Timiș	99.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1
Tulcea	97.6	2.4	0.4	0.2	1.7
Vâlcea	98.9	1.1	0.4	0.3	0.4
Vaslui	77.3	22.7	1.2	2.2	19.2
Vrancea	91.5	8.5	4.0	0.6	3.9
Total	93.9	6.2	2.5	0.7	2.9

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas with no residents who self-identify as Roma.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 8: Villages with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Village Population Size and Number of RMAs within the Village (number of villages)

		Villages with marginalized areas		Number of marginalized areas within the village		
	Total	No	Yes	1	2	3-9
Very small villages (1-200 inhabitants)	2.310	2.100	210	201	9	0
Small villages (201-500 inhabitants)	3.448	3.045	403	318	81	4
Medium villages (>500-2,000 inhabitants)	4.765	4.015	750	515	163	72
Large villages (>2,000 inhabitants)	968	726	242	128	63	51
Total	11.491	9.886	1.605	1.162	316	127

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Out of all 12,373 villages (SIRUTA units) in rural areas at the time of the 2011 census, 882 villages did not enter in the analysis either because they have only census sectors not of households or because they have fewer than 50 inhabitants.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 9: Villages with Rural Marginalized Areas by Type of Marginalized Community and by Distance to a City, Administrative Position within Commune, and Type of Terrain, 2011 (% of villages)

				Total	
	Non-Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	Roma communities	%	N
Villages close to city (0.5-10 km)	33	18	49	100	199
Villages located at moderate distance from any city (>10-32 km)	59	13	29	100	1,063
Remote villages (>32 km)	76	13	11	100	343
Peripheral villages	67	12	21	100	1,127
Central villages	41	16	43	100	478
Mountain	24	12	64	100	158
Hilly-mountain	32	15	53	100	233
Hilly-plain	52	15	33	100	392
Plain	78	12	10	100	772
Total	59	14	27	100	1,605

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities are defined as rural marginalized areas where fewer than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma. Non-Roma communities are defined as rural marginalized areas with no residents who self-identify as Roma. The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 10: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Size of Commune Population and Number of RMAs within the Commune (number of communes)

		Communes with marginalized areas		Number of marginalized areas within the commune		
	Total	No	Yes	1	2	3-15
Small communes (<2,000 inhabitants)	751	559	192	117	32	43
Medium communes (2,000<5,000 inhabitants)	1,711	1,070	641	311	125	205
Large communes (5,000+ inhabitants)	399	240	159	63	43	53
Total	2,861	1,869	992	491	200	301

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 11: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas by Type of Marginalized Communities within the Commune and by Population Size, Urban Connectivity, and the Location of the Commune within the County (% of communes)

				Total	
	ONLY Non-Roma communities	ONLY Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	%	N
Small communes (<2,000 inhabitants)	52	15	34	100	192
Medium communes (2,000-5,000 inhabitants)	31	20	49	100	641
Large communes (5,000+ inhabitants)	22	20	58	100	159
Low urban connectivity	33	22	44	100	169
Medium urban connectivity	33	16	51	100	633
High urban connectivity	33	25	42	100	164
Communes without remote villages	29	27	44	100	400
Communes with remote villages (32+ km to city)	36	13	51	100	592
Not situated at the county boundary	36	21	43	100	514
Situated at the county boundary, which is the following distance from the county capital city:	31	17	53	100	478
- 50 minutes at most	26	20	54	100	217
- >50-75 minutes	35	13	52	100	183
- 75 minutes or more	35	17	49	100	78
Total	33	19	48	100	992

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: ONLY non-Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have no residents who self-identify as Roma. ONLY Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have more than 20 percent of residents who self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities (one in which more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma) with two or more marginalized areas containing both non-Roma and Roma communities. Urban connectivity is estimated based on an index (IURCON) that uses a set of distances between each commune and its neighboring small, medium, large, and very large cities. The higher the IURCON value, the better connected the commune is to a city. Remote communes (those with low urban connectivity) are those localities in the lowest quintile of IURCON. High urban connectivity refers to the highest quintile of IURCON. The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns.

ANNEX 1. TABLE 12: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas by Type of Marginalized Communities within Each Commune and by Membership of the Commune in a LAG or FLAG (% of communes)

				Total	
	ONLY Non-Roma communities	ONLY Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	%	N
Not member in a LAG or FLAG	35	21	45	100	276
Member in a LAG or FLAG	33	18	49	100	716
Total	33	19	48	100	992

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: ONLY non-Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have no residents who self-identify as Roma. ONLY Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have more than 20 percent of residents who self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities (one in which more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma) with two or more marginalized areas containing both non-Roma and Roma communities. Figures for membership in LAGs and FLAGs relate to the end of 2014 (MARD). For this assignment we used the most updated list of LAGs approved for financing under LEADER, which is available at: http://leader-romania.ro/leader/2011/Lista_Grupurile_de_Actiune_Locala_autorizate_pentru_functionare_de_MADR_si_date_de_contact_GAL_la_data_de_03.11.2011_.pdf. The LAGs are currently being revised for the new European funds programming period 2014-2020. The analysis presented in this table must be revised when the new list of LAGs becomes available. The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns

ANNEX 1. TABLE 13: Communes with Rural Marginalized Areas (RMA) by Relative Income Poverty and Type of Marginalized Communities within Each Commune, 2011 (% of communes)

				Total	
	ONLY Non-Roma communities	ONLY Roma communities	Ethnically mixed communities	%	N
Low income poverty (AROP lowest quintile)	20	62	18	100	45
Medium income poverty (AROP)	37	25	38	100	537
High income poverty (AROP highest quintile)	31	6	64	100	410
Total	33	19	48	100	992

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Notes: ONLY non-Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have no residents who self-identify as Roma. ONLY Roma communities are defined as communes in which all rural marginalized areas have more than 20 percent of residents who self-identify as Roma. Ethnically mixed communities (one in which more than 20 percent of residents self-identify as Roma) with two or more marginalized areas containing both non-Roma and Roma communities. Figures for income poverty (AROP) are taken from the most recent World Bank poverty maps (Simler, coord., 2014). The cells marked in color indicate the dominant patterns.

Annex 2: Rates of Marginalization by Commune (Rural Territorial-Administrative Unit)

ANNEX 2. TABLE 1: Rate of marginalization at commune level in Bucharest-Ilfov development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ILFOV	100834	AFUMATI	7,919	4.89	0	0
ILFOV	100969	BALOTESTI	8,314	3.30	0	0
ILFOV	101145	BERCENI	5,942	0.81	0	0
ILFOV	101298	BRANESTI	10,367	0.32	0	0
ILFOV	101742	CERNICA	10,886	11.46	0	0
ILFOV	101902	CIOLPANI	4,811	0.96	0	0
ILFOV	101957	CIOROGARLA	6,188	5.49	0	0
ILFOV	102035	CLINCENI	6,808	1.00	0	0
ILFOV	102160	CORBEANCA	7,072	1.05	0	0
ILFOV	102213	CORNETU	6,324	5.65	0	0
ILFOV	102473	DASCALU	3,154	1.01	0	0
ILFOV	102525	DARASTI-ILFOV	3,026	0.56	0	0
ILFOV	102543	1 DECEMBRIE	7,817	6.01	0	0
ILFOV	102570	DOMNESTI	8,682	2.00	0	0
ILFOV	102605	DRAGOMIRESTI-VALE	5,243	0.15	0	0
ILFOV	103130	GANEASA	4,963	23.17	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ILFOV	103443	GRADISTEA	3,268	1.01	0	0
ILFOV	103513	GRUIU	7,412	0.07	0	0
ILFOV	104243	MOARA VLASIEI	6,307	0.44	0	0
ILFOV	104421	NUCI	3,098	2.13	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ILFOV	104546	PERIS	7,557	0.15	0	0
ILFOV	104582	PETRACHIOAIA	3,498	8.63	0	0
ILFOV	105160	SNAGOV	7,272	0.08	0	0
ILFOV	105419	STEFANESTII DE JOS	5,775	15.53	0	0
ILFOV	105570	TUNARI	5,336	8.41	0	0
ILFOV	105936	VIDRA	9,516	12.36	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ILFOV	179249	CHIAJNA	14,259	0.39	0	0
ILFOV	179310	DOBROESTI	9,325	0.47	0	0
ILFOV	179347	GLINA	8,592	19.36	0	0
ILFOV	179383	JILAVA	12,223	12.30	0	0
ILFOV	179463	MOGOSOAIA	7,625	1.85	0	0
ILFOV	179588	COPACENI	3,131	1.21	0	0

ANNEX 2. TABLE 2: Rate of marginalization at commune level in Centre development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ALBA	1071	CIUGUD	3,048	0.30	0	0
ALBA	2130	ALBAC	2,089	1.63	0	0
ALBA	2309	ALMASU MARE	1,289	0.47	0	0
ALBA	2381	ARIESENI	1,765	0.00	0	0
ALBA	2577	AVRAM IANCU	1,636	10.09	0	0
ALBA	2988	BERGHIN	1,893	4.28	0	0
ALBA	3039	BISTRA	4,540	0.55	0	0
ALBA	3397	BLANDIANA	923	1.73	0	0
ALBA	3459	BUCIUM	1,454	0.00	0	0
ALBA	3761	CENADE	943	11.03	24+%	Severe marginalization
ALBA	3805	CERGAU	1,490	12.15	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	3841	CERU-BACAINTI	269	0.00	0	0
ALBA	3958	CETATEA DE BALTA	2,930	31.13	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	4008	CIURULEASA	1,197	0.17	0	0
ALBA	4106	CALNIC	1,681	24.21	0	0
ALBA	4142	CRICAU	1,912	1.46	0	0
ALBA	4188	CRACIUNELU DE JOS	1,954	0.77	0	0
ALBA	4240	DAIA ROMANA	2,773	0.25	0	0
ALBA	4268	DOSTAT	956	2.41	0	0
ALBA	4302	FARAU	1,569	4.40	0	0
ALBA	4366	GALDA DE JOS	4,516	0.29	0	0
ALBA	4482	GARBOVA	2,050	7.76	0	0
ALBA	4525	GARDA DE SUS	1,714	0.00	0	0
ALBA	4703	HOPARTA	1,152	17.01	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	4767	HOREA	2,143	3.41	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ALBA	4927	IGHIU	6,283	2.63	0	0
ALBA	4981	INTREGALDE	577	0.00	0	0
ALBA	5103	JIDVEI	4,617	23.20	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ALBA	5167	LIVEZILE	1,192	0.50	0	0
ALBA	5210	LOPADEA NOUA	2,759	0.33	0	0
ALBA	5309	LUNCA MURESULUI	2,404	11.69	0	0
ALBA	5336	LUPSA	3,052	0.00	0	0
ALBA	5577	METES	2,860	0.21	0	0
ALBA	5700	MIHALT	3,051	1.21	0	0
ALBA	5755	MIRASLAU	1,985	3.98	0	0
ALBA	5826	MOGOS	731	0.00	0	0
ALBA	6048	NOSLAC	1,661	4.09	0	0
ALBA	6119	OCOLIS	616	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ALBA	6164	OHABA	757	2.51	0	0
ALBA	6217	PIANU	3,082	0.32	0	0
ALBA	6271	POIANA VADULUI	1,139	0.00	0	0
ALBA	6397	PONOR	540	0.00	0	0
ALBA	6468	POSAGA	1,048	0.00	0	0
ALBA	6547	RADESTI	1,200	2.42	0	0
ALBA	6592	RAMETEA	1,126	0.27	0	0
ALBA	6627	RAMET	574	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ALBA	6761	ROSIA MONTANA	2,656	12.58	0	0
ALBA	6930	ROSIA DE SECAS	1,542	4.73	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ALBA	6976	SALCIUA	1,428	0.00	0	0
ALBA	7044	SALISTEA	2,197	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	7099	SASCIORI	5,757	0.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	7197	SCARISOARA	1,661	15.29	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ALBA	7348	SANCEL	2,411	5.02	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ALBA	7384	SANTIMBRU	2,723	1.65	0	0
ALBA	7446	SOHODOL	1,729	2.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ALBA	7767	STREMT	2,418	0.04	0	0
ALBA	7810	SIBOT	2,236	3.13	0	0
ALBA	7865	SONA	4,067	5.48	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ALBA	7945	SPRING	2,420	3.39	0	0
ALBA	8014	SUGAG	2,726	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ALBA	8158	UNIREA	4,796	14.93	0	0
ALBA	8229	VADU MOTILOR	1,348	0.00	0	0
ALBA	8354	VALEA LUNGA	2,907	3.92	0	0
ALBA	8425	VIDRA	1,691	0.18	0	0
ALBA	8826	VINTU DE JOS	4,801	1.69	0	0
ALBA	9019	CUT	1,075	1.58	0	0
ALBA	9026	BUCERDEA GRANOASA	2,235	13.56	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	40526	APATA	3,169	17.20	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	40544	BECLEAN	1,826	9.86	0	0
BRASOV	40606	BOD	3,994	0.40	0	0
BRASOV	40633	BRAN	5,181	0.25	0	0
BRASOV	40688	BUDILA	4,197	6.08	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	40704	BUNESTI	2,357	25.20	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BRASOV	40768	CATA	2,463	21.88	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	40820	CINCU	1,587	10.84	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	40857	COMANA	2,721	18.60	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	40900	CRISTIAN	4,490	0.07	0	0
BRASOV	40928	DUMBRAVITA	4,624	3.20	0	0
BRASOV	40955	FELDIOARA	6,154	3.23	0	0
BRASOV	40991	FUNDATA	852	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	41033	HALCHIU	4,218	4.69	0	0
BRASOV	41088	HARMAN	5,402	4.57	0	0
BRASOV	41113	HARSENI	2,103	4.80	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BRASOV	41177	HOGHIZ	5,025	3.68	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	41248	HOMOROD	2,209	18.02	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	41284	JIBERT	2,250	12.18	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRASOV	41346	LISA	1,744	7.86	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	41382	MAIERUS	2,920	38.97	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	41417	MANDRA	2,762	2.24	0	0
BRASOV	41471	MOIECIU	4,892	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	41541	ORMENIS	1,976	41.95	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	41578	PARAU	1,874	7.68	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	41621	POIANA MARULUI	3,315	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	41667	PREJMER	8,472	6.02	0	0
BRASOV	41701	RACOS	3,336	20.41	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRASOV	41738	RECEA	3,118	3.94	0	0
BRASOV	41818	SERCAIA	2,822	4.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	41854	SINCA	3,401	3.59	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRASOV	41925	SANPETRU	4,819	1.60	0	0
BRASOV	41943	SOARS	1,755	9.86	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	42003	TARLUNGENI	8,320	28.88	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	42058	TELIU	4,198	17.51	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	42076	TICUSU	908	18.94	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	42101	UCEA	2,195	1.55	0	0
BRASOV	42156	UNGRA	1,949	7.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRASOV	42183	VAMA BUZAULUI	3,220	3.32	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BRASOV	42236	VISTEA	2,026	11.01	0	0
BRASOV	42307	VOILA	2,660	6.39	0	0
BRASOV	42398	VULCAN	4,567	4.53	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BRASOV	42449	SINCA NOUA	1,690	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	42456	CRIZBAV	2,518	1.11	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRASOV	42464	SAMBATA DE SUS	1,581	3.16	0	0
BRASOV	42472	HOLBAV	1,309	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	42480	DRAGUS	1,162	0.00	0	0
BRASOV	42498	AUGUSTIN	1,860	49.19	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	63553	COMANDAU	1,006	0.60	0	0
COVASNA	63633	BARCANI	3,688	3.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	63688	SITA BUZAULUI	4,584	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	63777	AITA MARE	1,715	0.06	0	0
COVASNA	63802	BATANI	4,403	12.24	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	63866	BELIN	2,859	45.68	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	63893	BODOC	2,553	0.43	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	63937	BOROSNEU MARE	3,097	1.19	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	64005	BRATES	1,531	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
COVASNA	64041	BRADUT	4,728	13.52	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	64096	BRETCU	3,550	2.25	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
COVASNA	64130	CATALINA	3,378	0.12	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	64194	CERNAT	3,978	0.18	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
COVASNA	64238	CHICHIS	1,537	0.59	0	0
COVASNA	64265	DOBARLAU	2,135	0.94	0	0
COVASNA	64318	GHELINTA	4,815	1.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
COVASNA	64345	GHIDFALAU	2,660	0.19	0	0
COVASNA	64390	HAGHIG	2,315	30.32	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	64425	ILIENI	2,036	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	64461	LEMNIA	1,936	0.41	0	0
COVASNA	64504	MALNAS	1,087	0.09	0	0
COVASNA	64568	MOACSA	1,201	3.08	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	64602	OJDULA	3,519	23.05	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
COVASNA	64639	OZUN	4,430	2.93	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
COVASNA	64719	POIAN	1,768	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
COVASNA	64773	RECI	2,304	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	64826	SANZIENI	4,582	0.13	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	64871	TURIA	4,027	0.02	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	64906	VALEA CRISULUI	2,307	0.30	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	64942	VALCELE	4,475	48.54	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	64997	VARGHIS	1,647	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	65011	ZAGON	5,282	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
COVASNA	65048	ZABALA	4,597	9.03	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
COVASNA	65099	VALEA MARE	1,051	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	65105	MERENI	1,324	0.00	0	0
COVASNA	65113	ARCUS	1,519	0.53	0	0
COVASNA	65121	BIXAD	1,799	1.89	0	0
COVASNA	65139	MICFALAU	1,805	0.17	0	0
COVASNA	65147	DALNIC	956	0.73	24+%	Severe marginalization
COVASNA	65154	ESTELNIC	1,182	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	83151	BRADESTI	1,915	0.16	0	0
HARGHITA	83197	FELICENI	3,297	0.70	0	0
HARGHITA	83375	PAULENI-CIUC	1,831	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	83785	ATID	2,705	6.21	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HARGHITA	83847	AVRAMESTI	2,465	10.83	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
HARGHITA	83936	BILBOR	2,638	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	83963	CAPALNITA	2,026	4.00	0	0
HARGHITA	83981	CIUCSANGEORGIU	4,839	1.28	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
HARGHITA	84086	CIUMANI	4,328	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	84102	CARTA	2,709	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	84148	CORBU	1,520	4.74	0	0
HARGHITA	84175	CORUND	6,135	2.61	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	84237	DANESTI	2,292	0.26	0	0
HARGHITA	84264	DEALU	3,907	0.15	0	0
HARGHITA	84344	DITRAU	5,483	0.02	0	0
HARGHITA	84380	DARJIU	1,036	5.69	0	0
HARGHITA	84415	FRUMOASA	3,682	1.71	0	0
HARGHITA	84460	GALAUTAS	2,498	1.12	0	0
HARGHITA	84558	JOSENI	5,536	0.87	0	0
HARGHITA	84594	LAZAREA	3,424	0.91	0	0
HARGHITA	84629	LUETA	3,439	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	84656	LUNCA DE JOS	5,328	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	84754	LUNCA DE SUS	3,242	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
HARGHITA	84825	LUPENI	4,473	3.09	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HARGHITA	84923	MARTINIS	2,838	0.25	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HARGHITA	85056	MERESTI	1,339	0.37	0	0
HARGHITA	85074	MIHAILENI	2,644	0.45	0	0
HARGHITA	85127	MUGENI	3,491	0.40	0	0
HARGHITA	85243	OCLAND	1,293	0.31	0	0
HARGHITA	85289	PLAIESII DE JOS	3,033	1.38	24+%	Severe marginalization
HARGHITA	85341	PRAID	6,502	2.65	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	85412	REMETEA	6,165	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	85467	SACEL	1,253	18.12	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	85528	SARMAS	3,804	0.32	0	0
HARGHITA	85582	SECUIENI	2,644	21.29	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	85626	SICULENI	2,726	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	85680	SANCRAIENI	2,526	4.87	0	0
HARGHITA	85760	SANDOMINIC	6,110	1.36	0	0
HARGHITA	85788	SANMARTIN	2,322	0.04	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	85840	SANSIMION	3,482	0.14	0	0
HARGHITA	85877	SUBCETATE	1,832	3.49	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HARGHITA	85920	SUSENI	5,114	2.39	0	0
HARGHITA	85984	SIMONESTI	3,776	1.30	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	86133	TULGHES	3,279	0.30	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HARGHITA	86188	TUSNAD	2,147	5.54	0	0
HARGHITA	86222	ULIES	1,193	4.78	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HARGHITA	86311	VARSAG	1,580	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	86339	VOSLABENI	1,929	1.04	0	0
HARGHITA	86366	ZETEA	5,643	1.19	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
HARGHITA	86438	MADARAS	2,199	0.14	0	0
HARGHITA	86446	COZMENI	2,115	2.88	0	0
HARGHITA	86453	TOMESTI	2,563	0.39	0	0
HARGHITA	86461	CICEU	2,679	0.07	0	0
HARGHITA	86479	LELICENI	2,010	1.04	0	0
HARGHITA	86487	PORUMBENI	1,805	0.50	0	0
HARGHITA	86495	RACU	1,607	0.00	0	0
HARGHITA	86501	SATU MARE	1,995	0.20	24+%	Severe marginalization
HARGHITA	86519	SANTIMBRU	2,063	2.23	0	0
MURES	114355	CRISTESTI	5,824	10.29	0	0
MURES	114382	SANCRAIU DE MURES	7,489	4.17	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
MURES	114417	SANGEORGIU DE MURES	9,304	7.94	0	0
MURES	114453	SANTANA DE MURES	5,723	6.76	0	0
MURES	114603	ALBESTI	5,345	13.17	0	0
MURES	114970	ACATARI	4,738	10.19	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MURES	115076	ADAMUS	5,147	14.96	0	0
MURES	115147	ALUNIS	3,236	18.20	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	115183	APOLD	2,892	21.89	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	115236	ATINTIS	1,575	6.73	0	0
MURES	115307	BAHNEA	3,739	34.50	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	115389	BAND	6,446	25.67	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	115520	BATOS	3,926	9.98	0	0
MURES	115575	BAGACIU	2,474	31.16	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	115600	BALA	756	7.01	0	0
MURES	115637	BALAUSERI	4,889	13.54	0	0
MURES	115708	BEICA DE JOS	2,305	29.93	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	115771	BICHIS	805	9.32	0	0
MURES	115824	BOGATA	2,018	9.56	0	0
MURES	115851	BREAZA	2,473	10.55	0	0
MURES	115897	BRANCOVENESTI	3,972	7.50	0	0
MURES	115959	CEUASU DE CAMPIE	5,964	10.33	0	0
MURES	116046	CHETANI	2,665	3.68	0	0
MURES	116126	CHIHERU DE JOS	1,644	7.42	0	0
MURES	116171	COROISANMARTIN	1,447	15.34	0	0
MURES	116224	COZMA	562	0.00	0	0
MURES	116288	CRACIUNESTI	4,470	24.30	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	116340	CRAIESTI	924	0.00	0	0
MURES	116395	CUCERDEA	1,525	0.66	0	0
MURES	116439	CUCI	1,822	10.15	0	0
MURES	116493	DANES	4,874	16.74	0	0
MURES	116545	DEDA	4,113	8.49	0	0
MURES	116590	EREMITU	3,893	4.03	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	116652	ERNEI	5,835	16.33	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MURES	116723	FARAGAU	1,683	38.09	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	116796	FANTANELE	4,693	3.92	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	116867	GALESTI	3,067	1.47	0	0
MURES	116938	GANESTI	3,573	9.63	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
MURES	116983	GHEORGHE DOJA	2,982	5.00	0	0
MURES	117042	GHINDARI	3,250	7.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	117113	GLODENI	3,817	11.47	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	117177	GORNESTI	5,577	9.31	0	0
MURES	117275	GREBENISU DE CAMPIE	1,684	12.53	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	117319	GURGHIU	6,091	7.83	0	0
MURES	117426	HODAC	5,104	0.22	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	117505	HODOSA	1,261	9.04	0	0
MURES	117550	IBANESTI	4,357	0.00	0	0
MURES	117667	ICLANZEL	2,126	1.83	0	0
MURES	117783	IDECIU DE JOS	2,109	8.82	0	0
MURES	117925	LIVEZENI	3,266	15.62	0	0
MURES	117998	LUNCA	2,625	1.90	0	0
MURES	118058	LUNCA BRADULUI	2,035	5.31	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	118094	MAGHERANI	1,309	5.81	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	118209	MICA	4,539	26.37	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	118370	MIHESU DE CAMPIE	2,447	11.77	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MURES	118469	NADES	2,484	18.40	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	118511	NEAUA	1,369	7.52	0	0
MURES	118575	OGRA	2,387	29.20	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	118637	PAPIU ILARIAN	963	0.42	0	0
MURES	118691	PANET	6,033	8.80	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	118753	PASARENI	1,919	11.15	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	118799	PETELEA	2,977	47.03	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	118824	POGACEAUA	2,117	15.12	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	118931	RASTOLITA	2,073	0.48	0	0
MURES	118995	RICIU	3,748	7.55	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	119153	RUSII-MUNTI	2,144	7.37	0	0
MURES	119206	SASCHIZ	1,965	8.96	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	119386	SANGER	2,400	13.42	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	119466	SANPAUL	4,233	30.90	0	0
MURES	119527	SANPETRU DE CAMPIE	3,060	12.84	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	119590	SOLOVASTRU	2,888	10.60	0	0
MURES	119625	STANCENI	1,450	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
MURES	119661	SUPLAC	2,249	11.69	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MURES	119723	SUSENI	2,253	12.29	0	0
MURES	119750	SAULIA	2,018	16.30	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	119803	SINCAI	1,622	10.17	0	0
MURES	119858	TAURENI	989	14.16	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	119974	VALEA LARGA	3,098	2.42	0	0
MURES	120076	VARGATA	1,945	14.34	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MURES	120138	VATAVA	1,987	6.79	0	0
MURES	120174	VETCA	892	10.99	0	0
MURES	120218	VIISOARA	1,659	31.95	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	120254	VANATORI	3,901	29.94	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	120316	VOIVODENI	1,756	9.05	0	0
MURES	120343	ZAGAR	1,192	38.84	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	120370	ZAU DE CAMPIE	3,236	10.11	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MURES	120478	CHIBED	1,762	1.82	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MURES	120487	CORUNCA	2,785	3.12	0	0
MURES	120496	SARATENI	1,608	14.74	24+%	Severe marginalization
MURES	120502	MADARAS	1,299	10.55	0	0
MURES	120511	BERENI	1,203	1.33	0	0
SIBIU	143487	CRISTIAN	3,665	0.08	0	0
SIBIU	143502	POPLACA	1,802	2.50	0	0
SIBIU	143520	RASINARI	5,416	5.95	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SIBIU	143557	SELIMBAR	7,028	1.37	0	0
SIBIU	143646	TARNAVA	2,858	30.20	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	143888	ALTINA	1,562	25.16	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	143922	APOLDU DE JOS	1,350	1.48	0	0
SIBIU	143959	ARPASU DE JOS	2,502	2.64	0	0
SIBIU	143995	ATEL	1,429	10.78	0	0
SIBIU	144116	AXENTE SEVER	3,690	5.69	0	0
SIBIU	144152	BAZNA	3,792	28.45	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	144198	BIERTAN	2,590	17.37	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	144232	BIRGHIS	2,015	6.80	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	144303	BLAJEL	2,284	17.43	0	0
SIBIU	144349	BRATEIU	3,415	36.84	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SIBIU	144376	BRADENI	1,441	37.27	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	144410	BRUIU	703	9.25	0	0
SIBIU	144456	CHIRPAR	1,434	9.90	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	144508	CARTA	906	4.08	0	0
SIBIU	144535	CARTISOARA	1,243	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	144553	DARLOS	2,820	21.10	0	0
SIBIU	144599	GURA RAULUI	3,621	0.47	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	144615	HOGHILAG	2,172	24.26	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	144651	IACOBENI	2,757	34.68	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	144713	JINA	3,750	4.27	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	144731	LASLEA	3,327	29.55	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	144795	LOAMNES	2,997	0.30	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	144866	LUDOS	746	6.17	0	0
SIBIU	144893	MARPOD	1,017	4.62	0	0
SIBIU	144964	MERGHINDEAL	1,212	16.34	0	0
SIBIU	144991	MICASASA	2,058	1.51	0	0
SIBIU	145042	MIHAILENI	1,036	23.46	24+%	Severe marginalization
SIBIU	145104	MOSNA	3,335	12.59	0	0
SIBIU	145140	NOCRICH	2,868	8.05	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	145202	ORLAT	3,205	1.31	0	0
SIBIU	145220	PAUCA	1,929	2.44	0	0
SIBIU	145275	POIANA SIBIULUI	2,548	1.53	0	0
SIBIU	145293	PORUMBACU DE JOS	3,061	8.30	0	0
SIBIU	145355	RACOVITA	2,760	0.00	0	0
SIBIU	145382	RAU SADULUI	571	0.00	0	0
SIBIU	145408	ROSIA	5,241	0.36	0	0
SIBIU	145471	SADU	2,365	0.63	0	0
SIBIU	145603	SLIMNIC	3,581	0.56	0	0
SIBIU	145667	SEICA MARE	4,470	3.85	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SIBIU	145738	SEICA MICA	1,589	2.45	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SIBIU	145765	SURA MARE	3,769	4.75	0	0
SIBIU	145792	SURA MICA	2,606	14.85	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SIBIU	145907	TILISCA	1,574	1.02	0	0
SIBIU	145934	TURNU ROSU	2,415	0.87	0	0
SIBIU	145961	VALEA VIILOR	1,873	9.29	0	0
SIBIU	145998	VURPAR	2,557	0.59	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SIBIU	146012	ALMA	1,886	4.45	0	0
SIBIU	146021	BOITA	1,613	0.00	0	0

ANNEX 2. TABLE 3: Rate of marginalization at commune level in North East development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BACAU	20313	HEMEIUS	4,755	0.13	0	0
BACAU	20359	LETEA VECHE	5,817	0.00	0	0
BACAU	20411	MAGURA	4,151	0.07	0	0
BACAU	20466	MARGINENI	7,993	0.08	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	20607	GURA VAII	4,711	7.64	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	20670	STEFAN CEL MARE	4,742	18.89	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	21007	AGAS	5,884	0.02	0	0
BACAU	21098	ARDEOANI	2,182	0.00	0	0
BACAU	21123	ASAU	6,698	3.14	0	0
BACAU	21196	BALCANI	7,173	2.09	0	0
BACAU	21249	BERESTI-BISTRITA	1,983	10.19	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	21338	BERESTI-TAZLAU	5,342	0.06	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	21418	BERZUNTI	4,625	9.25	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	21454	BARSANESTI	4,527	0.00	0	0
BACAU	21506	BLAGESTI	7,080	11.85	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	21560	BOGDANESTI	2,550	0.00	0	0
BACAU	21597	BRUSTUROASA	3,138	0.38	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	21668	BUHOCI	4,119	0.10	0	0
BACAU	21720	CASIN	3,387	1.86	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	21757	CAIUTI	5,252	2.09	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	21855	CLEJA	6,761	0.00	0	0
BACAU	21891	COLONESTI	2,106	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	21971	CORBASCA	4,914	32.58	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	22059	COTOFANESTI	3,199	21.38	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	22111	DAMIENESTI	1,715	0.06	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	22237	DEALU MORII	2,739	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	22380	DOFTEANA	9,346	1.23	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BACAU	22460	FARAOANI	3,932	0.00	0	0
BACAU	22488	FILIPENI	2,286	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	22576	FILIPESTI	4,346	2.97	0	0
BACAU	22665	GAICEANA	3,069	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	22718	GHIMES-FAGET	5,094	1.98	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	22781	GARLENI	5,914	0.00	0	0
BACAU	22834	GLAVANESTI	3,321	0.57	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	22898	HELEGIU	6,567	0.00	0	0
BACAU	22941	HORGESTI	4,583	0.15	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	23047	HURUIESTI	2,578	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	23127	IZVORU BERHECIULUI	1,537	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	23207	LIPOVA	2,890	0.35	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	23289	LIVEZI	5,038	6.23	0	0
BACAU	23350	LUIZI-CALUGARA	3,553	0.00	0	0
BACAU	23387	MAGIRESTI	3,994	0.03	0	0
BACAU	23449	MANASTIREA CASIN	4,730	0.15	0	0
BACAU	23494	MOTOSENI	3,505	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	23644	NEGRI	2,709	0.00	0	0
BACAU	23715	NICOLAE BALCESCU	7,169	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BACAU	23797	OITUZ	8,152	0.01	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	23868	ONCESTI	1,621	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	23948	ORBENI	3,760	0.08	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	23975	PALANCA	3,319	0.00	0	0
BACAU	24034	PARAVA	2,681	16.82	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	24089	PARINCEA	3,588	0.70	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	24187	PANCESTI	3,919	2.37	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	24276	PARGARESTI	4,445	0.00	0	0
BACAU	24338	PARJOL	5,525	0.00	0	0
BACAU	24427	PLOPANA	3,059	0.26	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	24524	PODU TURCULUI	4,617	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	24631	PODURI	6,962	3.30	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	24711	RACOVA	3,328	0.00	0	0
BACAU	24766	RACACIUNI	7,252	1.72	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BACAU	24837	RACHITOASA	5,080	1.06	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	24999	ROSIORI	2,097	0.05	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	25068	SASCUT	8,564	2.65	0	0
BACAU	25148	SANDULENI	3,863	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	25228	SAUCESTI	4,772	0.13	0	0
BACAU	25291	SCORTENI	2,676	1.53	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	25362	SECUIENI	2,131	0.09	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	25488	SOLONT	3,298	1.33	0	0
BACAU	25521	STANISESTI	4,514	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	25629	STRUGARI	2,507	0.76	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	25692	TAMASI	2,738	0.00	0	0
BACAU	25745	TATARASTI	2,397	0.08	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	25825	TARGU TROTUS	4,969	0.00	0	0
BACAU	25861	TRAIAN	2,319	0.00	0	0
BACAU	25932	UNGURENI	3,509	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	26029	URECHESTI	3,344	0.12	0	0
BACAU	26083	VALEA SEACA	3,867	33.10	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	26118	VULTURENI	2,071	0.19	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	26289	ZEMES	4,368	0.02	0	0
BACAU	26320	SARATA	1,914	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	26338	BUCIUMI	2,984	23.22	24+%	Severe marginalization
BACAU	26346	GIOSENI	3,249	1.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BACAU	26353	ODOBESTI	2,397	0.04	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BACAU	26361	PRAJESTI	1,869	0.00	0	0
BACAU	26379	ITESTI	1,598	0.38	0	0
BOTOSANI	35759	CURTESTI	4,577	0.22	0	0
BOTOSANI	35839	RACHITI	4,443	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	35884	STAUCENI	3,619	0.19	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	36131	ALBESTI	6,387	3.52	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	36202	AVRAMENI	3,751	0.13	0	0
BOTOSANI	36300	BALUSENI	4,670	5.01	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	36373	BRAESTI	1,937	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	36426	BROSCAUTI	2,928	0.03	0	0
BOTOSANI	36499	CALARASI	3,553	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BOTOSANI	36532	CONCESTI	1,761	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	36569	COPALAU	4,053	2.12	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	36649	CORDARENI	1,783	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	36676	CORLATENI	2,211	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	36756	CORNI	6,545	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	36809	COTUSCA	4,627	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	36907	CRISTESTI	4,535	0.97	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	36952	CRISTINESTI	3,617	0.08	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	37011	DERSCA	3,124	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	37057	DANGENI	3,033	0.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	37100	DOBARCENI	2,729	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	37173	DRAGUSENI	2,556	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	37217	DURNESTI	3,741	0.35	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	37324	FRUMUSICA	5,657	0.97	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	37397	GEORGE ENESCU	3,279	1.19	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	37459	GORBANESTI	3,434	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	37547	HAVARNA	4,569	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	37618	HANESTI	2,176	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	37672	HILISEU-HORIA	3,415	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	37734	HLIPICENI	3,420	1.17	0	0
BOTOSANI	37770	HUDESTI	6,067	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	37823	IBANESTI	3,901	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	37850	LEORDA	2,181	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	37912	LUNCA	4,355	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	37958	MANOLEASA	3,315	0.03	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	38063	MIHAI EMINESCU	6,954	0.07	0	0
BOTOSANI	38161	MIHAILENI	2,326	1.93	0	0
BOTOSANI	38241	MIHALASENI	2,214	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	38321	MILEANCA	2,726	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	38376	MITOC	1,878	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BOTOSANI	38456	NICSENI	2,604	0.27	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	38492	PALTINIS	2,794	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	38544	POMARLA	2,661	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	38580	PRAJENI	3,210	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	38633	RADAUTI-PRUT	3,339	0.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	38679	RAUSENI	2,817	0.50	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	38731	RIPICENI	1,917	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	38811	ROMA	3,249	0.12	0	0
BOTOSANI	38848	ROMANESTI	1,944	0.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	38893	SANTA MARE	2,749	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	38982	SUHARAU	4,792	0.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	39051	SULITA	3,060	1.34	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	39122	SENDRICENI	3,895	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	39220	STIUBIENI	2,695	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	39266	TODIRENI	3,323	1.38	0	0
BOTOSANI	39328	TRUSESTI	5,229	1.72	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	39391	TUDORA	5,096	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	39417	UNGURENI	6,623	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	39532	UNTENI	2,771	0.11	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	39612	VACULESTI	1,948	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	39658	VIISOARA	1,953	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	39694	VARFU CAMPULUI	3,420	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	39738	VLADENI	4,560	0.02	0	0
BOTOSANI	39792	VLASINESTI	3,132	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	39836	VORNICENI	4,052	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BOTOSANI	39872	VORONA	7,492	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BOTOSANI	39942	LOZNA	1,890	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BOTOSANI	39959	DIMACHENI	1,413	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	39967	CANDESTI	1,847	0.00	0	0
BOTOSANI	39975	COSULA	2,944	20.58	24+%	Severe marginalization
BOTOSANI	39983	BLANDESTI	2,000	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BOTOSANI	40035	ADASENI	1,388	0.00	0	0
IASI	95088	BARNOVA	5,782	0.03	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	95159	HOLBOCA	11,971	2.13	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	95239	REDIU	4,577	0.39	0	0
IASI	95293	TOMESTI	11,051	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	95499	ION NECULCE	5,445	0.00	0	0
IASI	95612	ALEXANDRU I. CUZA	2,912	0.03	0	0
IASI	95667	ANDRIESENI	4,177	0.12	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	95747	ARONEANU	3,402	0.00	0	0
IASI	95792	BALTATI	4,975	0.08	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	95872	BELCESTI	10,555	0.56	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	95943	BIVOLARI	4,180	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	96003	UNGHENI	4,173	0.00	0	0
IASI	96058	BRAESTI	3,108	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	96110	BUTEA	2,698	0.74	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	96147	CEPLENITA	3,966	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	96192	CIORTESTI	3,979	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	96254	CIUREA	11,640	6.13	0	0
IASI	96334	COARNELE CAPREI	3,091	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	96370	COMARNA	4,732	1.18	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	96423	COSTULENI	4,276	0.12	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	96478	COTNARI	7,248	0.81	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	96593	COZMESTI	2,664	0.23	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	96637	CRISTESTI	3,994	0.35	0	0
IASI	96664	CUCUTENI	1,244	0.72	0	0
IASI	96717	DAGATA	4,599	5.76	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	96815	DELENI	9,969	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	96888	DOBROVAT	2,515	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	96904	DOLHESTI	2,638	21.19	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	96940	DUMESTI	4,576	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97009	ERBICENI	5,457	0.05	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
IASI	97063	FOCURI	3,852	0.18	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97090	GOLAIESTI	3,732	0.03	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	97189	GORBAN	2,879	0.03	0	0
IASI	97241	GRAJDURI	3,563	8.73	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	97321	GROPNITA	3,154	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	97394	GROZESTI	1,769	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	97438	HALAUCESTI	5,541	0.00	0	0
IASI	97465	HELESTENI	2,669	0.00	0	0
IASI	97517	HORLESTI	2,983	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97553	IPATELE	1,865	0.00	0	0
IASI	97606	LESPEZI	5,250	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	97679	LETCANI	6,497	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	97722	LUNGANI	5,854	31.82	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97777	MADARJAC	1,587	0.82	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97811	MIRCESTI	3,750	0.16	0	0
IASI	97875	MIRONEASA	4,521	8.05	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	97919	MIROSLAVA	11,958	0.11	0	0
IASI	98051	MIROSLOVESTI	4,533	5.74	0	0
IASI	98113	MOGOSESTI	5,242	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98168	MOGOSESTI-SIRET	3,689	0.03	0	0
IASI	98202	MOSNA	1,767	0.00	0	0
IASI	98220	MOTCA	4,939	11.44	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	98257	MOVILENI	3,278	0.18	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98300	OTELENI	3,232	0.03	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	98337	PLUGARI	3,615	0.08	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	98435	POPESTI	4,085	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98505	POPRICANI	7,393	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	98603	PRISACANI	3,254	0.18	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	98649	PROBOTA	3,479	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98685	RADUCANENI	7,200	4.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98738	ROMANESTI	1,908	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
IASI	98774	RUGINOASA	5,981	0.02	0	0
IASI	98827	SCHITU DUCA	4,354	0.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98916	SCANTEIA	4,289	0.02	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	98998	SCOBINTI	7,458	0.04	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	99058	SINESTI	4,171	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	99101	SIRETEL	4,130	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	99165	STOLNICENI-PRAJ ESCU	5,250	7.26	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	99209	STRUNGA	3,879	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	99290	SCHEIA	3,067	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	99370	SIPOTE	5,384	0.04	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	99441	TANSA	2,558	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	99478	TATARUSI	5,409	0.39	0	0
IASI	99539	TODIRESTI	5,048	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IASI	99600	TRIFESTI	3,774	0.64	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	99673	TIBANA	7,273	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	99780	TIBANESTI	7,119	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	99879	TIGANASI	4,036	0.02	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	99922	TUTORA	2,067	0.00	0	0
IASI	99968	VALEA SEACA	5,471	2.23	0	0
IASI	100004	VICTORIA	4,282	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	100086	VANATORI	4,624	0.95	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	100148	VLADENI	3,993	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	100219	VOINESTI	6,815	6.10	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	100273	BALS	3,375	0.80	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IASI	100282	COSTESTI	1,743	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IASI	100308	DRAGUSENI	1,436	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	100317	FANTANELE	2,138	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	100326	HARMANESTI	2,183	0.00	0	0
IASI	100335	RACHITENI	3,084	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
IASI	100344	ROSCANI	1,442	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
IASI	100353	VALEA LUPULUI	4,982	0.00	0	0
IASI	100362	CIOHORANI	1,781	10.33	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	120771	DUMBRAVA ROSIE	6,759	0.15	0	0
NEAMT	120824	SAVINESTI	6,333	2.67	0	0
NEAMT	120888	CORDUN	6,333	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	120922	HORIA	5,826	2.85	0	0
NEAMT	121108	AGAPIA	3,893	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121153	BAHNA	3,174	15.50	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	121242	BALTATESTI	4,102	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121297	BICAZ-CHEI	4,089	2.76	0	0
NEAMT	121340	BICAZU ARDELEAN	4,030	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121386	BIRA	1,680	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	121466	BARGAUANI	3,505	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121607	BODESTI	4,472	3.15	0	0
NEAMT	121652	BORCA	6,148	3.43	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
NEAMT	121732	BORLESTI	6,938	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121796	BOTESTI	4,989	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	121876	BOZIENI	2,716	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	121938	BRUSTURI	3,852	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122025	CEAHLAU	2,180	0.18	0	0
NEAMT	122061	CANDESTI	3,232	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	122132	COSTISA	2,883	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122187	CRACAOANI	3,944	12.42	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	122249	DAMUC	2,761	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122285	DOBRENI	1,842	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122347	DOLJESTI	7,220	0.25	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
NEAMT	122392	DRAGOMIRESTI	2,231	3.77	0	0
NEAMT	122463	DULCESTI	2,293	0.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	122551	FARCASA	2,866	1.36	0	0
NEAMT	122613	FAUREI	1,987	0.05	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	122668	GHERAESTI	4,854	0.02	0	0
NEAMT	122702	GIROV	4,645	1.44	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
NEAMT	122828	GARCINA	4,336	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122864	GRINTIES	2,213	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122908	GRUMAZESTI	5,182	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	122953	HANGU	3,619	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	123013	ICUSESTI	3,952	2.00	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
NEAMT	123102	ION CREANGA	5,001	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	123175	MARGINENI	3,253	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	123228	MOLDOVENI	2,207	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	123255	ONICENI	3,388	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	123371	PASTRAVENI	3,595	0.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	123424	PETRICANI	5,286	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	123479	PIATRA SOIMULUI	5,587	1.56	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	123521	PIPIRIG	8,372	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	123601	PANGARATI	4,672	0.02	0	0
NEAMT	123674	PODOLENI	4,196	0.02	0	0
NEAMT	123709	POIENARI	1,453	0.28	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
NEAMT	123790	POIANA TEIULUI	4,451	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	123914	RAUCESTI	7,781	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	123969	RAZBOIENI	2,272	1.98	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	124028	REDIU	4,247	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124073	ROMANI	3,939	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124153	SAGNA	3,883	0.08	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
NEAMT	124206	SABAOANI	9,901	0.01	0	0
NEAMT	124233	SECUIENI	2,967	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124331	STANITA	1,966	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	124411	STEFAN CEL MARE	3,024	0.07	0	0
NEAMT	124493	TARCAU	3,062	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124563	TASCA	2,235	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124616	TAZLAU	2,224	0.04	0	0
NEAMT	124634	TAMASENI	6,493	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124661	TIMISESTI	3,492	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124723	TRIFESTI	4,551	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124750	TUPILATI	2,186	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	124803	TIBUCANI	3,886	1.72	0	0
NEAMT	124849	URECHENI	3,343	1.50	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
NEAMT	124885	VALEA URSULUI	3,874	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	124938	ALEXANDRU CEL BUN	4,876	0.06	0	0
NEAMT	125016	VANATORI-NEAMT	7,595	3.24	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	125061	ZANESTI	4,902	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	125098	DOCHIA	2,187	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	125105	RUGINOASA	1,782	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
NEAMT	125114	GHINDAOANI	1,849	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
NEAMT	125123	VALENI	1,380	23.77	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	125132	DRAGANESTI	1,389	0.00	0	0
NEAMT	125141	PANCESTI	1,350	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
NEAMT	125150	GADINTI	1,983	0.05	0	0
NEAMT	125169	NEGRESTI	1,632	1.78	0	0
NEAMT	125178	BOGHICEA	2,376	5.26	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	146281	IPOTESTI	5,635	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	146325	MITOCU DRAGOMIRNEI	4,438	9.42	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	146432	SCHEIA	9,577	3.63	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	146799	ADANCATA	4,032	1.09	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	146860	ARBORE	6,719	0.70	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	146904	BAIA	6,405	0.39	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	146995	BALCAUTI	3,070	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147036	BILCA	3,583	1.48	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	147054	BOGDANESTI	3,909	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147072	BOROAIA	4,589	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147134	BOSANCI	6,719	3.01	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	147161	BOTOSANA	2,144	0.05	0	0
SUCEAVA	147205	BREAZA	1,512	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147241	BRODINA	3,320	0.27	0	0
SUCEAVA	147465	BUNESTI	2,348	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147526	CACICA	3,712	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147580	CALAFINDESTI	2,549	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147660	ILISESTI	2,761	0.58	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	147713	CARLIBABA	1,717	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147786	CORNU LUNCII	6,614	3.49	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	147884	CRUCEA	1,833	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	147937	DARMANESTI	5,228	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148097	DOLHESTI	3,502	4.17	0	0
SUCEAVA	148131	DORNA-ARINI	2,841	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148202	DORNA CANDRENILOR	2,827	0.85	0	0
SUCEAVA	148293	DORNESTI	3,926	6.14	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	148328	DRAGOIESTI	2,349	0.38	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	148382	DRAGUSENI	2,422	0.66	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SUCEAVA	148426	DUMBRAVENI	7,480	0.37	0	0
SUCEAVA	148453	FANTANELE	4,848	0.33	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	148514	FORASTI	4,451	2.29	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	148667	FRATAUTII NOI	5,736	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	148694	FRATAUTII VECHI	4,394	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148729	FRUMOSU	3,220	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148765	FUNDU MOLDOVEI	3,594	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148872	GALANESTI	2,573	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148916	GRAMESTI	3,032	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	148970	GRANICESTI	4,440	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149049	HORODNICENI	3,283	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149101	HORODNIC DE JOS	2,003	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149138	IACOBENI	1,842	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149183	IZVOARELE SUCEVEI	2,063	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	149290	MARGINEA	8,552	0.13	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	149316	MALINI	6,306	0.63	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	149370	MANASTIREA HUMORULUI	3,233	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149414	MOARA	4,384	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149502	MOLDOVA-SULITA	1,865	1.18	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	149539	MOLDOVITA	4,970	0.02	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	149584	MUSENITA	1,871	0.21	0	0
SUCEAVA	149655	OSTRA	3,009	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149682	PANACI	2,159	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149753	PALTINOASA	4,909	6.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	149780	PARTESTII DE JOS	2,778	3.96	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	149833	PATRAUTI	4,567	21.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	149851	POIANA STAMPEI	2,077	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	149931	POJORATA	2,908	0.83	0	0
SUCEAVA	149968	PREUTESTI	6,725	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	150043	PUTNA	3,569	0.06	0	0
SUCEAVA	150070	RADASENI	3,575	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	150114	RASCA	5,052	0.14	0	0
SUCEAVA	150178	SADOVA	2,285	0.79	0	0
SUCEAVA	150196	SATU MARE	3,594	0.03	0	0
SUCEAVA	150221	SIMINICEA	2,710	0.30	0	0
SUCEAVA	150258	SLATINA	4,821	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	150294	STRAJA	5,094	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SUCEAVA	150310	STROIESTI	3,304	1.06	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	150356	STULPICANI	5,904	0.97	0	0
SUCEAVA	150418	SUCEVITA	2,762	9.27	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	150445	SARU DORNEI	3,972	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	150524	TODIRESTI	5,259	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	150588	UDESTI	7,566	4.10	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	150702	ULMA	2,007	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	150766	VADU MOLDOVEI	3,993	0.23	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	150891	VALEA MOLDOVEI	3,838	39.08	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	150935	VAMA	5,426	1.55	0	0
SUCEAVA	150980	VATRA MOLDOVITEI	4,099	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151022	VERESTI	6,289	10.48	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	151077	VICOVU DE JOS	5,925	1.84	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SUCEAVA	151120	VOLOVAT	4,952	1.05	0	0
SUCEAVA	151157	VULTURESTI	3,395	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151246	ZAMOSTEA	2,849	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151344	ZVORISTEA	6,124	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151433	COMANESTI	2,094	16.38	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	151442	IASLOVAT	3,163	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151451	CIOCANESTI	1,384	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151460	SERBAUTI	2,847	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151479	HORODNIC DE SUS	5,136	6.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	151488	FANTANA MARE	2,237	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151497	COSNA	1,453	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151503	CAPU CAMPULUI	2,214	5.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	151512	HANTESTI	3,607	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SUCEAVA	151521	BURLA	2,111	7.91	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	151530	BALACEANA	1,520	0.20	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SUCEAVA	151549	HARTOP	2,269	0.00	0	0
SUCEAVA	151558	VOITINEL	4,387	11.78	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	151567	CIPRIAN PORUMBESCU	1,840	10.43	24+%	Severe marginalization
SUCEAVA	151576	BERCHISESTI	2,849	4.63	0	0
SUCEAVA	151585	POIENI-SOLCA	1,629	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	162014	ALBESTI	2,893	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	162069	ALEXANDRU VLAHUTA	1,550	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VASLUI	162149	ARSURA	1,717	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	162194	BANCA	5,389	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	162327	BACANI	2,814	0.43	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	162381	BACESTI	4,107	12.61	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	162452	BALTENI	1,523	0.46	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	162498	BEREZENI	4,780	0.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	162559	BLAGESTI	1,515	0.20	0	0
VASLUI	162595	BOGDANA	1,602	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	162693	BOGDANESTI	3,242	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	162791	BOGDANITA	1,437	0.14	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	162871	BOTESTI	2,049	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	162924	BUNESTI-AVERESTI	2,592	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163002	CODAESTI	4,362	0.02	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163057	COROIESTI	2,014	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	163137	COSTESTI	2,953	0.41	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	163208	CRETESTI	1,790	0.39	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	163253	DANESTI	2,205	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	163324	DELENI	2,257	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163379	DELESTI	2,358	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163486	DRAGOMIRESTI	4,900	12.22	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	163618	DRANCENI	3,973	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163681	DUDA-EPURENI	4,397	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	163734	DUMESTI	3,334	8.34	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	163789	EPURENI	3,081	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	163832	FALCIU	5,103	0.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163903	GAGESTI	2,024	0.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	163967	GHERGHESTI	2,595	1.43	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164062	GARCENI	2,443	3.89	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VASLUI	164133	GRIVITA	3,293	0.06	0	0
VASLUI	164197	HOCENI	2,794	0.04	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164277	DIMITRIE CANTEMIR	2,676	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	164339	IANA	3,870	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164393	IVANESTI	4,495	1.40	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164543	IVESTI	2,409	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	164598	LAZA	3,114	0.03	0	0
VASLUI	164687	LIPOVAT	3,960	0.71	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	164749	LUNCA BANULUI	3,501	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	164829	MALUSTENI	2,462	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164892	MICLESTI	2,636	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	164936	MUNTENII DE JOS	3,584	0.11	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	165069	OLTENESTI	2,515	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	165130	OSESTI	3,157	1.74	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165185	PADURENI	4,028	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	165274	PERIENI	3,536	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	165336	POIENESTI	2,855	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165416	POGANA	2,992	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165470	PUIESTI	4,661	0.02	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165611	PUNGESTI	3,223	8.04	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165719	REBRICEA	3,451	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	165817	ROSIESTI	3,151	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	165899	SOLESTI	3,623	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	165979	STANILESTI	5,117	0.02	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	166057	STEFAN CEL MARE	3,160	0.03	0	0
VASLUI	166137	SULETEA	2,288	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	166182	TANACU	2,040	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	166235	TACUTA	3,248	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	166315	TATARANI	2,171	0.05	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VASLUI	166413	TODIRESTI	3,214	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	166529	TUTOVA	3,311	0.03	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	166636	VALENI	4,022	0.07	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	166672	VETRISOAIA	2,830	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	166707	VIISOARA	1,909	0.16	0	0
VASLUI	166770	VINDEREI	4,025	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	166869	VOINESTI	3,757	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	166985	VULTURESTI	2,236	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	167035	VUTCANI	2,035	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VASLUI	167071	ZAPODENI	3,724	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VASLUI	167179	ZORLENI	8,595	0.45	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VASLUI	167222	IBANESTI	1,451	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167231	FRUNTISENI	1,795	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167240	RAFAILA	1,835	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167259	POGONESTI	1,561	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	167268	CIOCANI	1,638	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167277	COZMESTI	2,202	14.80	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167286	DODESTI	1,724	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167295	FERESTI	1,897	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VASLUI	167302	MUNTENII DE SUS	2,763	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	167311	POCHIDIA	1,629	0.00	0	0
VASLUI	167320	PUSCASI	3,328	0.51	24+%	Severe marginalization

ANNEX 2. TABLE 4: Rate of marginalization at commune level in North West development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BIHOR	26582	SANMARTIN	9,572	7.20	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BIHOR	26653	SANTANDREI	4,912	3.09	0	0
BIHOR	26742	ASTILEU	3,561	5.11	0	0
BIHOR	27070	ABRAM	2,808	11.25	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BIHOR	27169	ABRAMUT	3,071	12.67	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	27212	AUSEU	3,033	8.84	0	0
BIHOR	27285	AVRAM IANCU	3,316	18.55	0	0
BIHOR	27329	BALC	3,281	16.31	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	27383	BATAR	4,920	24.33	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	27436	BIHARIA	4,205	1.55	0	0
BIHOR	27506	BOIANU MARE	1,343	0.82	0	0
BIHOR	27560	BOROD	3,843	6.17	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	27631	BORS	3,946	0.76	0	0
BIHOR	27686	BRATCA	5,158	5.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BIHOR	27757	BRUSTURI	3,469	7.29	0	0
BIHOR	27846	BUDUREASA	2,581	23.48	24+%	Severe marginalization
BIHOR	27908	BUDUSLAU	1,907	4.04	0	0
BIHOR	27935	BULZ	2,104	2.66	0	0
BIHOR	27971	BUNTESTI	4,253	0.19	0	0
BIHOR	28077	CABESTI	1,848	1.41	0	0
BIHOR	28139	CAPALNA	1,663	2.95	0	0
BIHOR	28193	CARPINET	1,932	2.12	0	0
BIHOR	28246	CEFA	2,272	11.27	0	0
BIHOR	28335	CEICA	3,591	0.86	0	0
BIHOR	28415	CETARIU	2,165	2.59	0	0
BIHOR	28497	CHERECHIU	2,416	3.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	28530	CHISLAZ	3,135	6.03	0	0
BIHOR	28610	CIUHOI	2,333	5.27	0	0
BIHOR	28665	CIUMEGHIU	4,297	23.23	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	28709	CAMPANI	2,427	0.00	0	0
BIHOR	28763	COCIUBA MARE	2,798	7.65	0	0
BIHOR	28816	COPACEL	2,297	11.58	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BIHOR	28889	CRISTIORU DE JOS	1,354	2.14	0	0
BIHOR	28941	CURATELE	2,509	1.28	0	0
BIHOR	29001	CURTUISENI	3,780	13.68	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	29038	DERNA	2,616	1.22	0	0
BIHOR	29092	DIOSIG	6,816	22.67	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	29154	DOBRESTI	5,260	14.94	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BIHOR	29243	DRAGANESTI	2,967	2.53	0	0
BIHOR	29341	DRAGESTI	2,586	33.22	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BIHOR	29403	FINIS	3,680	7.77	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	29467	GIRISU DE CRIS	3,588	8.84	0	0
BIHOR	29519	HIDISELU DE SUS	3,315	4.62	0	0
BIHOR	29573	HOLOD	3,309	21.31	24+%	Severe marginalization
BIHOR	29662	HUSASAU DE TINCA	2,395	19.42	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	29724	INEU	4,399	28.96	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	29760	LAZURI DE BEIUS	1,518	0.00	0	0
BIHOR	29813	LAZARENI	3,233	24.74	24+%	Severe marginalization
BIHOR	29902	LUGASU DE JOS	3,580	19.25	0	0
BIHOR	29948	LUNCA	2,887	0.90	0	0
BIHOR	30014	MADARAS	2,828	4.17	0	0
BIHOR	30069	MAGESTI	2,717	5.56	0	0
BIHOR	30149	NOJORID	5,240	2.69	0	0
BIHOR	30229	OLCEA	2,773	12.55	0	0
BIHOR	30274	OSORHEI	6,532	11.51	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BIHOR	30336	PIETROASA	3,209	7.20	0	0
BIHOR	30416	POCOLA	1,571	0.83	0	0
BIHOR	30470	POMEZEU	2,922	2.36	0	0
BIHOR	30568	POPESTI	7,362	4.46	0	0
BIHOR	30648	RABAGANI	2,073	9.65	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	30719	REMETEA	2,906	5.71	0	0
BIHOR	30773	RIENI	3,050	13.08	0	0
BIHOR	30844	ROSIA	2,384	0.00	0	0
BIHOR	30871	SACADAT	1,910	9.69	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BIHOR	30988	SALACEA	3,036	3.69	0	0
BIHOR	31011	SALARD	4,340	2.72	0	0
BIHOR	31057	SAMBATA	1,475	0.75	0	0
BIHOR	31128	SARBI	2,609	1.07	0	0
BIHOR	31208	SPINUS	1,285	10.74	0	0
BIHOR	31262	SUPLACU DE BARCAU	4,356	15.27	0	0
BIHOR	31333	SIMIAN	3,876	13.91	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	31379	SINTEU	1,021	0.00	0	0
BIHOR	31422	SOIMI	2,543	15.53	24+%	Severe marginalization
BIHOR	31510	SUNCUIUS	3,259	7.73	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BIHOR	31565	TARCEA	2,690	11.86	0	0
BIHOR	31609	TARCAIA	1,969	0.10	0	0
BIHOR	31654	TAUTEU	4,063	17.18	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BIHOR	31716	TILEAGD	6,968	9.31	0	0
BIHOR	31789	TINCA	7,793	14.92	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BIHOR	31841	TULCA	2,773	10.96	0	0
BIHOR	31878	TETCHEA	3,141	21.84	0	0
BIHOR	31921	UILEACU DE BEIUS	2,050	0.10	0	0
BIHOR	31976	VADU CRISULUI	4,009	9.20	0	0
BIHOR	32045	VIISOARA	1,336	0.22	0	0
BIHOR	32090	VARCIOROG	2,304	0.95	0	0
BIHOR	32153	TAMASEU	2,019	2.97	0	0
BIHOR	32161	PALEU	2,523	0.20	0	0
BIHOR	32179	SANNICOLAU ROMAN	2,194	16.59	0	0
BIHOR	32187	ROSIORI	3,113	1.90	0	0
BIHOR	32195	GEPIU	1,797	14.69	0	0
BIHOR	32201	TOBOLIU	2,088	6.32	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32633	BISTRITA BARGAULUI	3,815	0.03	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32660	BRANISTEA	3,047	0.03	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32704	BUDACU DE JOS	2,772	17.93	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32768	BUDESTI	1,856	14.28	24+%	Severe marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32811	CAIANU MIC	3,357	0.39	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32884	CETATE	2,330	20.04	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	32955	CICEU-GIURGESTI	1,505	1.93	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33015	CHIOCHIS	3,086	2.50	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33122	CHIUZA	1,866	0.96	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33177	COSBUC	1,524	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33202	DUMITRA	4,282	2.01	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33248	FELDRU	7,669	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33275	GALATII BISTRITEI	2,201	8.45	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33337	ILVA MARE	2,274	1.01	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33364	ILVA MICA	3,264	0.43	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33382	JOSENII BARGAULUI	4,541	6.78	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33435	LECHINTA	5,678	17.31	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	33514	LESU	2,510	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33541	LIVEZILE	4,250	6.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33603	LUNCA ILVEI	3,086	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33621	MAIERU	7,089	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33658	MATEI	2,563	5.70	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33729	MAGURA ILVEI	1,821	5.82	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33765	MARISELU	2,383	5.25	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33845	MICESTII DE CAMPIE	1,086	3.04	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33881	MILAS	1,286	4.67	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33952	MONOR	1,390	5.68	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	33989	NIMIGEA	5,075	6.58	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34075	NUSENI	3,037	0.46	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34155	PARVA	2,371	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34173	PETRU RARES	3,351	25.75	24+%	Severe marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34235	PRUNDU BARGAULUI	5,633	0.21	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34262	REBRA	3,163	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34280	REBRISOARA	4,269	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34333	RODNA	5,777	7.05	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34360	ROMULI	1,672	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34397	SALVA	2,738	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34422	SILIVASU DE CIMPIE	1,011	9.10	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34477	SANMIHAIU DE CIMPIE	1,459	8.70	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34547	SPERMEZEU	3,123	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34618	SANT	3,228	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34645	SIEU	2,827	7.64	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34690	SIEU-MAGHERUS	3,756	13.15	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34770	SIEU-ODORHEI	2,262	0.27	0	0
BISTRITA-NASAUD	34850	SIEUT	2,652	2.53	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	34903	SINTEREAG	3,576	2.49	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	34985	TEACA	5,329	23.16	24+%	Severe marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35054	TELCIU	5,798	0.10	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35090	TIHA BARGAULUI	5,722	4.14	24+%	Severe marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35152	TARLISUA	3,113	0.58	24+%	Severe marginalization
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35269	URIU	3,208	4.18	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35312	URMENIS	1,949	8.41	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	35429	ZAGRA	3,527	5.61	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	179659	NEGRILESTI	2,447	0.25	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	179686	DUMITRITA	2,730	19.49	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	179720	POIANA ILVEI	1,407	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	179944	RUNCU SALVEI	1,228	0.00	0	0
BISTRITA-NA SAUD	179953	CICEU - MIHAIESTI	1,286	22.55	24+%	Severe marginalization
CLUJ	55062	CUZDRIOARA	2,733	7.61	0	0
CLUJ	55106	JICHISU DE JOS	1,152	0.00	0	0
CLUJ	55160	MICA	3,566	2.08	0	0
CLUJ	55277	MIHAI VITEAZU	5,423	1.49	0	0
CLUJ	55311	SANDULESTI	1,798	0.22	0	0
CLUJ	55473	AGHIRESU	7,116	7.95	0	0
CLUJ	55598	AITON	1,085	1.11	0	0
CLUJ	55623	ALUNIS	1,223	0.08	0	0
CLUJ	55687	APAHIDA	10,685	3.86	0	0
CLUJ	55776	ASCHILEU	1,601	7.37	0	0
CLUJ	55838	BACIU	10,317	6.39	0	0
CLUJ	55918	BAISOARA	1,940	2.32	0	0
CLUJ	56014	BELIS	1,211	0.08	0	0
CLUJ	56096	BOBALNA	1,572	3.94	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CLUJ	56210	BONTIDA	4,856	19.81	0	0
CLUJ	56265	BORSA	1,600	2.81	0	0
CLUJ	56327	BUZA	1,264	3.96	0	0
CLUJ	56354	CAIANU	2,355	2.55	0	0
CLUJ	56425	CALARASI	2,021	0.40	0	0
CLUJ	56461	CALATELE	2,243	8.34	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	56522	CAMARASU	2,655	21.58	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CLUJ	56568	CAPUSU MARE	3,295	5.64	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CLUJ	56666	CASEIU	4,437	9.49	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CLUJ	56773	CATINA	1,993	4.01	0	0
CLUJ	56844	CEANU MARE	3,531	5.98	0	0
CLUJ	56988	CHINTENI	3,065	0.59	0	0
CLUJ	57083	CHIUIESTI	2,332	1.07	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CLUJ	57163	CIUCEA	1,547	0.39	0	0
CLUJ	57225	CIURILA	1,594	3.45	0	0
CLUJ	57314	CATCAU	2,100	0.29	0	0
CLUJ	57350	COJOCNA	4,194	20.39	0	0
CLUJ	57449	CORNESTI	1,493	3.42	0	0
CLUJ	57546	DABACA	1,543	6.42	0	0
CLUJ	57582	FELEACU	3,923	2.52	0	0
CLUJ	57644	FIZESU GHERLII	2,564	16.15	0	0
CLUJ	57706	FLORESTI	22,813	4.89	0	0
CLUJ	57742	FRATA	4,242	11.60	0	0
CLUJ	57831	GEACA	1,626	4.80	0	0
CLUJ	57902	GILAU	8,300	7.78	0	0
CLUJ	57948	GARBAU	2,440	4.02	0	0
CLUJ	58008	IARA	3,889	7.33	0	0
CLUJ	58142	ICLOD	4,263	2.21	0	0
CLUJ	58204	IZVORU CRISULUI	1,632	0.00	0	0
CLUJ	58259	JUCU	4,270	0.84	0	0
CLUJ	58311	LUNA	4,268	10.45	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	58357	MAGURI-RACATAU	2,242	0.04	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	58393	MANASTIRENI	1,481	5.33	0	0
CLUJ	58464	MARGAU	1,484	0.07	0	0
CLUJ	58534	MARISEL	1,488	0.07	0	0
CLUJ	58552	MINTIU GHERLII	3,746	4.62	0	0
CLUJ	58623	MOCIU	3,313	11.20	0	0
CLUJ	58721	MOLDOVENESTI	3,317	3.50	0	0
CLUJ	58794	PALATCA	1,218	8.70	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	58856	PANTICEU	1,844	13.12	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CLUJ	58918	PETRESTII DE JOS	1,512	2.58	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	58990	PLOSCOS	702	1.71	0	0
CLUJ	59041	POIENI	4,842	5.18	0	0
CLUJ	59130	RECEA-CRISTUR	1,412	18.34	0	0
CLUJ	59238	RISCA	1,446	0.00	0	0
CLUJ	59283	SACUIEU	1,466	13.03	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CLUJ	59327	SAVADISLA	4,392	1.78	0	0
CLUJ	59416	SIC	2,459	0.41	0	0
CLUJ	59434	SANCRAIU	1,633	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CLUJ	59498	SANMARTIN	1,384	3.97	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CLUJ	59586	SANPAUL	2,382	15.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CLUJ	59657	SUATU	1,737	9.44	0	0
CLUJ	59693	TRITENII DE JOS	4,240	0.47	0	0
CLUJ	59764	TURENI	2,278	7.55	0	0
CLUJ	59826	TAGA	1,947	3.24	0	0
CLUJ	59880	UNGURAS	2,777	1.48	0	0
CLUJ	59942	VAD	2,008	0.30	0	0
CLUJ	60026	VALEA IERII	888	0.11	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CLUJ	60062	VIISOARA	5,493	8.85	0	0
CLUJ	60099	VULTURENI	1,516	6.00	0	0
CLUJ	60169	NEGRENI	2,321	2.33	0	0
MARAMURES	106363	GROSI	2,857	0.07	0	0
MARAMURES	106407	RECEA	6,000	1.07	0	0
MARAMURES	106620	SARASAU	2,238	2.19	0	0
MARAMURES	106648	VADU IZEI	2,659	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107001	ARDUSAT	2,738	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MARAMURES	107047	ARINIS	1,084	0.28	0	0
MARAMURES	107083	ASUAJU DE SUS	1,441	0.49	0	0
MARAMURES	107118	BAITA DE SUB CODRU	1,871	1.23	0	0
MARAMURES	107154	BAIUT	2,340	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107190	BASESTI	1,452	4.34	0	0
MARAMURES	107234	BICAZ	1,124	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107270	BISTRA	4,174	0.17	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MARAMURES	107314	BARSANA	4,474	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MARAMURES	107350	BOCICOIU MARE	3,818	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107403	BOGDAN VODA	3,208	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107430	BOIU MARE	1,131	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107485	BOTIZA	2,717	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107519	BUDESTI	3,055	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107546	CALINESTI	3,178	0.03	0	0
MARAMURES	107582	CERNESTI	3,741	3.07	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MARAMURES	107662	CICARLAU	3,691	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107715	CAMPULUNG LA TISA	2,485	3.62	0	0
MARAMURES	107733	COPALNIC-MANA STUR	5,673	7.47	0	0
MARAMURES	107868	COROIENI	2,219	19.06	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MARAMURES	107920	CUPSENI	3,581	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	107975	DESESTI	2,341	0.09	0	0
MARAMURES	108035	DUMBRAVITA	4,372	0.25	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
MARAMURES	108106	FARCASA	4,015	1.54	0	0
MARAMURES	108151	GIULESTI	3,113	0.03	0	0
MARAMURES	108204	IEUD	4,318	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MARAMURES	108222	LAPUS	3,709	0.05	0	0
MARAMURES	108240	LEORDINA	2,547	0.04	0	0
MARAMURES	108268	MIRESU MARE	4,766	1.38	0	0
MARAMURES	108348	MOISEI	9,264	0.03	0	0
MARAMURES	108366	OARTA DE JOS	1,243	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	108400	OCNA SUGATAG	3,853	2.10	0	0
MARAMURES	108455	PETROVA	2,525	0.59	0	0
MARAMURES	108473	POIENILE DE SUB MUNTE	10,073	0.02	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MARAMURES	108491	REMETEA CHIOARULUI	2,834	8.33	0	0
MARAMURES	108552	REMETI	3,040	1.22	0	0
MARAMURES	108598	REPEDEA	4,716	0.34	0	0
MARAMURES	108614	RONA DE JOS	1,776	0.11	0	0
MARAMURES	108632	RONA DE SUS	3,855	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MARAMURES	108669	ROZAVLEA	3,085	0.52	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MARAMURES	108696	RUSCOVA	5,541	3.28	0	0
MARAMURES	108712	SATULUNG	5,837	19.86	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MARAMURES	108794	SACALASENI	2,299	3.35	0	0
MARAMURES	108874	SACEL	3,500	0.09	0	0
MARAMURES	108918	SALSIG	1,641	10.24	0	0
MARAMURES	108945	SAPANTA	2,903	0.03	0	0
MARAMURES	109005	STRAMTURA	3,652	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MARAMURES	109041	SUCIU DE SUS	3,868	4.52	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MARAMURES	109096	SISESTI	5,289	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	109354	VALEA CHIOARULUI	2,025	8.59	0	0
MARAMURES	109425	VIMA MICA	1,448	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	109504	VISEU DE JOS	4,934	1.07	0	0
MARAMURES	179604	POIENILE IZEI	940	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	179613	SIEU	2,348	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	179622	GROSII TIBLESULUI	2,095	1.62	0	0
MARAMURES	179837	COAS	1,402	0.07	0	0
MARAMURES	179846	COLTAU	2,557	36.64	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MARAMURES	179855	GARDANI	1,151	0.00	0	0
MARAMURES	179864	ONCESTI	1,549	0.00	0	0
SALAJ	139937	AGRIJ	1,370	27.52	0	0
SALAJ	139982	ALMASU	2,237	9.75	0	0
SALAJ	140084	BABENI	1,742	1.84	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SALAJ	140146	BALAN	3,722	2.71	0	0
SALAJ	140208	BANISOR	2,022	1.14	0	0
SALAJ	140244	BENESAT	1,536	0.07	0	0
SALAJ	140280	BOBOTA	3,766	15.72	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	140324	BOCSA	3,206	8.76	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	140379	BUCIUMI	2,586	15.93	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	140440	CAMAR	1,741	6.15	0	0
SALAJ	140477	CARASTELEC	1,089	3.21	0	0
SALAJ	140501	CHIESD	2,420	10.04	0	0
SALAJ	140547	CIZER	2,196	11.48	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	140583	COSEIU	1,198	2.00	0	0
SALAJ	140627	CRASNA	6,485	8.65	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	140672	CREACA	2,803	2.14	0	0
SALAJ	140770	CRISTOLT	1,235	0.00	0	0
SALAJ	140823	CRISENI	2,641	4.24	0	0
SALAJ	140869	CUZAPLAC	1,864	8.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SALAJ	140958	DOBRIN	1,660	2.41	0	0
SALAJ	141027	DRAGU	1,427	29.64	24+%	Severe marginalization
SALAJ	141081	FILDU DE JOS	1,441	22.83	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	141134	GALGAU	2,456	3.66	0	0
SALAJ	141232	GARBOU	2,044	9.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	141312	HALMASD	2,393	15.09	0	0
SALAJ	141376	HERECLEAN	3,575	2.27	0	0
SALAJ	141447	HIDA	2,787	2.69	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SALAJ	141535	HOROATU CRASNEI	2,485	7.48	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	141580	ILEANDA	2,256	10.82	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	141722	IP	3,648	11.43	0	0
SALAJ	141786	LETCA	1,846	1.95	0	0
SALAJ	141884	LOZNA	1,072	0.28	0	0
SALAJ	141946	MARCA	2,542	6.57	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	142006	MAERISTE	3,081	2.63	0	0
SALAJ	142079	MESESENII DE JOS	3,117	5.45	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	142122	MIRSID	2,159	19.31	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	142177	NAPRADEA	2,652	16.89	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SALAJ	142239	NUSFALAU	3,600	16.56	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	142284	PERICEI	3,768	6.37	0	0
SALAJ	142337	PLOPIS	2,405	6.61	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	142373	POIANA BLENCHII	1,221	9.91	0	0
SALAJ	142426	ROMANASI	2,894	14.41	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SALAJ	142499	RUS	1,071	1.12	0	0
SALAJ	142550	SALATIG	2,913	0.00	0	0
SALAJ	142612	SAG	3,276	20.73	24+%	Severe marginalization
SALAJ	142676	SANMIHAIU ALMASULUI	1,617	19.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	142710	SOMES-ODORHEI	2,671	6.33	0	0
SALAJ	142774	SURDUC	3,461	6.10	0	0
SALAJ	142854	SAMSUD	1,723	4.88	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	142881	SARMASAG	6,092	7.93	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SALAJ	142952	VALCAU DE JOS	2,851	14.70	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SALAJ	143021	VARSOLT	2,209	4.16	0	0
SALAJ	143067	ZALHA	864	0.58	0	0
SALAJ	143147	ZIMBOR	1,081	4.90	0	0
SALAJ	179631	TREZNEA	947	8.45	0	0
SALAJ	179695	SIMISNA	1,103	7.98	0	0
SALAJ	180037	BOGHIS	1,858	22.98	0	0
SATU MARE	136553	CAPLENI	3,031	4.06	0	0
SATU MARE	136713	ACIS	2,827	26.85	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	136768	ANDRID	2,506	6.82	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	136802	APA	2,681	14.25	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	136919	BATARCI	3,707	0.67	0	0
SATU MARE	136964	BELTIUG	3,228	18.00	0	0
SATU MARE	137032	BERVENI	3,376	6.22	0	0
SATU MARE	137069	BIXAD	6,504	0.48	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SATU MARE	137103	BARSAU	2,434	0.00	0	0
SATU MARE	137130	BOGDAND	2,872	5.15	0	0
SATU MARE	137185	BOTIZ	3,622	4.75	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	137229	CALINESTI-OAS	4,811	0.56	0	0
SATU MARE	137274	CAMARZANA	2,355	0.00	0	0
SATU MARE	137292	CAUAS	2,388	16.79	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	137363	CEHAL	1,594	0.00	0	0
SATU MARE	137407	CERTEZE	5,636	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SATU MARE	137443	CRAIDOROLT	2,215	15.40	24+%	Severe marginalization
SATU MARE	137504	CRUCISOR	2,546	6.60	0	0
SATU MARE	137540	CULCIU	3,884	0.85	0	0
SATU MARE	137611	DOBA	2,760	12.68	0	0
SATU MARE	137675	DOROLT	3,806	6.59	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	137728	FOIENI	1,840	5.65	0	0
SATU MARE	137746	GHERTA MICA	3,412	1.20	0	0
SATU MARE	137764	HALMEU	4,968	2.96	0	0
SATU MARE	137844	HODOD	3,056	4.78	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	137899	HOMOROADE	1,791	4.58	0	0
SATU MARE	137960	LAZURI	5,562	3.29	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SATU MARE	138084	MEDIESU AURIT	6,683	7.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	138164	MICULA	3,659	8.66	0	0
SATU MARE	138208	MOFTIN	4,293	9.64	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	138280	ODOREU	4,946	7.00	0	0
SATU MARE	138351	ORASU NOU	3,806	0.50	0	0
SATU MARE	138431	PAULESTI	4,909	10.39	0	0
SATU MARE	138501	PETRESTI	1,588	10.52	0	0
SATU MARE	138538	PIR	1,614	9.23	0	0
SATU MARE	138574	PISCOLT	3,161	14.87	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	138618	POMI	2,182	5.87	0	0
SATU MARE	138663	SANISLAU	3,515	11.58	0	0
SATU MARE	138734	SANTAU	2,377	12.54	0	0
SATU MARE	138770	SACASENI	1,178	12.56	0	0
SATU MARE	138805	SAUCA	1,376	20.93	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	138869	SOCOND	2,641	38.39	24+%	Severe marginalization
SATU MARE	138921	SUPUR	4,231	10.66	0	0
SATU MARE	139009	TARNA MARE	3,774	2.15	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	139054	TEREBESTI	1,750	22.06	0	0
SATU MARE	139107	TIREAM	2,226	20.13	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	139143	TARSOLT	3,059	0.00	0	0
SATU MARE	139170	TURT	5,593	1.72	0	0
SATU MARE	139214	TURULUNG	3,680	8.72	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
SATU MARE	139250	URZICENI	1,447	8.15	0	0
SATU MARE	139287	VALEA VINULUI	2,067	4.40	0	0
SATU MARE	139330	VAMA	3,486	0.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
SATU MARE	139358	VETIS	4,788	5.45	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
SATU MARE	139394	VIILE SATU MARE	3,514	13.38	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
SATU MARE	179677	CAMIN	1,388	7.28	0	0
SATU MARE	179873	AGRIS	2,003	0.00	0	0
SATU MARE	179882	CIUMESTI	1,407	4.76	0	0
SATU MARE	180028	PORUMBESTI	2,530	16.52	0	0
SATU MARE	180091	RASCA	3,052	0.07	0	0

ANNEX 2. TABLE 5: Rate of marginalization at commune level in South East development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BRAILA	42708	CHISCANI	5,340	3.48	0	0
BRAILA	42771	SURDILA-GRECI	1,505	0.00	0	0
BRAILA	42824	BARAGANUL	3,062	3.85	0	0
BRAILA	42842	BERTESTII DE JOS	3,110	10.93	0	0
BRAILA	42913	BORDEI VERDE	2,654	0.30	0	0
BRAILA	42968	CIOCILE	2,802	2.14	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRAILA	43019	CIRESU	3,106	1.48	0	0
BRAILA	43073	DUDESTI	3,613	1.88	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRAILA	43117	FRECATEI	1,344	0.45	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRAILA	43180	GALBENU	3,168	6.28	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	43242	GEMENELE	1,819	0.00	0	0
BRAILA	43279	GRADISTEA	2,308	10.44	0	0
BRAILA	43313	GROPENI	3,296	2.46	0	0
BRAILA	43466	JIRLAU	3,059	4.35	0	0
BRAILA	43493	MARASU	2,913	0.31	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	43563	MAXINENI	3,310	1.30	0	0
BRAILA	43625	MIRCEA VODA	3,167	0.41	0	0
BRAILA	43652	MOVILA MIRESII	4,051	5.97	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	43698	RACOVITA	1,149	0.26	0	0
BRAILA	43732	RAMNICELU	2,074	11.57	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRAILA	43787	ROMANU	1,782	0.39	0	0
BRAILA	43812	ROSIORI	2,808	0.89	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRAILA	43867	SALCIA TUDOR	2,563	0.00	0	0
BRAILA	43929	SCORTARU NOU	1,261	0.00	0	0
BRAILA	43992	SILISTEA	1,638	0.00	0	0
BRAILA	44060	STANCUTA	3,464	0.12	0	0
BRAILA	44113	SURDILA-GAISE ANCA	2,501	2.28	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BRAILA	44140	SUTESTI	4,428	27.48	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRAILA	44177	TICHILESTI	3,864	2.95	0	0
BRAILA	44202	TRAIAN	3,339	3.80	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	44257	TUDOR VLADIMIRESCU	2,107	2.14	0	0
BRAILA	44300	TUFESTI	5,226	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BRAILA	44328	ULMU	3,877	2.61	24+%	Severe marginalization
BRAILA	44355	UNIREA	2,399	7.38	0	0
BRAILA	44391	VADENI	4,127	0.44	0	0
BRAILA	44435	VICTORIA	3,721	1.69	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	44462	VISANI	2,495	13.91	0	0
BRAILA	44505	VIZIRU	5,906	18.02	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BRAILA	44532	ZAVOAIA	3,152	3.68	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BRAILA	44560	CAZASU	2,939	0.88	0	0
BUZAU	44863	AMARU	2,640	1.29	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	44934	BALTA ALBA	2,590	0.97	0	0
BUZAU	44989	BALACEANU	1,632	2.39	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	45003	BECENI	4,403	0.07	0	0
BUZAU	45101	BERCA	8,534	0.57	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	45245	BISOCA	2,791	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	45334	BLAJANI	1,132	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	45361	BOLDU	2,380	6.81	0	0
BUZAU	45389	BOZIORU	1,161	0.43	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	45496	BRADEANU	2,565	3.04	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	45539	BRAESTI	2,399	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	45619	BREAZA	2,913	2.71	0	0
BUZAU	45673	BUDA	2,870	13.94	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	45753	CALVINI	4,536	40.39	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	45815	C.A. ROSETTI	3,713	0.13	0	0
BUZAU	45888	CANESTI	898	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	45959	CATINA	2,544	8.33	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	46019	CERNATESTI	3,847	0.18	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	46108	CHILIILE	623	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	46180	CHIOJDU	3,509	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BUZAU	46251	CILIBIA	1,864	5.47	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	46313	CISLAU	4,697	3.34	0	0
BUZAU	46377	COCHIRLEANCA	5,092	0.22	0	0
BUZAU	46439	COLTI	1,094	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	46484	COSTESTI	4,817	6.98	0	0
BUZAU	46554	COZIENI	2,139	0.56	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	46769	GALBINASI	4,116	2.94	0	0
BUZAU	46803	GHERASENI	3,456	1.71	0	0
BUZAU	46830	GHERGHEASA	2,493	1.76	0	0
BUZAU	46867	GLODEANU SARAT	4,469	6.22	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	46910	GLODEANU-SILI STEA	3,998	1.23	0	0
BUZAU	47006	GREBANU	5,319	8.40	0	0
BUZAU	47079	GURA TEGHII	3,439	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	47159	LARGU	1,526	0.07	0	0
BUZAU	47186	LOPATARI	4,242	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	47300	LUCIU	2,911	6.53	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	47337	MAGURA	2,241	13.74	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	47373	MARACINENI	8,279	0.33	0	0
BUZAU	47417	MARGARITESTI	697	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	47453	MEREI	6,803	3.79	0	0
BUZAU	47578	MIHAILESTI	2,084	26.92	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	47630	MANZALESTI	2,591	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	47774	MOVILA BANULUI	2,726	7.67	0	0
BUZAU	47818	MURGESTI	966	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	47854	NAENI	1,805	0.44	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	48021	ODAILE	882	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	48138	PADINA	4,111	1.61	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	48165	PARDOSI	453	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	48227	PANATAU	2,537	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	48487	PIETROASELE	3,301	4.45	0	0
BUZAU	48557	PARSCOV	5,654	1.13	0	0
BUZAU	48682	PODGORIA	3,236	1.58	0	0
BUZAU	48771	POSTA CALNAU	5,968	1.31	0	0
BUZAU	48842	PUIESTI	4,146	5.62	0	0
BUZAU	48922	RACOVITENI	1,424	0.49	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	48968	RAMNICELU	4,789	39.24	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	49019	ROBEASCA	1,124	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
BUZAU	49046	RUSETU	3,720	3.06	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	49073	SAGEATA	4,949	0.12	0	0
BUZAU	49153	SAHATENI	3,248	0.09	0	0
BUZAU	49206	SAPOCA	3,305	0.21	0	0
BUZAU	49233	SARULESTI	1,346	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	49313	SCORTOASA	3,076	4.06	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	49439	SCUTELNICI	2,346	4.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	49484	SIRIU	3,211	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	49545	SMEENI	6,649	1.34	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	49625	STALPU	3,193	7.14	0	0
BUZAU	49643	TISAU	4,704	0.00	0	0
BUZAU	49769	TOPLICENI	4,080	2.97	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
BUZAU	49849	TINTESTI	4,518	4.69	0	0
BUZAU	49894	ULMENI	3,199	5.19	0	0
BUZAU	49956	VADU PASII	9,311	1.24	0	0
BUZAU	50022	VALEA RAMNICULUI	5,425	0.18	0	0
BUZAU	50068	VALEA SALCIEI	776	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
BUZAU	50102	VERNESTI	8,633	8.73	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	50228	VINTILA VODA	3,131	0.03	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	50326	VIPERESTI	3,493	11.77	24+%	Severe marginalization
BUZAU	50399	VALCELELE	1,587	5.92	0	0
BUZAU	50415	ZARNESTI	5,459	8.43	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
BUZAU	50479	ZIDURI	4,402	3.63	0	0
BUZAU	50549	UNGURIU	2,415	0.12	0	0
BUZAU	50564	FLORICA	1,597	1.19	0	0
CONSTANTA	60570	AGIGEA	6,992	0.23	0	0
CONSTANTA	60598	23 August	5,483	0.36	0	0
CONSTANTA	60632	LIMANU	6,270	1.34	0	0
CONSTANTA	60721	TUZLA	6,711	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	60883	ADAMCLISI	2,250	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CONSTANTA	60945	ALBESTI	3,428	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61005	ALIMAN	2,876	0.14	0	0
CONSTANTA	61121	CASTELU	4,856	7.06	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CONSTANTA	61167	CERCHEZU	1,399	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61210	CHIRNOGENI	3,283	0.61	0	0
CONSTANTA	61256	CIOBANU	3,223	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CONSTANTA	61283	CIOCARLIA	3,220	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61318	COBADIN	8,779	3.84	0	0
CONSTANTA	61372	COGEALAC	5,039	0.06	0	0
CONSTANTA	61452	COMANA	1,804	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61513	CORBU	5,689	0.79	0	0
CONSTANTA	61559	CRUCEA	2,945	0.14	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CONSTANTA	61620	CUMPANA	12,333	1.76	0	0
CONSTANTA	61675	DELENI	2,388	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61737	DOBROMIR	3,031	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
CONSTANTA	61808	GARLICIU	1,619	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61826	GHINDARESTI	1,973	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	61871	INDEPENDENTA	3,121	0.03	0	0
CONSTANTA	61951	ION CORVIN	1,996	1.40	0	0
CONSTANTA	62020	ISTRIA	2,443	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62057	LIPNITA	3,168	1.23	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CONSTANTA	62137	MERENI	2,227	1.17	0	0
CONSTANTA	62191	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	9,978	2.45	0	0
CONSTANTA	62253	MIHAI VITEAZU	3,244	4.84	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CONSTANTA	62280	MIRCEA VODA	4,886	0.45	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CONSTANTA	62440	NICOLAE BALCESCU	4,757	1.45	0	0
CONSTANTA	62486	OLTINA	2,593	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62538	OSTROV	5,069	3.69	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CONSTANTA	62609	PANTELIMON	1,608	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CONSTANTA	62672	PECINEAGA	3,189	0.34	0	0
CONSTANTA	62707	PESTERA	3,307	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62761	POARTA ALBA	5,208	0.63	0	0
CONSTANTA	62798	RASOVA	3,762	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CONSTANTA	62823	SARAIU	1,282	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62878	SACELE	2,101	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62903	SEIMENI	2,023	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62949	SILISTEA	1,373	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	62985	TARGUSOR	1,616	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63045	TOPALU	1,785	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63072	TOPRAISAR	5,533	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CONSTANTA	63125	VALU LUI TRAIAN	12,376	1.80	0	0
CONSTANTA	63152	LUMINA	8,948	1.37	0	0
CONSTANTA	63161	DUMBRAVENI	552	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63170	VULTURU	625	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63189	TORTOMAN	1,697	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CONSTANTA	63198	AMZACEA	2,712	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63278	HORIA	1,115	0.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CONSTANTA	63286	COSTINESTI	2,866	0.42	0	0
CONSTANTA	63294	BARAGANU	1,991	0.30	0	0
CONSTANTA	63300	CUZA VODA	3,586	21.47	24+%	Severe marginalization
CONSTANTA	63318	SALIGNY	2,158	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63326	GRADINA	1,050	0.00	0	0
CONSTANTA	63334	FANTANELE	1,585	0.00	0	0
GALATI	75114	SENDRENI	3,641	0.03	0	0
GALATI	75150	VANATORI	4,864	0.00	0	0
GALATI	75221	DRAGANESTI	4,852	8.62	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	75258	MUNTENI	6,791	10.71	0	0
GALATI	75356	BERESTI-MERIA	3,771	0.32	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75515	BARCEA	4,957	16.44	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GALATI	75542	BALABANESTI	2,080	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	75613	BALASESTI	2,295	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75668	BALENI	2,332	0.13	0	0
GALATI	75686	BANEASA	1,825	0.88	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75711	BRANISTEA	3,972	0.03	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	75766	BRAHASESTI	8,847	59.17	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75819	BUCIUMENI	2,326	16.34	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GALATI	75864	CAVADINESTI	3,125	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75917	CERTESTI	2,209	0.81	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	75953	COROD	7,334	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76004	CORNI	2,066	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76040	COSMESTI	5,196	0.79	0	0
GALATI	76111	COSTACHE NEGRI	2,287	0.04	0	0
GALATI	76139	CUCA	2,150	0.00	0	0
GALATI	76157	CUDALBI	6,319	0.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76175	DRAGUSENI	4,899	1.43	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	76255	FARTANESTI	5,184	0.19	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	76282	FOLTESTI	3,057	3.21	0	0
GALATI	76317	FRUMUSITA	4,800	14.88	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
GALATI	76353	FUNDENI	3,669	0.00	0	0
GALATI	76406	GHIDIGENI	5,821	26.97	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	76497	GOHOR	3,193	0.22	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	76558	GRIVITA	2,977	4.50	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	76585	INDEPENDENTA	4,375	1.62	0	0
GALATI	76601	IVESTI	8,441	18.20	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GALATI	76638	JORASTI	1,779	0.06	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	76674	LIESTI	8,902	7.67	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76692	MATCA	11,605	0.00	0	0
GALATI	76718	MASTACANI	4,606	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	76745	MOVILENI	3,269	16.43	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76763	NAMOLOASA	2,180	0.00	0	0
GALATI	76807	NICORESTI	3,602	0.42	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	76932	OANCEA	1,441	0.62	0	0
GALATI	76969	PECHEA	10,152	0.18	0	0
GALATI	76996	PISCU	4,746	1.12	0	0
GALATI	77028	PRIPONESTI	2,223	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	77082	REDIU	1,891	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77126	SCANTEIESTI	2,490	0.04	0	0
GALATI	77153	SCHELA	3,690	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77180	SLOBOZIA CONACHI	4,024	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77224	SMARDAN	4,810	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77260	SMULTI	1,342	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	77288	SUCEVENI	1,819	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77313	TUDOR VLADIMIRESCU	4,872	0.31	0	0
GALATI	77331	TULUCESTI	7,200	0.07	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	77377	TEPU	2,399	0.29	0	0
GALATI	77402	UMBRARESTI	6,628	5.66	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GALATI	77475	VALEA MARULUI	3,894	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77509	VARLEZI	2,001	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	77536	VLADESTI	1,977	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
GALATI	77561	RADESTI	1,490	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GALATI	77579	NEGRILESTI	2,405	0.21	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
GALATI	77587	POIANA	1,686	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GALATI	77595	CUZA VODA	2,580	0.00	0	0
GALATI	77601	SUHURLUI	1,291	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	159785	BAIA	4,758	0.36	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TULCEA	159847	BEIDAUD	1,608	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	159883	C.A. ROSETTI	910	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	159945	CARCALIU	2,457	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	159963	CASIMCEA	2,976	2.18	0	0
TULCEA	160047	CEATALCHIOI	593	0.17	0	0
TULCEA	160092	CEAMURLIA DE JOS	2,163	0.37	0	0
TULCEA	160127	CERNA	3,529	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160172	CHILIA VECHE	2,132	0.23	0	0
TULCEA	160225	CIUCUROVA	1,977	14.82	24+%	Severe marginalization
TULCEA	160261	CRISAN	1,228	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160305	DAENI	2,016	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TULCEA	160323	DOROBANTU	1,429	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160387	FRECATEI	3,426	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160430	GRECI	5,117	0.25	0	0
TULCEA	160458	GRINDU	1,356	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160476	HAMCEARCA	1,414	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160528	HORIA	1,248	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TULCEA	160564	IZVOARELE	2,049	0.20	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TULCEA	160617	JIJILA	5,312	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160644	JURILOVCA	3,935	0.15	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TULCEA	160680	LUNCAVITA	4,244	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	160724	MAHMUDIA	2,341	0.09	0	0
TULCEA	160779	MALIUC	856	0.12	0	0
TULCEA	160831	MIHAI BRAVU	2,356	0.30	0	0
TULCEA	160877	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	2,735	1.02	0	0
TULCEA	160911	MURIGHIOL	3,217	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TULCEA	160993	NALBANT	2,522	0.04	0	0
TULCEA	161035	NICULITEL	4,297	5.26	0	0
TULCEA	161053	NUFARU	2,273	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161106	OSTROV	1,925	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161133	PARDINA	527	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161151	PECENEAGA	1,569	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161179	SARICHIOI	5,856	0.05	0	0
TULCEA	161231	SFANTU GHEORGHE	797	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161259	SLAVA CERCHEZA	1,666	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
TULCEA	161286	SMARDAN	1,077	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161302	SOMOVA	4,388	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161348	STEJARU	1,570	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161384	TOPOLOG	4,698	0.57	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TULCEA	161464	TURCOAIA	3,187	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161482	VALEA NUCARILOR	3,266	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161525	I.C.BRATIANU	1,187	0.67	0	0
TULCEA	161543	VACARENI	2,201	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161552	BESTEPE	1,667	0.00	0	0
TULCEA	161561	VALEA TEILOR	1,447	1.24	0	0
VRANCEA	174780	CAMPINEANCA	3,501	0.43	0	0
VRANCEA	174824	GOLESTI	4,115	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	175126	ANDREIASU DE JOS	1,655	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	175206	BALESTI	1,941	0.88	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	175224	BARSESTI	1,299	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	175260	BOGHESTI	1,680	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	175368	BOLOTESTI	4,231	0.02	0	0
VRANCEA	175439	BORDESTI	1,683	3.27	0	0
VRANCEA	175466	BROSTENI	2,054	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	175509	CHIOJDENI	2,322	25.45	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	175590	CIORASTI	3,150	6.83	0	0
VRANCEA	175670	CAMPURI	3,475	0.23	0	0
VRANCEA	175732	CARLIGELE	3,116	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	175787	CORBITA	1,793	0.28	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	175885	COTESTI	4,641	0.17	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	175938	DUMBRAVENI	4,281	0.33	0	0
VRANCEA	175983	DUMITRESTI	4,602	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	176150	FITIONESTI	2,286	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	176212	GAROAFA	4,037	0.12	0	0
VRANCEA	176301	GUGESTI	5,942	0.34	0	0
VRANCEA	176338	GURA CALITEI	2,473	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	176445	HOMOCEA	6,625	8.02	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	176506	JARISTEA	4,204	0.33	0	0
VRANCEA	176551	JITIA	1,609	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	176613	MAICANESTI	4,612	1.82	0	0
VRANCEA	176686	MERA	3,453	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	176748	MILCOVUL	2,995	0.47	0	0
VRANCEA	176793	MOVILITA	3,183	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VRANCEA	176855	NANESTI	2,055	0.34	0	0
VRANCEA	176891	NARUJA	1,659	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VRANCEA	176944	NEREJU	4,187	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	177003	NISTORESTI	1,917	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	177101	PALTIN	1,861	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	177236	PAUNESTI	5,898	0.15	0	0
VRANCEA	177263	POIANA CRISTEI	2,650	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VRANCEA	177352	PUFESTI	3,646	3.59	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	177405	RACOASA	3,162	3.23	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	177469	REGHIU	2,126	0.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VRANCEA	177557	RUGINESTI	3,497	0.80	0	0
VRANCEA	177600	SIHLEA	5,039	5.89	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	177655	SLOBOZIA BRADULUI	7,010	70.39	24+%	Severe marginalization
VRANCEA	177726	SLOBOZIA CIORASTI	1,699	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	177762	SOVEJA	2,159	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	177799	STRAOANE	3,235	0.19	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	177842	SURAIA	4,595	3.16	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	177879	TANASOAIA	1,972	0.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	177986	TATARANU	3,952	1.06	0	0
VRANCEA	178046	TAMBOESTI	2,887	48.08	0	0
VRANCEA	178117	TULNICI	3,450	2.26	0	0
VRANCEA	178180	TIFESTI	5,197	0.08	0	0
VRANCEA	178279	URECHESTI	2,532	0.83	0	0
VRANCEA	178313	VALEA SARII	1,608	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178377	VIDRA	6,295	0.65	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	178475	VINTILEASCA	1,981	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VRANCEA	178545	VIZANTEA-LIVEZI	3,793	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	178607	VANATORI	5,164	0.06	0	0
VRANCEA	178689	VARTESCOIU	3,151	0.03	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VRANCEA	178750	VRANCIOAIA	2,576	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178821	VULTURU	6,277	3.57	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	178885	NEGRILESTI	1,816	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178894	PLOSCUTENI	3,114	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VRANCEA	178901	POPESTI	2,753	0.11	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VRANCEA	178910	PAULESTI	1,834	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178929	BILIESTI	1,833	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178938	GOLOGANU	3,040	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178947	OBREJITA	1,583	1.07	0	0
VRANCEA	178956	RASTOACA	1,811	0.00	0	0
VRANCEA	178965	SPULBER	1,279	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

ANNEX 2. TABLE 6: Rate of marginalization at commune level in South Muntenia development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ARGES	13187	BASCOV	10,218	0.76	0	0
ARGES	13276	BRADU	7,130	1.23	0	0
ARGES	13365	MARACINENI	5,193	1.50	0	0
ARGES	13524	VALEA MARE PRAVAT	4,066	22.55	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	13819	ALBESTII DE ARGES	5,456	0.42	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	13891	ALBESTII DE MUSCEL	1,578	0.00	0	0
ARGES	13935	ALBOTA	3,842	0.29	0	0
ARGES	13999	ANINOASA	3,299	18.28	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	14049	AREFU	2,405	0.00	0	0
ARGES	14085	BABANA	2,820	0.00	0	0
ARGES	14165	BAICULESTI	5,826	1.87	0	0
ARGES	14272	BALILESTI	4,105	4.12	0	0
ARGES	14352	BELETI-NEGRESTI	1,941	1.03	0	0
ARGES	14405	BEREVOESTI	3,372	27.22	24+%	Severe marginalization
ARGES	14450	BARLA	5,142	0.06	0	0
ARGES	14584	BOGATI	4,636	1.14	0	0
ARGES	14673	BOTENI	2,495	1.60	0	0
ARGES	14726	BOTESTI	1,207	0.00	0	0
ARGES	14753	BRADULET	1,867	0.00	0	0
ARGES	14851	BUDEASA	4,004	2.05	0	0
ARGES	14922	BUGHEA DE JOS	2,862	12.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	14940	BUZOESTI	5,975	0.05	0	0
ARGES	15064	CALDARARU	2,562	0.31	0	0
ARGES	15108	CALINESTI	10,872	0.74	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	15233	CATEASCA	4,006	0.72	0	0
ARGES	15313	CEPARI	2,289	0.00	0	0
ARGES	15402	CETATENI	3,057	25.35	24+%	Severe marginalization
ARGES	15448	CICANESTI	2,107	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ARGES	15493	CIOFRANGENI	2,326	0.00	0	0
ARGES	15554	CIOMAGESTI	1,172	0.00	0	0
ARGES	15652	COCU	2,420	0.00	0	0
ARGES	15741	CORBENI	5,384	0.07	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	15830	CORBI	3,784	0.50	0	0
ARGES	15901	COSESTI	5,358	9.29	0	0
ARGES	15983	COTMEANA	2,148	0.00	0	0
ARGES	16132	CUCA	2,108	0.00	0	0
ARGES	16285	DAVIDESTI	3,111	21.83	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	16329	DAMBOVICIOARA	943	0.00	0	0
ARGES	16365	DARMANESTI	3,513	0.57	0	0
ARGES	16427	DOBRESTI	1,808	0.06	0	0
ARGES	16454	DOMNESTI	3,201	1.09	0	0
ARGES	16472	DRAGOSLAVELE	2,613	21.24	24+%	Severe marginalization
ARGES	16506	DRAGANU	2,026	0.00	0	0
ARGES	16551	GODENI	3,037	0.46	0	0
ARGES	16613	HARSESTI	2,480	1.85	0	0
ARGES	16659	HARTIESTI	2,165	27.39	24+%	Severe marginalization
ARGES	16739	IZVORU	2,292	16.01	0	0
ARGES	16757	LEORDENI	5,994	3.65	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ARGES	16908	LERESTI	4,632	6.54	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	16944	LUNCA CORBULUI	2,954	0.00	0	0
ARGES	17049	MALURENI	4,825	8.37	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	17101	MERISANI	4,569	6.39	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	17209	MICESTI	4,388	0.11	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	17254	MIHAESTI	5,909	19.50	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	17334	MIOARELE	1,624	0.00	0	0
ARGES	17398	MIROSI	2,544	0.00	0	0
ARGES	17423	MORARESTI	2,105	0.00	0	0
ARGES	17496	MOSOAIA	5,693	0.44	0	0
ARGES	17575	MOZACENI	2,242	0.00	0	0
ARGES	17619	MUSATESTI	3,870	1.14	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	17726	NEGRASI	2,387	1.09	0	0
ARGES	17771	NUCSOARA	1,442	0.07	0	0
ARGES	17824	OARJA	2,948	2.85	0	0
ARGES	17851	PIETROSANI	5,702	5.00	0	0
ARGES	17913	POIENARII DE ARGES	1,117	1.43	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ARGES	17968	POIENARII DE MUSCEL	3,299	7.67	0	0
ARGES	18028	POIANA LACULUI	6,642	0.09	0	0
ARGES	18162	POPESTI	2,191	0.00	0	0
ARGES	18242	PRIBOIENI	3,549	2.09	0	0
ARGES	18331	RATESTI	3,166	6.60	0	0
ARGES	18411	RECEA	2,992	2.84	0	0
ARGES	18475	ROCIU	2,673	0.34	0	0
ARGES	18527	RUCAR	5,752	0.97	0	0
ARGES	18554	SALATRUCU	2,220	0.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	18581	SAPATA	1,782	0.22	0	0
ARGES	18670	SCHITU GOLESTI	4,679	15.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	18741	SLOBOZIA	4,619	1.82	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	18778	STALPENI	4,868	0.47	0	0
ARGES	18858	STOENESTI	4,379	12.22	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	18938	STOLNICI	3,382	3.08	0	0
ARGES	19007	SUSENI	3,467	0.58	0	0
ARGES	19114	STEFAN CEL MA RE	2,443	0.04	0	0
ARGES	19141	SUICI	2,561	0.00	0	0
ARGES	19212	TEIU	1,594	2.01	0	0
ARGES	19249	TIGVENI	3,444	0.35	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	19338	TITESTI	4,937	3.36	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARGES	19392	UDA	2,174	0.00	0	0
ARGES	19560	UNGHENI	3,187	0.03	0	0
ARGES	19631	VALEA DANULUI	2,802	0.00	0	0
ARGES	19695	VALEA IASULUI	2,533	0.00	0	0
ARGES	19793	VEDEA	4,041	0.00	0	0
ARGES	19999	VLADESTI	3,092	9.80	0	0
ARGES	20048	RACA	1,271	0.00	0	0
ARGES	20055	VULTURESTI	2,887	2.29	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARGES	20063	BUGHEA DE SUS	2,997	30.13	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	92587	MODELU	9,839	1.94	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	92961	BORCEA	7,986	0.19	0	0
CALARASI	93085	CIOCANESTI	4,257	0.47	0	0
CALARASI	93281	CUZA VODA	4,045	0.00	0	0
CALARASI	93325	DICHISENI	1,734	0.12	0	0
CALARASI	93370	DOR MARUNT	6,809	9.40	0	0
CALARASI	93441	DOROBANTU	3,065	1.47	0	0
CALARASI	93487	DRAGALINA	8,537	11.01	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CALARASI	93539	DRAGOS VODA	2,862	4.82	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	93664	GRADISTEA	4,853	0.74	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CALARASI	93771	INDEPENDENTA	3,466	0.23	0	0
CALARASI	93815	JEGALIA	4,229	0.02	0	0
CALARASI	93851	LEHLIU	2,730	6.70	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	93931	LUPSANU	3,499	0.63	0	0
CALARASI	94125	ALEXANDRU ODOBESCU	2,816	0.21	0	0
CALARASI	94223	PERISORU	5,114	0.06	0	0
CALARASI	94312	ROSETI	6,070	0.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	94562	STEFAN CEL MA RE	3,236	0.00	0	0
CALARASI	94580	STEFAN VODA	2,270	2.25	0	0
CALARASI	94606	ULMU	1,561	0.00	0	0
CALARASI	94651	UNIREA	2,636	0.00	0	0
CALARASI	94731	VALCELELE	1,863	0.00	0	0
CALARASI	94768	VLAD TEPES	2,336	3.42	0	0
CALARASI	100638	MITRENI	4,323	5.25	0	0
CALARASI	101083	BELCIUGATELE	2,484	4.79	0	0
CALARASI	101724	CASCIOARELE	1,912	2.77	0	0
CALARASI	101804	CHIRNOGI	7,455	20.25	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CALARASI	101822	CHISELET	3,392	19.84	0	0
CALARASI	102419	CURCANI	5,672	32.28	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	102838	FRASINET	1,845	9.92	0	0
CALARASI	102945	FRUMUSANI	5,859	19.13	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	103014	FUNDENI	5,658	8.98	0	0
CALARASI	103568	GURBANESTI	1,380	6.45	0	0
CALARASI	103764	ILEANA	3,702	3.86	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	104083	LUICA	2,272	3.74	0	0
CALARASI	104181	MANASTIREA	5,612	14.06	0	0
CALARASI	104323	NANA	2,568	17.41	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	104341	NICOLAE BALCESCU	1,776	2.87	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CALARASI	104635	PLATARESTI	4,178	4.14	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CALARASI	104751	RADOVANU	4,394	2.05	0	0
CALARASI	104886	SARULESTI	3,262	25.41	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	105222	SOHATU	3,240	3.06	0	0
CALARASI	105259	SPANTOV	4,605	31.25	24+%	Severe marginalization
CALARASI	105384	SOLDANU	3,565	25.13	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CALARASI	105455	TAMADAU MARE	2,640	2.58	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CALARASI	105605	ULMENI	4,962	13.04	0	0
CALARASI	105712	VALEA ARGOVEI	2,637	2.77	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	105829	VASILATI	4,389	1.46	0	0
CALARASI	179962	GALBINASI	3,772	21.82	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CALARASI	180055	CRIVAT	2,243	4.28	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	65379	ANINOASA	6,344	0.06	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65413	DOICESTI	4,584	0.13	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65431	RAZVAD	8,521	0.15	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65477	SOTANGA	7,143	0.18	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65501	ULMI	4,359	1.97	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65645	MOTAIENI	2,069	0.43	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65707	GURA FOII	2,140	0.65	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65752	PETRESTI	5,791	0.19	0	0
DAMBOVITA	65869	IEDERA	4,052	8.84	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	66009	BRANESTI	4,097	0.02	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66152	BRANISTEA	4,398	2.18	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66198	BALENI	8,368	14.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	66223	BARBULETU	2,361	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66330	BEZDEAD	4,595	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66401	BILCIURESTI	1,889	1.43	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66438	BUCIUMENI	4,586	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66474	BUCSANI	6,864	2.32	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66526	CANDESTI	2,886	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66580	COBIA	3,180	0.03	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66697	COJASCA	8,276	71.96	24+%	Severe marginalization
DAMBOVITA	66731	COMISANI	5,400	0.06	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66768	CONTESTI	5,123	15.24	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	66857	CORBII MARI	8,316	10.26	0	0
DAMBOVITA	66955	CORNATELU	1,675	3.76	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	67014	CORNESTI	7,142	0.11	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DAMBOVITA	67121	COSTESTII DIN VALE	3,485	21.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	67167	CRANGURILE	3,394	22.54	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	67256	DARMANESTI	4,810	1.48	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67292	DOBRA	3,657	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67327	DRAGODANA	6,775	0.21	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67407	DRAGOMIRESTI	8,867	6.20	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67470	FINTA	4,225	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67522	GLODENI	4,226	0.17	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DAMBOVITA	67595	GURA OCNITEI	7,319	7.26	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	67648	GURA SUTII	5,462	6.68	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67675	HULUBESTI	3,101	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67737	I. L. CARAGIALE	7,697	15.88	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	67773	LUCIENI	3,131	1.69	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67835	LUDESTI	5,137	25.50	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DAMBOVITA	67906	LUNGULETU	5,586	0.05	0	0
DAMBOVITA	67942	MALU CU FLORI	2,484	0.04	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68002	MANESTI	5,127	12.76	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68048	MATASARU	5,462	7.51	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DAMBOVITA	68128	MOGOSANI	4,444	2.63	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68182	MOROENI	5,227	17.95	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	68253	MORTENI	3,042	0.66	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68280	NUCET	4,057	4.78	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68324	OCNITA	4,325	0.02	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68342	ODOBESTI	5,183	8.26	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	68404	PIETROSITA	3,170	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68431	POIANA	3,739	9.82	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	68468	POTLOGI	8,981	32.58	24+%	Severe marginalization
DAMBOVITA	68529	PRODULESTI	3,427	5.60	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68565	PUCHENI	1,861	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68716	RUNCU	4,327	0.05	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68789	SALCIOARA	4,081	0.25	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68887	SELARU	3,494	0.92	0	0
DAMBOVITA	68921	TATARANI	5,225	2.07	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DAMBOVITA	68976	ULIESTI	4,407	0.09	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DAMBOVITA	69063	VALEA LUNGA	4,770	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69170	VALEA MARE	2,400	4.42	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69250	VACARESTI	5,246	0.74	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69303	VALENI-DIMBO VITA	2,754	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69330	VISINA	4,103	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69394	VISINESTI	1,974	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69447	VIRFURI	1,842	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	69526	VOINESTI	6,203	0.08	0	0
DAMBOVITA	69615	VULCANA-BAI	3,052	0.07	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	101341	BREZOAELE	4,012	0.70	0	0
DAMBOVITA	101564	BUTIMANU	2,435	5.59	0	0
DAMBOVITA	101840	CIOCANESTI	5,571	9.39	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DAMBOVITA	102286	CREVEDIA	7,750	0.63	0	0
DAMBOVITA	104387	NICULESTI	4,964	3.18	0	0
DAMBOVITA	105142	SLOBOZIA MOARA	2,165	0.23	0	0
DAMBOVITA	105534	TARTASESTI	5,874	2.13	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179640	VULCANA-PAN DELE	5,134	0.33	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179711	VLADENI	2,807	10.01	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179891	RACIU	3,464	0.64	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179908	PIETRARI	2,533	0.95	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DAMBOVITA	179917	RAU ALB	1,564	0.00	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179926	RASCAETI	2,241	0.04	0	0
DAMBOVITA	179935	PERSINARI	2,750	5.16	0	0
GIURGIU	100549	SLOBOZIA	2,377	15.10	0	0
GIURGIU	100781	ADUNATII-COPA CENI	6,621	1.15	0	0
GIURGIU	101001	BANEASA	5,227	6.14	0	0
GIURGIU	101163	BOLINTIN-DEAL	5,921	0.32	0	0
GIURGIU	101378	BUCSANI	3,906	0.23	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GIURGIU	101519	BULBUCATA	1,591	0.00	0	0
GIURGIU	101617	BUTURUGENI	4,079	6.20	0	0
GIURGIU	101662	CALUGARENI	6,148	0.73	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GIURGIU	101984	CLEJANI	3,809	16.15	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	102071	COLIBASI	3,529	4.08	0	0
GIURGIU	102106	COMANA	7,222	7.88	0	0
GIURGIU	102348	CREVEDIA MARE	5,221	7.05	0	0
GIURGIU	102446	DAIA	2,851	0.70	0	0
GIURGIU	102794	FLORESTI-STOE NESTI	9,173	0.31	0	0
GIURGIU	102909	FRATESTI	5,361	5.45	0	0
GIURGIU	103087	GAISENI	5,514	7.09	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	103194	GAUJANI	2,513	14.13	24+%	Severe marginalization
GIURGIU	103238	GHIMPATI	6,064	7.06	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GIURGIU	103309	GOGOSARI	1,975	2.43	0	0
GIURGIU	103354	GOSTINU	2,032	0.05	0	0
GIURGIU	103372	GOSTINARI	2,634	23.65	24+%	Severe marginalization
GIURGIU	103407	GRADINARI	3,578	0.53	0	0
GIURGIU	103470	GREACA	2,543	0.39	0	0
GIURGIU	103639	HOTARELE	3,939	4.16	0	0
GIURGIU	103693	IEPURESTI	2,225	0.09	0	0
GIURGIU	103899	IZVOARELE	3,930	1.45	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GIURGIU	103997	JOITA	3,864	1.42	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
GIURGIU	104047	LETCA NOUA	3,817	3.75	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	104118	MIHAI BRAVU	2,586	1.39	0	0
GIURGIU	104225	MARSA	2,742	0.00	0	0
GIURGIU	104485	OGREZENI	4,906	0.00	0	0
GIURGIU	104519	OINACU	3,357	0.33	0	0
GIURGIU	104680	PRUNDU	4,386	16.55	0	0
GIURGIU	104715	PUTINEIU	2,486	7.00	0	0
GIURGIU	104788	RASUCENI	2,652	4.60	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	104831	ROATA DE JOS	8,296	4.94	0	0
GIURGIU	104975	SCHITU	1,985	2.07	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	105106	SINGURENI	3,191	8.77	0	0
GIURGIU	105295	STANESTI	3,000	0.90	0	0
GIURGIU	105348	STOENESTI	2,249	1.16	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	105623	ULMI	7,818	0.03	0	0
GIURGIU	105776	VALEA DRAGULUI	3,230	8.67	0	0
GIURGIU	105874	VARASTI	6,317	21.64	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GIURGIU	105909	VEDEA	3,108	12.32	0	0
GIURGIU	105972	VANATORII MICI	4,933	0.16	0	0
GIURGIU	154709	TOPORU	2,340	3.21	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GIURGIU	179739	MALU	2,376	0.84	0	0
GIURGIU	179748	COSOBA	2,611	0.00	0	0
GIURGIU	179757	HERASTI	2,369	19.29	0	0
GIURGIU	179766	ISVOARELE	1,751	2.46	0	0
GIURGIU	179775	SABARENI	2,864	1.82	0	0
IALOMITA	92783	ALBESTI	1,288	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	92872	ANDRASESTI	2,212	5.97	0	0
IALOMITA	92907	BALACIU	1,860	1.67	0	0
IALOMITA	92989	BORDUSANI	4,786	3.78	0	0
IALOMITA	93021	BUCU	2,323	0.13	0	0
IALOMITA	93101	CIOCHINA	3,217	0.53	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IALOMITA	93156	CIULNITA	2,400	0.08	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IALOMITA	93209	COCORA	2,058	2.82	0	0
IALOMITA	93236	COSAMBESTI	1,902	0.05	0	0
IALOMITA	93575	FACAENI	5,438	1.42	0	0
IALOMITA	93600	GHEORGHE DOJA	2,555	0.04	0	0
IALOMITA	93628	GHEORGHE LAZAR	2,319	0.22	0	0
IALOMITA	93646	GIURGENI	1,507	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	93717	GRINDU	2,209	0.91	0	0
IALOMITA	93735	GRIVITA	3,379	0.09	0	0
IALOMITA	93995	MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU	3,000	0.03	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
IALOMITA	94045	MILOSESTI	2,735	0.15	0	0
IALOMITA	94081	MOVILA	1,842	1.09	0	0
IALOMITA	94107	MUNTENI-BUZAU	3,428	0.29	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IALOMITA	94161	PERIETI	3,586	0.20	0	0
IALOMITA	94269	REVIGA	2,742	1.50	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IALOMITA	94330	SALCIOARA	2,336	1.67	0	0
IALOMITA	94376	SAVENI	3,276	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	94429	SCANTEIA	3,851	0.16	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
IALOMITA	94456	SFANTU GHEORGHE	2,038	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	94492	STELNICA	1,774	0.68	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IALOMITA	94535	SUDITI	2,026	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	94688	VALEA CIORII	1,855	0.05	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IALOMITA	94795	VLADENI	2,156	0.70	0	0
IALOMITA	100709	CIOCARLIA	806	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	100736	MANASIA	4,405	3.81	0	0
IALOMITA	100754	ADANCATA	2,723	0.07	0	0
IALOMITA	100852	ALEXENI	2,410	6.31	0	0
IALOMITA	100870	ARMASESTI	2,368	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	100923	AXINTELE	2,657	0.79	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IALOMITA	101056	BARCANESTI	3,895	16.43	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IALOMITA	101243	BRAZII	1,358	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
IALOMITA	102240	COSERENI	4,570	0.02	0	0
IALOMITA	102641	DRAGOESTI	1,082	0.37	0	0
IALOMITA	102703	DRIDU	3,551	0.28	0	0
IALOMITA	103283	GARBOVI	3,958	0.68	0	0
IALOMITA	103862	ION ROATA	3,752	12.13	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IALOMITA	103960	JILAVELE	3,538	2.15	0	0
IALOMITA	104270	MOVILITA	2,759	3.12	0	0
IALOMITA	105026	SINESTI	2,972	12.08	0	0
IALOMITA	105794	VALEA MACRISULUI	1,892	3.65	0	0
IALOMITA	179702	TRAIAN	3,168	16.41	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
IALOMITA	179784	BORANESTI	2,454	35.37	24+%	Severe marginalization
IALOMITA	179793	BUESTI	1,074	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	179800	MAIA	1,847	0.81	0	0
IALOMITA	179819	OGRADA	2,803	2.71	0	0
IALOMITA	179828	ROSIORI	2,174	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	179971	COLELIA	1,212	0.99	0	0
IALOMITA	179980	MARCULESTI	1,505	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
IALOMITA	179999	MOLDOVENI	1,247	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	180000	PLATONESTI	1,798	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	180019	SARATENI	1,290	5.04	0	0
IALOMITA	180046	GURA IALOMITEI	2,660	0.00	0	0
IALOMITA	180064	BARBULESTI	5,902	79.70	24+%	Severe marginalization
PRAHOVA	130552	BARCANESTI	9,384	3.20	0	0
PRAHOVA	130614	BERCENI	6,186	0.10	0	0
PRAHOVA	130678	BLEJOI	8,575	1.70	0	0
PRAHOVA	130712	BRAZI	8,094	0.11	0	0
PRAHOVA	130785	BUCOV	10,388	5.10	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
PRAHOVA	130847	PAULESTI	5,886	0.41	0	0
PRAHOVA	130892	TARGSORU VE CHI	9,117	0.08	0	0
PRAHOVA	131274	POIANA CAMPINA	4,746	0.34	0	0
PRAHOVA	131461	DUMBRAVESTI	3,537	8.59	0	0
PRAHOVA	131835	GURA VITIOAREI	6,003	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	131899	ADUNATI	2,104	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	131933	ALBESTI-PALEO LOGU	5,683	0.18	0	0
PRAHOVA	131988	ALUNIS	3,661	2.65	0	0
PRAHOVA	132011	APOSTOLACHE	2,164	2.36	0	0
PRAHOVA	132075	ARICESTII RAHTIVANI	8,704	2.78	0	0
PRAHOVA	132137	ARICESTII ZELETIN	1,224	0.16	0	0
PRAHOVA	132164	BABA ANA	3,894	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132226	BALTA DOAMNEI	2,652	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132271	BALTESTI	3,434	11.01	0	0
PRAHOVA	132315	BANESTI	5,240	0.15	0	0
PRAHOVA	132342	BERTEA	3,239	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132379	BOLDESTI-GRADISTEA	1,817	0.28	0	0
PRAHOVA	132404	BREBU	7,103	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132459	CALUGARENI	1,279	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132486	CARBUNESTI	1,642	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132510	CEPTURA	4,717	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132574	CERASU	4,628	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
PRAHOVA	132645	CHIOJDEANCA	1,728	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
PRAHOVA	132681	CIORANI	6,720	0.42	0	0
PRAHOVA	132716	COCORASTII MISLII	3,229	0.12	0	0
PRAHOVA	132752	COLCEAG	5,103	0.31	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
PRAHOVA	132805	CORNU	4,516	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132841	COSMINELE	1,068	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	132896	DRAJNA	5,168	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
PRAHOVA	133018	DRAGANESTI	4,941	3.93	0	0
PRAHOVA	133090	DUMBRAVA	4,505	16.56	0	0
PRAHOVA	133161	FILIPESTII DE PADURE	10,358	4.31	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
PRAHOVA	133214	FILIPESTII DE TA RG	7,689	15.96	0	0
PRAHOVA	133278	FANTANELE	1,953	0.31	0	0
PRAHOVA	133330	FLORESTI	6,993	2.66	0	0
PRAHOVA	133394	FULGA	3,482	3.62	0	0
PRAHOVA	133429	GHERGHITA	1,977	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
PRAHOVA	133508	GORGOTA	5,207	0.31	0	0
PRAHOVA	133562	GORNET	2,928	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
PRAHOVA	133615	GORNET-CRICOV	2,318	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	133688	GURA VADULUI	2,285	0.04	0	0
PRAHOVA	133722	IORDACHEANU	5,150	0.16	0	0
PRAHOVA	133795	IZVOARELE	6,577	1.60	0	0
PRAHOVA	133866	JUGURENI	613	0.49	0	0
PRAHOVA	133919	LAPOS	1,229	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	133964	LIPANESTI	5,308	1.21	0	0
PRAHOVA	134014	MAGURELE	4,749	0.53	0	0
PRAHOVA	134050	MAGURENI	5,777	0.02	0	0
PRAHOVA	134096	MANECIU	10,331	0.24	0	0
PRAHOVA	134194	MANESTI	3,994	0.33	0	0
PRAHOVA	134336	PACURETI	2,149	0.05	0	0
PRAHOVA	134390	PLOPU	2,359	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	134443	PODENII NOI	4,860	6.71	0	0
PRAHOVA	134559	POIENARII BURCHII	5,163	0.39	0	0
PRAHOVA	134648	POSESTI	3,990	1.38	0	0
PRAHOVA	134755	PREDEAL-SARARI	2,337	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	134853	PROVITA DE JOS	2,264	10.03	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
PRAHOVA	134899	PROVITA DE SUS	2,042	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
PRAHOVA	134942	PUCHENII MARI	8,825	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135020	RAFOV	5,297	1.23	0	0
PRAHOVA	135128	SALCIA	1,171	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135146	SALCIILE	1,945	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135164	SCORTENI	5,634	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135226	SECARIA	1,243	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135244	SANGERU	5,449	16.52	24+%	Severe marginalization
PRAHOVA	135315	STARCHIOJD	3,770	0.98	0	0
PRAHOVA	135404	SURANI	1,655	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135431	SIRNA	4,935	1.28	0	0
PRAHOVA	135501	SOIMARI	3,026	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135547	SOTRILE	3,328	16.02	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
PRAHOVA	135618	STEFESTI	2,137	0.19	0	0
PRAHOVA	135654	TALEA	1,074	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135681	TATARU	969	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135725	TEISANI	3,565	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	135789	TELEGA	5,523	0.24	0	0
PRAHOVA	135850	TINOSU	2,443	0.29	0	0
PRAHOVA	135896	TOMSANI	4,461	0.29	0	0
PRAHOVA	135949	VALEA CALUGAREASCA	10,657	0.31	0	0
PRAHOVA	136107	VALEA DOFTANEI	6,162	0.16	0	0
PRAHOVA	136134	VARBILAU	6,644	11.17	0	0
PRAHOVA	136198	VALCANESTI	3,502	6.25	0	0
PRAHOVA	136241	COCORASTII CO LT	2,837	2.47	0	0
PRAHOVA	136250	OLARI	2,146	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	136269	VADU SAPAT	1,678	0.00	0	0
PRAHOVA	136278	BATRANI	2,147	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	151709	CIUPERCENI	1,549	0.39	0	0
TELEORMAN	151736	ISLAZ	5,339	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	151763	LITA	2,687	0.11	0	0
TELEORMAN	151816	NANOV	3,586	1.76	0	0
TELEORMAN	151834	POROSCHIA	4,166	2.86	0	0
TELEORMAN	151932	CREVENICU	1,564	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	151996	BALACI	2,034	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	152038	BABAITA	3,032	0.10	0	0
TELEORMAN	152083	BLEJESTI	3,950	1.06	0	0
TELEORMAN	152127	BOGDANA	2,493	0.08	0	0
TELEORMAN	152172	BOTOROAGA	5,899	0.51	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TELEORMAN	152234	BRAGADIRU	3,969	0.55	0	0
TELEORMAN	152252	BRANCENI	2,881	6.56	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	152270	BUJORENI	1,092	0.64	0	0
TELEORMAN	152314	BUJORU	2,027	0.69	0	0
TELEORMAN	152332	BUZESCU	3,922	24.22	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	152350	CALINESTI	3,473	0.32	0	0
TELEORMAN	152412	CALMATUIU	2,188	0.91	0	0
TELEORMAN	152467	CALMATUIU DE SUS	2,282	0.66	0	0
TELEORMAN	152500	CERVENIA	3,190	2.48	0	0
TELEORMAN	152528	CIOLANESTI	3,143	0.10	0	0
TELEORMAN	152564	CONTESTI	3,479	2.13	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	152582	COSMESTI	2,600	0.27	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	152617	CRANGENI	2,878	0.24	0	0
TELEORMAN	152662	CRANGU	1,467	16.70	0	0
TELEORMAN	152724	DIDESTI	1,322	0.45	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
TELEORMAN	152760	DOBROTESTI	4,605	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	152797	DRACSENEI	1,791	1.68	0	0
TELEORMAN	152868	DRAGANESTI DE VEDE	2,154	0.60	0	0
TELEORMAN	152902	DRAGANESTI-VL ASCA	4,325	3.82	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TELEORMAN	152948	FURCULESTI	3,063	2.74	0	0
TELEORMAN	152993	FRUMOASA	2,203	0.32	0	0
TELEORMAN	153026	GALATENI	2,967	6.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TELEORMAN	153062	GRATIA	3,005	2.46	0	0
TELEORMAN	153106	IZVOARELE	2,578	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153124	LISA	2,107	1.61	0	0
TELEORMAN	153151	LUNCA	3,350	19.34	24+%	Severe marginalization
TELEORMAN	153204	MAVRODIN	2,693	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153240	MAGURA	2,811	1.21	0	0
TELEORMAN	153277	MALDAENI	4,092	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153295	MERENI	3,084	2.85	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	153339	MARZANESTI	3,885	3.84	0	0
TELEORMAN	153384	MOSTENI	1,622	0.68	0	0
TELEORMAN	153400	NASTURELU	2,619	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153437	NECSESTI	1,306	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
TELEORMAN	153473	OLTENI	3,289	0.70	0	0
TELEORMAN	153507	ORBEASCA	7,625	0.18	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TELEORMAN	153543	PERETU	6,329	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153561	PIATRA	3,392	3.71	0	0
TELEORMAN	153589	PIETROSANI	2,941	9.28	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TELEORMAN	153605	PLOSCA	5,900	0.42	0	0
TELEORMAN	153623	PLOPII-SLAVIT ESTI	2,581	0.85	0	0
TELEORMAN	153696	POENI	3,118	5.61	0	0
TELEORMAN	153776	VITANESTI	2,945	1.80	0	0
TELEORMAN	153829	PUTINEIU	2,371	8.48	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TELEORMAN	153865	RADOIESTI	2,187	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153909	RASMIRESTI	993	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	153936	SALCIA	2,659	1.13	0	0
TELEORMAN	153972	SACENI	1,373	0.36	24+%	Severe marginalization
TELEORMAN	154013	SCRIOASTEA	3,853	3.24	0	0
TELEORMAN	154068	SCURTU MARE	1,838	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154139	SEACA	2,270	5.07	0	0
TELEORMAN	154166	SFINTESTI	1,153	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154184	SEGARCEA-VALE	3,211	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154228	SILISTEA	2,513	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
TELEORMAN	154282	SILISTEA-GUM ESTI	2,633	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154308	SARBENI	1,617	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154344	SLOBOZIA MANDRA	1,819	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	154380	SMARDIOASA	2,385	10.94	0	0
TELEORMAN	154415	STEJARU	1,968	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154460	SUHAIA	2,338	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154497	STOROBANEASA	3,101	0.48	0	0
TELEORMAN	154521	TALPA	2,055	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154585	TATARASTII DE JOS	3,779	0.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TELEORMAN	154665	TATARASTII DE SUS	3,197	14.36	24+%	Severe marginalization
TELEORMAN	154736	TRAIAN	1,902	7.15	0	0
TELEORMAN	154754	TRIVALEA-MOS TENI	2,837	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	154790	TROIANUL	3,048	1.15	0	0
TELEORMAN	154834	TIGANESTI	4,508	0.64	0	0
TELEORMAN	154852	VEDEA	3,592	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154914	VIISOARA	1,889	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	154932	VARTOAPE	3,145	0.60	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TELEORMAN	154978	ZAMBREASCA	1,540	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TELEORMAN	154996	NENCIULESTI	2,477	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	155083	BECIU	1,641	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TELEORMAN	155092	BEUCA	1,371	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	155109	DRACEA	1,358	0.88	0	0
TELEORMAN	155118	FANTANELE	1,700	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	155127	FRASINET	2,623	1.41	0	0
TELEORMAN	155136	PURANI	1,524	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	155145	SAELELE	2,293	0.00	0	0
TELEORMAN	155154	UDA-CLOCOCIOV	1,582	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

ANNEX 2. TABLE 7: Rate of marginalization at commune level in South West development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DOLJ	69964	BUCOVAT	4,213	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	70094	ISALNITA	3,770	2.02	0	0
DOLJ	70110	PODARI	6,909	9.25	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	70174	SIMNICU DE SUS	4,627	0.26	0	0
DOLJ	70520	AFUMATI	2,633	2.62	0	0
DOLJ	70566	ALMAJ	1,974	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DOLJ	70637	AMARASTII DE JOS	5,520	22.16	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	70673	AMARASTII DE SUS	1,703	8.93	0	0
DOLJ	70726	APELE VII	2,112	0.38	0	0
DOLJ	70744	ARGETOAIA	4,382	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	70897	BISTRET	4,356	16.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	70940	BIRCA	3,689	20.44	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	70968	BOTOSESTI-PAIA	809	0.37	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	70986	BRABOVA	1,550	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	71055	BRADESTI	4,431	0.52	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	71126	BRALOSTITA	3,684	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	71199	BRATOVOESTI	3,313	0.12	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	71260	BREASTA	3,906	6.89	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	71340	BULZESTI	1,590	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	71457	CALOPAR	3,723	18.26	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	71518	CARAULA	2,423	30.46	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	71536	CARPEN	2,375	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	71572	CASTRANOVA	3,394	1.41	0	0
DOLJ	71607	CALARASI	5,977	0.03	0	0
DOLJ	71634	CELARU	4,593	1.55	0	0
DOLJ	71698	CERAT	4,226	34.07	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	71723	CERNATESTI	1,929	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	71787	CETATE	5,368	17.10	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	71812	CIOROIASI	1,595	0.31	0	0
DOLJ	71858	CIUPERCENII NOI	5,274	0.46	0	0
DOLJ	71885	COSOVENI	3,237	14.49	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	71910	COTOFENII DIN DOS	2,337	0.30	0	0
DOLJ	71956	DANETI	6,257	0.02	0	0
DOLJ	72034	DESA	4,740	0.44	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	72052	DIOSTI	3,054	0.88	0	0
DOLJ	72098	DOBRESTI	2,443	0.29	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	72150	DRAGOTESTI	2,174	0.14	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	72221	DRANIC	2,738	0.73	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DOLJ	72276	FARCAS	1,951	9.89	0	0
DOLJ	72383	GALICEA MARE	4,268	0.09	0	0
DOLJ	72409	GHERCESTI	1,690	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	72463	GIGHERA	3,131	7.98	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	72506	GIUBEGA	2,036	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	72533	GIURGITA	2,883	7.70	0	0
DOLJ	72579	GINGIOVA	2,478	10.13	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	72604	GOGOSU	723	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	72640	GOICEA	2,760	0.07	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	72677	GOIESTI	3,113	0.64	0	0
DOLJ	72819	GRECESTI	1,706	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	72882	IZVOARE	1,643	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	72926	LEU	4,824	0.15	0	0
DOLJ	72953	LIPOVU	3,313	33.81	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	72980	MACESU DE JOS	1,338	0.30	0	0
DOLJ	73013	MACESU DE SUS	1,348	5.27	0	0
DOLJ	73031	MAGLAVIT	4,875	7.02	0	0
DOLJ	73068	MALU MARE	3,780	9.50	0	0
DOLJ	73102	MELINESTI	3,890	1.59	0	0
DOLJ	73246	MISCHII	1,760	0.23	0	0
DOLJ	73317	MARSANI	4,745	1.33	0	0
DOLJ	73335	MOTATEI	6,935	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	73371	MURGASI	2,508	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	73460	NEGOI	2,235	40.76	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	73503	ORODEL	2,731	0.18	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	73567	OSTROVENI	5,062	3.32	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	73594	PERISOR	1,746	0.34	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	73629	PIELESTI	3,609	0.72	0	0
DOLJ	73665	PISCU VECHI	2,499	5.72	0	0
DOLJ	73709	PLENITA	4,686	4.23	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	73736	POIANA MARE	10,740	3.31	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	73772	PREDESTI	1,905	1.15	0	0
DOLJ	73852	RADOVAN	1,432	11.59	0	0
DOLJ	73905	RAST	3,343	8.32	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	73923	ROBANESTI	2,395	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	73996	SADOVA	7,976	17.55	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74028	SALCUTA	2,319	35.66	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
DOLJ	74073	SCAESTI	2,139	0.05	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	74108	SEACA DE CAMP	1,965	0.51	0	0
DOLJ	74135	SEACA DE PADURE	1,042	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74171	SECU	1,140	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74224	SILISTEA CRUCII	1,609	9.07	0	0
DOLJ	74242	SOPOT	1,836	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	74322	TEASC	3,253	0.03	0	0
DOLJ	74359	TERPEZITA	1,673	0.48	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	74411	TESLUI	2,432	2.38	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
DOLJ	74509	TUGLUI	2,834	0.49	0	0
DOLJ	74536	UNIREA	3,814	1.00	0	0
DOLJ	74554	URZICUTA	3,128	22.12	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	74581	VALEA STANCIULUI	5,642	3.15	0	0
DOLJ	74616	VELA	1,943	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	74705	VERBITA	1,342	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	74732	VARTOP	1,658	27.50	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	74750	VARVORU DE JOS	2,955	0.20	0	0
DOLJ	74842	CATANE	1,832	43.83	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74859	CARCEA	3,424	1.96	0	0
DOLJ	74867	CARNA	1,363	12.55	0	0
DOLJ	74875	COTOFENII DIN FATA	1,904	27.78	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74883	DOBROTESTI	1,733	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	74891	GALICIUICA	1,512	1.19	0	0
DOLJ	74907	GHIDICI	2,408	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
DOLJ	74915	GHINDENI	1,936	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
DOLJ	74923	INTORSURA	1,508	0.53	0	0
DOLJ	74931	PLESOI	1,395	0.00	0	0
DOLJ	74949	ROJISTE	2,421	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
DOLJ	74956	TALPAS	1,262	0.63	0	0
GORJ	77910	BALESTI	7,404	3.21	0	0
GORJ	78016	DRAGUTESTI	4,996	0.00	0	0
GORJ	78089	TURCINESTI	2,226	0.00	0	0
GORJ	78472	ALBENI	2,587	0.12	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GORJ	78542	ALIMPESTI	1,854	0.00	0	0
GORJ	78604	ANINOASA	3,914	1.18	0	0
GORJ	78668	ARCANI	1,346	0.07	0	0
GORJ	78711	BAIA DE FIER	3,984	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
GORJ	78748	BALANESTI	2,117	0.00	0	0
GORJ	78828	BARBATESTI	1,674	0.48	0	0
GORJ	78873	BENGESTI-CIOCADIA	3,116	10.59	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GORJ	78926	BERLESTI	2,149	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79004	BALTENI	7,126	2.53	0	0
GORJ	79077	BOLBOSI	3,126	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79157	BORASCU	3,492	1.12	0	0
GORJ	79237	BRANESTI	2,426	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79362	BUMBESTI-PITIC	2,105	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79406	BUSTUCHIN	3,376	1.13	0	0
GORJ	79497	CAPRENI	2,174	0.09	0	0
GORJ	79585	CATUNELE	2,551	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79656	CIUPERCENI	1,596	0.00	0	0
GORJ	79736	CALNIC	2,145	0.28	0	0
GORJ	79834	CRASNA	5,133	0.06	0	0
GORJ	79932	CRUSET	3,357	0.30	0	0
GORJ	80043	DANCIULESTI	2,269	0.13	0	0
GORJ	80123	DANESTI	3,875	0.18	0	0
GORJ	80249	DRAGOTESTI	2,505	0.08	0	0
GORJ	80285	FARCASESTI	3,289	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80365	GLOGOVA	1,889	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80427	GODINESTI	2,061	1.31	0	0
GORJ	80506	HUREZANI	1,613	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GORJ	80560	IONESTI	2,252	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80613	JUPANESTI	2,072	0.14	0	0
GORJ	80677	LELESTI	1,854	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80711	LICURICI	2,272	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80766	LOGRESTI	2,731	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GORJ	80846	MATASARI	5,027	0.06	0	0
GORJ	80908	MUSETESTI	1,985	0.00	0	0
GORJ	80980	NEGOMIR	3,555	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81095	PADES	4,800	0.13	0	0
GORJ	81184	PESTISANI	3,732	1.21	0	0
GORJ	81264	PLOPSORU	6,234	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81380	POLOVRAGI	2,820	14.33	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GORJ	81415	PRIGORIA	3,124	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81497	ROSIA DE AMARADIA	3,132	4.34	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
GORJ	81576	RUNCU	5,311	0.08	0	0
GORJ	81656	SAMARINESTI	1,739	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81754	SACELU	1,542	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81816	SAULESTI	2,110	0.00	0	0
GORJ	81861	SCOARTA	4,844	13.36	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
GORJ	81987	SCHELA	1,674	0.66	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
GORJ	82047	SLIVILESTI	3,227	0.00	0	0
GORJ	82136	STANESTI	2,310	0.09	0	0
GORJ	82243	STEJARI	2,585	0.00	0	0
GORJ	82314	STOINA	2,376	0.00	0	0
GORJ	82396	TELESTI	2,473	6.31	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
GORJ	82555	TURBUREA	4,076	0.00	0	0
GORJ	82680	TANTARENI	5,289	9.21	0	0
GORJ	82733	URDARI	3,024	0.00	0	0
GORJ	82779	VAGIULESTI	2,642	0.04	0	0
GORJ	82831	VLADIMIR	2,793	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	109826	SIMIAN	9,650	7.34	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MEHEDINTI	110027	OBARSIA-CLOSANI	953	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	110296	BALA	3,963	0.81	0	0
MEHEDINTI	110456	BALTA	1,120	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	110535	BALACITA	2,830	7.35	0	0
MEHEDINTI	110571	BACLES	2,070	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	110688	BALVANESTI	995	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	110740	BREZNITA-MOTRU	1,520	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MEHEDINTI	110820	BREZNITA-OCOL	3,859	1.04	0	0
MEHEDINTI	110875	BROSTENI	2,865	1.99	0	0
MEHEDINTI	110946	BURILA MARE	2,239	0.98	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MEHEDINTI	111006	BUTOIESTI	3,344	4.16	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	111097	CAZANESTI	2,303	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	111220	CIRESU	572	0.70	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MEHEDINTI	111275	CORCOVA	5,431	4.82	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	111417	CORLATEL	1,366	15.30	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111444	CUJMIR	3,221	1.37	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111480	DEVESEL	3,287	8.67	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111550	DARVARI	2,490	3.05	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	111587	DUMBRAVA	1,574	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111685	FLORESTI	2,603	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111783	GARLA MARE	3,382	31.37	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	111818	GODEANU	632	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	111863	GOGOSU	3,799	12.13	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111916	GRECI	1,292	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	111989	GROZESTI	1,990	0.25	24+%	Severe marginalization

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
MEHEDINTI	112030	GRUIA	3,030	32.18	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	112076	HINOVA	2,849	0.04	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	112129	HUSNICIOARA	1,393	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112245	ESELNITA	2,565	22.30	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	112263	ILOVAT	1,291	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112334	ILOVITA	1,316	0.08	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112370	ISVERNA	2,145	0.37	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112469	IZVORU BARZII	2,703	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112548	JIANA	4,695	17.81	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MEHEDINTI	112600	LIVEZILE	1,678	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MEHEDINTI	112664	MALOVAT	2,780	0.79	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112744	OBARSIA DE CAMP	1,780	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112771	OPRISOR	2,315	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	112806	PADINA	1,469	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MEHEDINTI	112879	PATULELE	3,636	0.33	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112904	DUBOVA	785	2.80	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112959	PODENI	854	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	112995	PONOARELE	2,425	0.21	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113153	POROINA MARE	1,048	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	113206	PRISTOL	1,457	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113233	PRUNISOR	2,029	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
MEHEDINTI	113395	PUNGHINA	2,936	25.48	24+%	Severe marginalization
MEHEDINTI	113466	ROGOVA	1,359	0.66	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113493	SALCIA	2,794	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113518	STANGACEAUA	1,367	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
MEHEDINTI	113607	SVINITA	925	0.86	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113625	SISESTI	2,959	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113698	SOVARNA	1,270	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113732	TAMNA	3,260	16.90	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	113849	VANATORI	1,964	1.22	0	0
MEHEDINTI	113894	VANJULET	1,884	18.90	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	113929	VLADAIA	1,735	16.83	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
MEHEDINTI	113974	VOLOIAC	1,694	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	114060	BRANISTEA	1,827	0.00	0	0
MEHEDINTI	114079	VRATA	1,599	22.58	24+%	Severe marginalization
OLT	125374	SLATIOARA	2,585	0.97	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
OLT	125490	DRAGHICENI	1,828	0.00	0	0
OLT	125588	GARCOV	2,303	0.00	0	0
OLT	125659	BABICIU	2,084	0.34	0	0
OLT	125677	BALDOVINESTI	1,089	0.00	0	0
OLT	125757	BARASTI	1,793	0.00	0	0
OLT	125846	BARZA	2,532	2.76	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
OLT	125873	BOBICESTI	3,314	0.12	0	0
OLT	125962	BRASTAVATU	4,830	1.45	0	0
OLT	125999	BREBENI	3,016	7.89	0	0
OLT	126022	BRANCOVENI	2,730	0.00	0	0
OLT	126077	BUCINISU	2,145	0.37	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	126102	CEZIENI	1,830	0.00	0	0
OLT	126148	CILIENI	3,244	0.00	0	0
OLT	126166	CARLOGANI	2,329	0.00	0	0
OLT	126228	COLONESTI	2,072	0.00	0	0
OLT	126326	CORBU	2,458	12.94	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	126380	COTEANA	2,435	1.15	0	0
OLT	126406	CRAMPOIA	3,651	0.00	0	0
OLT	126433	CURTISOARA	4,192	0.91	0	0
OLT	126503	CUNGREA	2,178	0.00	0	0
OLT	126585	DANEASA	3,827	2.25	0	0
OLT	126647	DEVESELU	3,157	0.13	0	0
OLT	126674	DOBRETU	1,227	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	126718	DOBROSLOVENI	3,736	1.37	0	0
OLT	126772	DOBROTEASA	1,831	0.00	0	0
OLT	126825	DOBRUN	1,546	0.00	0	0
OLT	126905	FAGETELU	1,219	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	126978	FALCOIU	4,004	2.27	0	0
OLT	127019	FARCASELE	4,683	1.17	0	0
OLT	127064	GANEASA	3,775	0.13	0	0
OLT	127126	GIUVARASTI	2,381	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	127144	GOSTAVATU	2,919	2.91	0	0
OLT	127171	GRADINARI	2,370	23.80	24+%	Severe marginalization
OLT	127224	GROJDIBODU	2,857	0.35	0	0
OLT	127251	IANCA	3,560	1.94	0	0
OLT	127288	IANCU JIANU	4,118	3.06	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	127322	ICOANA	1,917	0.00	0	0
OLT	127368	IZBICENI	4,807	2.79	0	0
OLT	127386	IZVOARELE	3,485	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	127411	LELEASCA	1,640	0.00	0	0
OLT	127493	MARUNTEI	4,163	0.43	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
OLT	127536	MIHAESTI	1,678	0.00	0	0
OLT	127563	MILCOV	1,546	0.52	0	0
OLT	127625	MORUNGLAV	2,545	0.12	0	0
OLT	127689	MOVILENI	3,443	0.12	0	0
OLT	127714	NICOLAE TITULESCU	1,271	0.08	0	0
OLT	127750	OBARSIA	2,902	1.69	0	0
OLT	127812	OBOGA	1,777	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
OLT	127858	OPORELU	1,250	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	127901	OPTASI-MAGURA	1,247	0.16	0	0
OLT	127938	ORLEA	2,331	0.39	0	0
OLT	127983	OSICA DE SUS	5,215	2.36	0	0
OLT	128052	PERIETI	2,215	0.00	0	0
OLT	128178	PARSCOVENI	3,062	0.00	0	0
OLT	128221	PLESOIU	3,105	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	128301	POBORU	2,034	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	128436	PRISEACA	1,580	0.00	0	0
OLT	128472	RADOMIRESTI	3,402	0.15	0	0
OLT	128524	REDEA	3,006	0.37	0	0
OLT	128560	ROTUNDA	2,841	0.18	0	0
OLT	128588	RUSANESTI	4,434	0.83	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	128613	SCARISOARA	3,002	4.33	0	0
OLT	128659	SCHITU	2,660	0.04	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
OLT	128864	SEACA	2,061	0.19	0	0
OLT	128882	SAMBURESTI	1,209	0.25	0	0
OLT	128962	SPINENI	2,069	0.87	0	0
OLT	129040	SPRANCENATA	2,694	1.19	24+%	Severe marginalization
OLT	129095	STOENESTI	2,422	10.94	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	129111	STOICANESTI	2,638	0.42	0	0
OLT	129139	STREJESTI	3,237	0.77	0	0
OLT	129184	STUDINA	2,985	0.00	0	0
OLT	129246	SERBANESTI	2,902	0.17	0	0
OLT	129282	STEFAN CEL MARE	1,808	1.22	0	0
OLT	129317	TATULESTI	1,088	0.00	0	0
OLT	129380	TESLUI	2,737	0.11	0	0
OLT	129460	TIA MARE	4,496	0.00	0	0
OLT	129503	TOPANA	991	0.00	0	0
OLT	129567	TRAIAN	3,264	0.09	0	0
OLT	129585	TUFENI	3,038	0.00	0	0
OLT	129629	URZICA	2,283	0.00	0	0
OLT	129656	VALEA MARE	3,829	2.40	0	0
OLT	129718	VADASTRA	1,449	0.35	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
OLT	129745	VADASTRITA	3,437	0.00	0	0
OLT	129763	VALENI	2,826	1.52	0	0
OLT	129816	VERGULEASA	3,139	1.75	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	129898	VISINA	2,930	0.00	0	0
OLT	129914	VITOMIRESTI	2,282	0.00	0	0
OLT	129987	VALCELE	2,526	0.00	0	0
OLT	130026	VLADILA	1,925	0.16	0	0
OLT	130062	VOINEASA	2,229	1.44	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
OLT	130124	VULPENI	2,255	0.00	0	0
OLT	130231	VULTURESTI	2,591	0.00	0	0
OLT	130286	BALTENI	1,694	0.00	0	0
OLT	130295	CALUI	1,519	0.07	0	0
OLT	130302	GAVANESTI	2,050	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
OLT	130311	GHIMPETENI	1,530	0.46	24+%	Severe marginalization
OLT	130320	GRADINILE	1,507	0.27	0	0
OLT	130339	GURA PADINII	1,693	0.24	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
OLT	130348	IPOTESTI	1,441	7.01	0	0
OLT	130357	OSICA DE JOS	1,567	2.74	0	0
OLT	130366	SARBII - MAGURA	2,053	0.00	0	0
OLT	130375	VISINA NOUA	1,767	0.96	0	0
OLT	130384	SOPARLITA	1,279	0.63	0	0
VALCEA	168229	ALUNU	4,109	3.82	0	0
VALCEA	168309	AMARASTI	1,826	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	168559	BARBATESTI	3,318	0.03	0	0
VALCEA	168675	BERISLAVESTI	2,769	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	168755	BOISOARA	1,313	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	168791	BUDESTI	5,694	0.95	0	0
VALCEA	168880	BUJORENI	4,410	3.42	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VALCEA	168960	BUNESTI	2,639	6.90	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VALCEA	169039	CERNISOARA	3,782	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169119	CAINENI	2,500	7.48	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VALCEA	169182	COPACENI	2,603	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169253	COSTESTI	3,244	0.22	0	0
VALCEA	169306	CRETENI	2,151	1.16	0	0
VALCEA	169351	DAESTI	2,899	8.97	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VALCEA	169404	DANICEI	2,041	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169547	DRAGOESTI	1,980	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169583	FAURESTI	1,559	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169681	FARTATESTI	3,976	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	169896	FRANCESTI	4,988	9.44	0	0
VALCEA	169994	GALICEA	3,748	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VALCEA	170097	GHIOROIU	1,822	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	170168	GLAVILE	2,027	0.05	0	0
VALCEA	170220	GOLESTI	2,540	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	170346	GRADISTEA	2,622	2.59	0	0
VALCEA	170444	GUSOENI	1,535	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	170514	IONESTI	4,130	0.17	0	0
VALCEA	170612	LALOSU	2,478	15.78	0	0
VALCEA	170685	LADESTI	2,036	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	170792	LAPUSATA	2,154	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	170872	LIVEZI	2,301	0.04	0	0
VALCEA	170952	LUNGESTI	3,045	7.00	0	0
VALCEA	171021	MALAIA	1,703	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171067	MATEESTI	3,096	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171101	MACIUCA	1,797	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171209	MADULARI	1,459	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
VALCEA	171272	MALDARESTI	1,809	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171325	MIHAESTI	6,443	0.47	0	0
VALCEA	171469	MILCOIU	1,265	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171539	MUEREASCA	2,467	1.26	0	0
VALCEA	171628	NICOLAE BALCESCU	3,462	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171806	OLANU	2,890	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171879	ORLESTI	3,198	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	171931	OTESANI	2,641	0.57	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VALCEA	171995	PAUSESTI	2,717	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172082	PAUSESTI-MAGLASI	3,992	4.23	0	0
VALCEA	172153	PERISANI	2,326	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172279	PESCEANA	1,692	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172340	PIETRARI	2,881	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172377	POPESTI	2,972	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172457	PRUNDENI	3,990	0.38	0	0
VALCEA	172509	RACOVITA	1,822	7.90	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VALCEA	172581	ROESTI	2,105	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172698	ROSIILE	2,759	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172812	RUNCU	980	0.51	0	0
VALCEA	172894	SALATRUCEL	1,983	0.50	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VALCEA	172947	SCUNDU	1,861	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	172992	SINESTI	2,297	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173061	SLATIOARA	3,293	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173132	STANESTI	1,270	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173230	STOENESTI	3,409	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173374	STOILESTI	3,747	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173533	STROESTI	2,809	0.07	0	0
VALCEA	173597	SUTESTI	2,031	0.30	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
VALCEA	173686	SIRINEASA	2,404	0.33	0	0
VALCEA	173748	STEFANESTI	3,248	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173793	SUSANI	3,291	0.12	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
VALCEA	173855	TETOIU	2,683	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	173935	TOMSANI	3,729	0.48	0	0
VALCEA	174021	VAIDEENI	3,946	5.78	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
VALCEA	174085	VALEA MARE	2,610	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	174156	VLADESTI	2,883	2.64	0	0
VALCEA	174218	VOICESTI	1,612	3.23	0	0
VALCEA	174254	VOINEASA	1,455	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	174290	ZATRENI	2,498	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	174496	TITESTI	898	0.67	0	0
VALCEA	174502	DICULESTI	1,981	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	174511	LACUSTENI	1,477	0.00	0	0
VALCEA	174520	MITROFANI	945	0.00	0	0

ANNEX 2. TABLE 8: Rate of marginalization at commune level in West development region

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ARAD	9280	FANTINELE	3,090	0.55	0	0
ARAD	9333	LIVADA	2,960	0.27	0	0
ARAD	9360	SOFRONEA	2,575	0.00	0	0
ARAD	9397	VLADIMIRESCU	10,710	0.49	0	0
ARAD	9743	ALMAS	2,532	1.78	0	0
ARAD	9798	APATEU	3,176	2.74	0	0
ARAD	9832	ARCHIS	1,515	5.35	0	0
ARAD	9887	BATA	1,088	3.31	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARAD	9930	BELIU	3,057	3.86	0	0
ARAD	10006	BIRCHIS	1,854	8.63	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARAD	10051	BARSA	1,791	1.95	0	0
ARAD	10104	BARZAVA	2,707	0.78	0	0
ARAD	10195	BOCSIG	3,231	0.96	0	0
ARAD	10239	BRAZII	1,155	0.00	0	0
ARAD	10293	BUTENI	3,403	0.41	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
ARAD	10346	CARAND	1,036	5.69	0	0
ARAD	10373	CERMEI	2,722	6.80	0	0
ARAD	10417	CHISINDIA	1,340	3.58	0	0
ARAD	10453	CONOP	2,258	0.89	0	0
ARAD	10514	COVASINT	2,573	24.37	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	10532	CRAIVA	2,880	10.94	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	10649	DEZNA	1,198	0.17	0	0
ARAD	10701	DIECI	1,490	1.68	0	0
ARAD	10765	USUSAU	1,392	0.00	0	0
ARAD	10827	FELNAC	2,931	12.79	0	0
ARAD	10872	GHIOROC	3,790	0.50	0	0
ARAD	10916	GRANICERI	2,254	6.26	0	0
ARAD	10943	GURAHONT	3,973	1.36	0	0
ARAD	11058	HALMAGIU	2,852	0.11	0	0
ARAD	11174	HALMAGEL	1,305	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	11236	HASMAS	1,300	2.38	0	0
ARAD	11307	IGNESTI	679	0.15	0	0
ARAD	11352	IRATOSU	2,395	1.63	0	0
ARAD	11398	MACEA	5,762	5.97	0	0
ARAD	11423	MISCA	3,733	24.40	0	0
ARAD	11478	MONEASA	864	0.00	0	0
ARAD	11502	OLARI	1,937	8.52	0	0
ARAD	11539	PAULIS	4,120	4.44	0	0
ARAD	11637	PEREGU MARE	1,625	0.92	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
ARAD	11664	PETRIS	1,525	0.33	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	11735	PILU	2,060	11.70	0	0
ARAD	11762	PLESCUTA	1,219	0.41	0	0
ARAD	11842	SAVARSIN	2,890	0.03	0	0
ARAD	11940	SECUSIGIU	5,509	4.47	0	0
ARAD	11995	SELEUS	3,044	0.39	0	0
ARAD	12037	SEMLAC	3,667	8.40	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	12055	SINTEA MARE	3,742	9.27	0	0
ARAD	12126	SOCODOR	2,367	7.82	0	0
ARAD	12144	SAGU	3,776	6.30	0	0
ARAD	12206	SEITIN	2,936	9.06	0	0
ARAD	12224	SEPREUS	2,481	12.49	0	0
ARAD	12242	SICULA	4,301	1.35	0	0
ARAD	12288	SILINDIA	904	0.55	0	0
ARAD	12340	SIMAND	3,982	8.59	0	0
ARAD	12368	SIRIA	8,103	10.58	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
ARAD	12402	SISTAROVAT	358	0.28	0	0
ARAD	12457	TAUT	1,779	0.96	0	0
ARAD	12509	TARNOVA	5,935	1.97	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
ARAD	12572	VARADIA DE MURES	1,755	0.17	0	0
ARAD	12643	VINGA	6,150	10.57	0	0
ARAD	12689	VARFURILE	2,715	1.40	0	0
ARAD	12778	ZABRANI	4,251	0.02	0	0
ARAD	12812	ZARAND	2,677	3.06	0	0
ARAD	12849	ZERIND	1,320	1.82	0	0
ARAD	12876	ZIMANDU NOU	4,657	1.85	0	0
ARAD	12912	DOROBANTI	1,635	1.83	0	0
ARAD	12920	FRUMUSENI	2,543	0.55	0	0
ARAD	12938	ZADARENI	2,495	0.08	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	50987	OCNA DE FIER	656	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51243	ARMENIS	2,454	1.02	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51305	BANIA	1,752	1.48	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51332	BAUTAR	2,604	0.50	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51387	BERLISTE	1,164	15.72	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51449	BERZASCA	2,848	4.71	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CARAS-SEVERIN	51500	BERZOVIA	3,891	8.28	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51546	BOLVASNITA	1,405	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51573	BOZOVICI	2,924	3.66	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51626	BREBU	1,164	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51662	BREBU NOU	119	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51699	BUCHIN	2,039	0.54	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51751	BUCOSNITA	2,978	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CARAS-SEVERIN	51804	CARASOVA	3,110	5.53	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CARAS-SEVERIN	51840	CARBUNARI	1,008	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51877	CONSTANTIN DAICOVICIU	2,692	8.36	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51948	CICLOVA ROMANA	1,550	5.61	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	51984	CIUCHICI	1,338	10.84	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52035	CIUDANOVITA	657	1.37	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52062	COPACELE	1,111	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52115	CORNEA	1,890	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52160	CORNEREVA	3,190	0.00	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CARAS-SEVERIN	52570	DALBOSET	1,650	0.55	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52650	DOCLIN	1,741	2.87	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52696	DOGNECEA	2,009	0.15	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
CARAS-SEVERIN	52721	DOMASNEA	1,402	2.35	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52758	EZERIS	1,255	2.63	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52785	FARLIUG	1,956	1.69	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52856	FOROTIC	1,708	3.92	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CARAS-SEVERIN	52909	GARNIC	1,268	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52936	GLIMBOCA	1,808	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52954	GORUIA	790	2.91	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	52990	GRADINARI	1,956	9.25	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53023	IABLANITA	2,281	1.23	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53069	LAPUSNICEL	1,081	1.02	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53103	LAPUSNICU MA RE	1,647	0.36	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53130	LUNCAVITA	2,613	1.72	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53167	LUPAC	2,677	1.08	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53210	MARGA	1,151	2.09	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53247	MAURENI	2,646	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53274	MEHADIA	4,128	0.15	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53327	MEHADICA	870	2.87	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53345	NAIDAS	1,139	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53372	OBREJA	3,252	0.83	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
CARAS-SEVERIN	53425	PALTINIS	2,408	0.17	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53489	CORONINI	1,748	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53513	POJEJENA	2,884	1.01	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53577	PRIGOR	2,577	0.97	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53639	RAMNA	1,560	0.19	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53675	RACASDIA	1,976	22.22	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53700	EFTIMIE MURGU	1,628	0.18	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53728	RUSCA MONTANA	1,834	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
CARAS-SEVERIN	53755	SACU	1,485	3.64	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53791	SASCA MONTANA	1,593	3.89	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	53853	SICHEVITA	2,230	1.35	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54056	SLATINA-TIMIS	3,074	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54109	SOCOL	1,933	5.38	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54163	SOPOTU NOU	1,157	0.52	24+%	Severe marginalization
CARAS-SEVERIN	54270	TEREGOVA	3,981	0.98	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54305	TICVANIU MARE	1,984	32.41	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54350	TARNOVA	1,731	0.17	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54387	TOPLET	2,625	3.50	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54412	TURNU RUIENI	3,342	0.12	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
CARAS-SEVERIN	54485	VALIUG	741	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54500	VARADIA	1,371	24.29	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54537	VERMES	1,566	0.00	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54573	VRANI	1,070	15.79	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54617	ZAVOI	3,946	0.89	0	0
CARAS-SEVERIN	54699	ZORLENTU MA RE	1,015	0.69	0	0
HUNEDOARA	86749	CARJITI	681	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	86883	GHELARI	1,983	0.25	0	0
HUNEDOARA	86936	TELIUCU INFERIOR	2,344	0.21	0	0
HUNEDOARA	87246	BANITA	1,211	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	87362	CRISCIOR	3,841	0.57	0	0
HUNEDOARA	87745	BAIA DE CRIS	2,611	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	87843	BALSA	871	0.57	0	0
HUNEDOARA	87996	BARU	2,696	2.23	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88047	BACIA	1,827	0.44	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88092	BAITA	3,712	0.35	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88216	BATRANA	127	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88261	BERIU	3,138	0.89	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88350	BLAJENI	1,192	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88449	BOSOROD	2,062	0.00	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HUNEDOARA	88546	BRANISCA	1,767	0.34	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88644	BRETEA ROMANA	3,052	0.36	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88788	BUCES	1,961	1.22	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88868	BUCURESCI	1,553	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	88920	BULZESTII DE SUS	271	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89026	BUNILA	306	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89080	BURJUC	873	0.46	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89151	CERBAL	474	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89240	CERTEJU DE SUS	3,126	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
HUNEDOARA	89348	DENSUS	1,577	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89428	DOBRA	3,345	1.32	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89687	GURASADA	1,492	0.07	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89801	HARAU	2,231	1.48	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89856	ILIA	3,662	0.03	0	0
HUNEDOARA	89954	LAPUGIU DE JOS	1,659	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90066	LELESE	406	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90119	LUNCA CERNII DE JOS	905	0.00	24+%	Severe marginalization
HUNEDOARA	90208	LUNCOIU DE JOS	1,815	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90262	MARTINESTI	956	0.94	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90342	ORASTIOARA DE SUS	2,079	0.05	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90431	PESTISU MIC	1,207	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90538	PUI	4,122	1.77	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
HUNEDOARA	90663	RAPOLTU MARE	1,960	2.14	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90725	RACHITOVA	1,330	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90805	RIBITA	1,347	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90878	RAU DE MORI	3,153	4.06	0	0
HUNEDOARA	90994	ROMOS	2,604	2.11	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
HUNEDOARA	91054	SARMIZEGETUSA	1,209	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91116	SALASU DE SUS	2,359	0.42	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91232	SANTAMARIA-ORLEA	3,251	5.11	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91330	SOIMUS	3,371	0.27	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91447	TOMESTI	1,075	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91535	TOPLITA	715	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91624	TOTESTI	1,893	3.17	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91688	TURDAS	1,801	19.88	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91731	GENERAL BERTHELOT	896	1.12	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91795	VATA DE JOS	3,728	0.75	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91937	VALISOARA	1,197	0.00	0	0
HUNEDOARA	91982	VETEL	2,872	5.36	0	0
HUNEDOARA	92097	VORTA	876	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
HUNEDOARA	92177	ZAM	1,875	0.16	0	0
TIMIS	155261	DUMBRAVITA	7,522	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	155289	GHIRODA	6,200	0.27	0	0
TIMIS	155314	GIROC	8,388	0.70	0	0
TIMIS	155546	BALINT	1,596	2.19	0	0
TIMIS	155591	BANLOC	2,631	9.81	0	0
TIMIS	155662	BARA	388	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	155724	BEBA VECHE	1,539	1.17	0	0
TIMIS	155760	BECICHERECU MIC	2,853	2.80	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
TIMIS	155797	BELINT	2,789	0.25	0	0
TIMIS	155840	BETHAUSEN	3,057	0.10	0	0
TIMIS	155911	BILED	3,294	4.10	0	0
TIMIS	155957	BARNA	1,640	0.00	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TIMIS	156035	BOGDA	460	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	156106	BOLDUR	2,439	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	156151	BRESTOVAT	674	1.19	0	0
TIMIS	156213	CARPINIS	4,477	5.41	0	0
TIMIS	156259	CENAD	4,207	12.34	0	0
TIMIS	156277	CENEI	2,670	0.49	0	0
TIMIS	156311	CHEVERESU MA RE	2,272	19.32	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TIMIS	156437	COMLOSU MARE	4,737	18.15	0	0
TIMIS	156473	COSTEIU	3,635	2.31	0	0
TIMIS	156534	CRICIOVA	1,587	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	156589	CURTEA	1,193	2.10	0	0
TIMIS	156623	DAROVA	3,049	0.49	0	0
TIMIS	156669	DENTA	2,982	2.38	0	0
TIMIS	156712	DUDESTII VECHI	4,203	5.28	0	0
TIMIS	156767	DUMBRAVA	2,659	0.11	0	0
TIMIS	156927	FARDEA	1,750	1.49	0	0
TIMIS	157004	FOENI	1,737	1.09	0	0
TIMIS	157031	GAVOJDIA	3,034	1.02	0	0
TIMIS	157193	GHIZELA	1,155	0.09	6.1-<12%	Average marginalization
TIMIS	157246	GIARMATA	6,502	1.65	0	0
TIMIS	157273	GIERA	1,239	7.99	0	0
TIMIS	157317	GIULVAZ	3,075	5.50	0	0
TIMIS	157362	JAMU MARE	2,971	2.79	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TIMIS	157424	JEBEL	3,584	4.05	0	0
TIMIS	157451	LENAUHEIM	5,109	10.86	0	0
TIMIS	157497	LIEBLING	3,723	4.27	0	0
TIMIS	157530	LOVRIN	3,223	3.41	0	0
TIMIS	157585	MARGINA	2,186	0.41	0	0
TIMIS	157683	MASLOC	2,285	2.80	0	0
TIMIS	157736	MANASTIUR	1,658	1.81	0	0
TIMIS	157781	MORAVITA	2,289	3.84	0.1-<6.1%	Marginalization below average
TIMIS	157834	MOSNITA NOUA	6,203	0.90	0	0
TIMIS	157898	NADRAG	2,836	0.07	0	0
TIMIS	157923	NITCHIDORF	1,523	0.33	0	0
TIMIS	157969	OHABA LUNGA	1,084	1.11	0	0
TIMIS	158010	ORTISOARA	4,190	0.84	0	0
TIMIS	158065	PECIU NOU	4,982	0.70	0	0
TIMIS	158109	PERIAM	4,505	7.39	0	0
TIMIS	158136	PIETROASA	1,120	0.00	0	0

County	Siruta Code TAU	Commune	Total population (2011 Census)	Share of Roma population in total population (2011 Census)	Rate of marginalization (interval)	Type of marginalization
TIMIS	158181	PISCHIA	3,051	3.47	0	0
TIMIS	158243	RACOVITA	3,168	3.82	0	0
TIMIS	158396	REMETEA MARE	2,302	0.09	0	0
TIMIS	158449	SACOSU TURCESC	3,307	4.93	0	0
TIMIS	158528	SATCHINEZ	4,743	10.46	0	0
TIMIS	158564	SACALAZ	7,204	0.47	0	0
TIMIS	158608	SECAS	299	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	158653	SANANDREI	5,717	0.45	0	0
TIMIS	158699	SANMIHAIU ROMAN	6,121	0.74	0	0
TIMIS	158733	SANPETRU MA RE	3,145	13.48	0	0
TIMIS	158779	SAG	3,009	0.90	0	0
TIMIS	158804	STIUCA	1,813	0.00	0	0
TIMIS	158859	TEREMIA MARE	4,019	1.64	0	0
TIMIS	158895	TOMESTI	2,093	1.91	0	0
TIMIS	158966	TOPOLOVATU MARE	2,574	1.98	0	0
TIMIS	159035	TORMAC	2,714	0.26	0	0
TIMIS	159071	TRAIAN VUIA	2,059	5.54	0	0
TIMIS	159142	UIVAR	2,453	4.65	0	0
TIMIS	159213	VARIAS	5,682	3.85	0	0
TIMIS	159259	VICTOR VLAD DELAMARINA	2,604	0.88	0	0
TIMIS	159339	VOITEG	2,437	2.63	0	0
TIMIS	159366	BIRDA	1,846	0.60	0	0
TIMIS	159375	CHECEA	1,838	29.71	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TIMIS	159384	DUDESTII NOI	3,179	5.32	0	0
TIMIS	159393	FIBIS	1,590	0.25	0	0
TIMIS	159400	GHILAD	2,078	8.47	0	0
TIMIS	159419	GOTTLOB	2,041	4.07	12-<24%	Marginalization above average
TIMIS	159428	IECEA MARE	2,231	5.24	0	0
TIMIS	159437	PARTA	2,172	4.65	0	0
TIMIS	159446	PADURENI	1,938	1.14	0	0
TIMIS	159455	SARAVALE	2,628	20.66	0	0
TIMIS	159464	SANDRA	2,882	0.69	0	0
TIMIS	159473	TOMNATIC	3,144	6.77	0	0
TIMIS	159482	VALCANI	1,350	0.59	0	0
TIMIS	159491	LIVEZILE	1,566	0.89	0	0
TIMIS	159507	PESAC	1,990	5.63	0	0
TIMIS	159516	BUCOVAT	1,601	2.81	0	0
TIMIS	159525	OTELEC	1,499	0.00	0	0

Annex 3: Local Human Development

ANNEX 3. TABLE 1: Predictors of Local Human Development for Small Settlements in Romania, 2011

Predictors	LHDIs villages and component localities of small cities	Reading example: the level of uman development for small settlements tends to be higher for settlements of larger population, with larger shares of ethnic Hungarians and Germans, located closer to large cities, in the convergence area of Timisoara, Cluj, Brasov and Ploiesti.
population (ln)	+	
% ethnic Hungarians (ln)	+	
% ethnic Germans (ln)	+	
% ethnic Roma (ln)	-	
distance to nearest city of 200 thou.inhab (ln)	-	
distance to nearest city of 100 thou.inhab (ln)	-	
distance to nearest city of 50 thou.inhab (ln)	-	
distance to nearest city of 30 thou.inhab (ln)	-	
located close to European road*	+	
located at county periphery*	-	
peripheral village within commune*	-	
Timisoara-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	+	
Cluj-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	+	
Braşov-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	+	
Ploieşti-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	+	
Constanta-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	0	
Iasi-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	-	
Galati-closest city of 200 thou. Inhabitants*	-	
Urban*	+	
Adjusted R2	0.486	
N	11632	
*Dichotomous variable (1 yes, 0 no)		

Positive significant regression coefficient for p<0.001 = +: negative significant regression coefficient for p<0.001= - : unsignificant regression coefficient for p<0.05 =0. Simplified results of a multivariate regession having LHDIs as dependent variable

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

ANNEX 3. TABLE 2: Typology of Local Human Development for Villages by County, 2011

Counties that are typical for		Population of county living in villages from communes that are in the category of:							Total	Local human development index by county (LHDI average)
		lowest development	medium -low		medium development	medium-high		comprehensive development		
			low housing development	low labour market development		development by mobility experience	structural development			
comprehensive development	Ilfov	0	1		4	3	21	70	100	80
	Timis	0	1	4	7	22	33	33	100	69
structural development	Cluj	3	6	13	17	11	23	27	100	65
	Arad			7	13	24	32	23	100	64
	Sibiu	1	3	7	17	9	37	27	100	65
	Brasov	1	3	6	21	6	36	29	100	66
	Harghita		1	4	9	9	71	6	100	63
	Covasna	2	4	2	31	12	46	3	100	57
	Mures	2	4	9	30	9	31	15	100	60
	Alba	3	2	16	8	19	40	12	100	59
	Bistrita_Nasaud	2	5	11	29	11	43	1	100	56
	Satu Mare	2	5	4	34	10	42	4	100	58
	Maramures		1	5	51	4	34	4	100	56
	Caras_Severin	1	2	14	33	9	41	1	100	55
	Dambovita	1	2	2	27	11	45	12	100	61
	Prahova		4	2	25	12	35	21	100	63
	Arges	3	10	7	14	19	34	13	100	60
	Gorj		1	8	24	29	34	5	100	59
Medium development	Calarasi	1	30		58	4	6	0	100	50
	Tulcea	2	22	1	58	11	6	1	100	51
	Suceava	1	4	5	52	3	30	4	100	54
	Neamt	5	19	3	49	4	18	3	100	50
	Galati	5	41		47	1	2	3	100	48
	Bacau	9	21	2	46	4	12	6	100	49
	Olt	4	41	7	46	1	2	0	100	44
	Ialomita	1	46		44	2	6	0	100	45
	Vrancea	10	24	10	43	4	5	4	100	47
	Giurgiu	3	34	1	38	5	19	1	100	50
	Buzau	6	33	4	36	9	8	5	100	49
	Bihor	1	4	6	35	17	26	11	100	59
	Salaj	2	4	16	32	20	25	1	100	54
	Valcea	2	8	23	28	14	18	6	100	53
Low housing development	Braila	4	74	1	14	2	5		100	43
	Botosani	17	68		11	1	1	1	100	38
	Teleorman	6	64		28	0	3	0	100	42
	Vaslui	24	60	1	14	1	1	0	100	37
	Iasi	7	50	1	24	2	4	12	100	48
	Dolj	6	42	4	35	3	9	2	100	45
	Mehedinti	13	37	10	25	6	5	3	100	44
High heterogeneity	Constanta	2	15	1	32	4	15	30	100	60
high mobility experience	Hunedoara		0	10	5	55	20	10	100	61
	Total	4	20	5	30	9	22	10	100	54

Source: World Bank calculations using data from the 2011 Population and Housing Census.

Note: Braila is typical of housing poverty as 74 percent of its population is in the low housing development category.

Annex 4: Field Instruments for the Validation Study

Marginalized rural communities

- Commune Form -

Name of the locality.....**County..**.....

Name and surname.....

Institution..... **Department...** .....

Position..... **Phone** .....**Email** .....

Type of locality (from the database) 1. with marginalized areas 2. with no marginalized areas

Fill out date |___|___| |___|___| 2015

1. Introduction

Brief overview of the project and of the research team

2. Marginalized areas

Q1. Are there any marginalized areas in your locality (poor population, with a low-level of education, poor access to infrastructure, bad living conditions, etc)?

1. Yes 2. No

If Yes,

Q1a. How many? |___|___|



Q2. Marginalized areas identified based on the data

The table below presents the marginalized areas identified using census data and other statistical indicators. For each area, please identify the census sector and tell us whether it is marginalized or not.

Name of the village	Census sector	Total population in the sector	Roma population in the sector	Area validated by local authorities	
				1. Yes	2. No

Q3. Marginalized areas, validated and identified by local authorities

In the table below, please fill in the marginalized areas that have been validated by local authorities and any others identified that have been identified, if any.

Area code	Village	Geographic delineation	Name	Census sector*
Area 1				
.....				

** See the table above, for the areas identified in the database*

Please mark on the map every marginalized area, using the codes from 1 to n, from the Q3 table.

For every area, a Marginalized Area Form must be filled out.

Name of the locality.....

County.....

Marginalized Rural Communities

- Marginalized Area Form –

Marginalized Areas |___| (*marked on the map and in the Q3 table of the Commune Form under code* |___|)

A. Local authority representatives

Name of the area or locally acknowledged name	
Name of the village/ villages it which belongs to	
Area delineation	Name of streets or landmarks delineating it .....
Type of area	1. Roma community (irrespective of the ethnicity stated in the census) 2. Community of foreigners 3. Other type, namely.....
Area history	This marginalized area dates since when? 1. Before 1990 2. After 1990, indicative year |___|___||___|___| How did the community emerge and why is it in this status? ....
The area where the community is located is:	1. within the built-up area, in the middle of the village 2. within the built-up area, at the outskirts of the village 3. outside the built-up area
For code 2 or 3 **What`s the indicative distance from the area to...?**	a. municipality |___|___| , |___|___| km or |___|___|___| min walking b. school |___|___| , |___|___| km or |___|___|___| min walking c. medical center |___|___| , |___|___| km or |___|___|___| min walking d. the closest grocery store |___|___| , |___|___|km or |___|___|___| min walking
For code 2 or 3 **The road connecting the area to the village is made of?**	1. Earth 4. Mixed; over 50% earth 2. Gravel 5. Mixed; over 50% gravel 3. Asphalt 6. Mixed; over 50% asphalt
What types of road are most commonly seen in the area?	1. Earth 2. Gravel 3. Asphalt

Geographic barriers	Are there any geographic barriers (natural/ man-made or any other type of physical obstacles) that prevent the inhabitants of the marginalized area from taking the shortest road to get to the center of the commune/ separate the area from the rest of the commune? 1. Yes 2. No Which are they? River, railway, fence, hill, valley, forest, landfill, water treatment plant, etc. .....
Population in the marginalized area: Estimated number of inhabitants	|___|___|___|
Estimated number of households	|___|___|___|
Estimation number of people without an identity card (CNP)	|___|___|___|
Roma population	Estimation number |___|___|___|
Profile of the population in the area	Do they move often? ..... Are there more young or more old people? ..... Are there many children in the area?.....
Income of the population in the area:	What do the people in the area do? ..... Which are their main sources of income?.....
Social benefits given to the people in the marginalized area *(files receiving payments in May 2015 or in winter 2014)*	|___|___|___| Number of GMI beneficiaries (GMI-Guaranteed Minimum Income) |___|___|___| Number of ASF beneficiaries (ASF-Support benefits and family allowances) |___|___|___| Number of heating benefits beneficiaries |___|___|___| Number of files for benefits given to people with special needs, including people with disabilities |___|___|___| Number of child protection files
Community relations	What`s the relation between the commune inhabitants and the people living in this area? Do they treat them in the same way as the rest of the community? Do they avoid them? They don`t trust them? ..... Is there a formal or informal community leader? .....
In the area, are there any crime or public order issues?	1. Yes 2. No If Yes, what kind of crime occurs more often (theft, fights, scandals, domestic violence, etc)?
The biggest part of the area is comprised of...	1. blocks of flats 2. brick/ concrete houses 3. adobe/timber house 4. improvised dwellings (cardboard, metal sheet, etc) 5. other type, namely.....
Households: Total number of households in the area, out of which:	|___|___|___|
- privately owned	|___|___|___|

- owned by the municipality	|___|___|___| empty housing units and |___|___|___| rented out to the residents in the area If there are housing units owned by the municipality, rented out to the population, During the past 5 years, have there been any eviction cases? On what grounds?
Type of ownership that is most common in the area	What type of land ownership is most common in the marginalized community (personal property of belonging to a relative, leased from the municipality, leased from an individual/ company, used without any type of legal documents, concessioned from the municipality, an individual or a company?)
Estimation of number of households without any ownership documents over the land/dwelling	|___|___|___|
What`s the status of the dwellings in the area?	1. they might crumble any day now 2. deteriorated, in need of consolidation 3. sturdy, but not looked after 4. sturdy and looked after
Overcorwding	Are the households in the area overcrowded?.....
Water supply system Number of households with...	|___|___| ... running water inside the household from the public system |___|___| ... running water inside the household from a private supply |___|___| ... running water outside the household from the public system |___|___| ... running water outside the household from a private supply
Sewage network	|___|___| Number of households connected to the public sewage system |___|___| Number of households connected to a private sewage system
Bathroom	|___|___| Number of households with an indoor bathroom
Electricity	|___|___| Number of households connected to the grid
Street lighting	Is there any street lighting in the area? 1. Yes 2. No
Waste collection	In ther area, are there any waste collection and storage services? 1. Yes 2. No
Environmental risks	Is the area prone to flooding? If yes, have there been any floods in the past 5 years? Is the community close to a landfill? Is household waste or any other type of waste stored close to the households? Is the community at risk of landslide or any other type of environmental risks?
Main problems in the area	PB1.
	PB2.
	PB3.
Interventions in the area	ÎDuring the past 5 years, how have local authorities tried to solve these issues? What about any other government organizations or NGOs?

B. Representatives of the marginalized community

Discussions with the inhabitants of the marginalized area on the following topics:

- **Area history**

  The area has been around since...? Who lives here (young people, old people, children), and for how long, etc?. .....

- **Housing**

  Type of ownership over the land/ household .....

  Condition of the households .....

  Housing conditions, overcrowding .....

- **Infrastructure (streets, water, sewage, electricity)**

  Streets .....

  Water .....

  Sewage .....

  Electricity .....

  Employment and income

  Formal/ informal livelihood .....

  Pensions or other social benefits .....

  Why has the respondent not been able to find work? .....

  Access to public services

  Street lighting .....

  Public transport .....

  School .....

  Kindergarten .....

  Pharmacy .....

  Medical center .....

  Stores .....

  Waste collection and storage services .....

  Crime and public order

  .....

  Community relations (how they interact with the other people in the commune, if they feel isolated, if the others avoid them or the area)

  .....

C. Observation sheet

Take an overview photo of the marginalized area

After the field visit, fill out in the table below indicating if the following exist in the marginalized area:

a. broken fences	1. Yes	2. No
b. roofs in bad state	1. Yes	2. No
c. many deteriorating houses	1. Yes	2. No
d. many kids on the street	1. Yes	2. No
e. kids not wearing clothes	1. Yes	2. No
f. waste/ dirt	1. Yes	2. No
g. blankets/ carpets on the fence	1. Yes	2. No
h. mostly earth roads	1. Yes	2. No
i. mostly gravel roads	1. Yes	2. No
j. mostly asphalt roads	1. Yes	2. No

Programme Title: Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Development 2007-2013
Invest in people!
Project Title: Inputs into the Government of Romania's Strategy on Social Inclusion and Poverty Reduction (2015-2020).
Editor: The World Bank.
Publishing Date: 30 May 2016

This report does not necessarily represent the position of the European Union or the Romanian Government.

ISBN: 978-973-0-21725-4